

The Bhakti Sect of Vallabhācārya



RICHARD BARZ

One of the foremost leaders of the devotional revolution which swept through Hindu society in the 15th and 16th centuries was Vallabhācārya. In terms of religion, Vallabhācārya's main contribution was his demonstration of the way in which a human being can shed his or her limited, mortal ego in order to rediscover an eternal individual participation in an unlimited divine being. With regard to literature, some of the earliest prose writing in any form of Hindi was produced by Vallabhācārya's followers in the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā, a collection of accounts of personal efforts to apply the teachings of Vallabhācārya to everyday life.

The first part of the Bhakti Sect of Vallabhācārya deals with Vallabhācārya's life and with his establishment of an organisation for his followers, a philosophical system to explain his view of the world and a spiritual method for putting his teachings into practice. The second part of the book is made up of an English translation of the sections of the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā describing the lives of four early disciples of Vallabhācārya, one of whom was the poet Sūradāsa.

Dr. Richard Barz has been teaching courses in Hindi language and literature, including Brajbhasha and Avadhi, and in Vaishnava. Hindu literature and philosophy since 1968. Currently Senior Lecturer at the Australian National University in Canberra, he has also taught at the University of Melbourne, the University of California at Berkeley and California State University at Long Beach. He first became interested in the thought of Vallabhācārva in 1963. Three years later he was able to spend two years in India, mainly in Mathura and Bombay, in close association with scholars within the movement established by Vallabhācārya. In 1971 he received a Ph.D. in Hindi from the University of Chicago with a thesis on the early history of that movement. In addition to his interest in Vallabhācārya, he has also carried out research in overseas Hindi in Fiji and Mauritius, in Hindi folk literature in central Uttar Pradesh and in contemporary Bhakti Hindu organisations both within and outside of India. He has written extensively on all of these topics.



ISBN 81-215-0576-1 Jacket by Rathin Sengupta

The Bhakti Sect of Vallabhācārya

Richard Barz



Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers Pvt Ltd ISBN 81-215-0576-1 This edition 1992 First published 1976 © 1992 Barz, Richard

Published by Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers Pvt. Ltd., Post Box 5715, 54 Rani Jhansi Road, New Delhi 110055 and printed at Taj Press, Mayapuri, New Delhi 110068

Preface

THE BEGINNINGS OF this book, which originates from my doctoral dissertation to the Department of South Asian Languages and Civilizations at the University of Chicago, lie in a series of courses in the literature of Brai Bhāsā and related Hindi dialects which I took under the direction of Professors S.M. Pandey, D.P.S. Dwarikesh, and Norman H. Zide at the University of Chicago during the years 1963-1966. Through those courses I was first introduced to the Caurāsi Vaisnavan kī Vārtā, the text upon which this study is based. Further progress would have been impossible without the Fulbright-Hays-National Defence Foreign Language Fellowships which I received for study in India. Among the scholars and bhaktas with whom I was able to meet in India, I owe especial thanks to Dr. Govardhannath Shukla and Dr. Vishvanath Shukla, both of the Department of Hindi, Aligarh Muslim University and Mr. Shyam Manoharji Goswamy of Bhuleshwar, Bombay. With the aid and encouragement of Professors K.C. Bahl, J.A.B. van Buitenen, Pramod Chandra, Edward C. Dimock, A.K. Ramanujan, and N.H. Zide, all of the University of Chicago, the study was brought to its present form. These acknowledgements would not be complete without thanks to my wife Kari for her unselfish help both in the United States and in India.

Table of Transliteration

Devanāgarī	Roman	Devanāgarī	Roman
अ	a	थ्	th
आ	ā	थर दुः धरं तर परं फरं बरं भरं मरं सरं रूरं लरं वरं शरं घरं मरं तुः छुरं केरं खरं ग्रं जुरं फ़रं	d
इ	i	ध्	dh
द ई उ	ī	न्	n
	u	ų	p
ऊ	ū	फ्	ph
ऋ	ŗ	ब्	b
ए	e	भ्	bh
ए ऐ ओ औ	ai	म्	m
ओ	o	य्	у
ৠ	au	र्	r
🖺 (अनुस्वार : nasal)	ņ	ल्	1
: (visarga)	μ ū	व्	v
क्	k	গ্	sh
ख्	kh	ष्	Ş
ग्	g	म्	S
घ्	gh	ह	h
ङ्	ń	हुं (d dh ķ <u>kh</u>
च्	С	ढ्	₫h
छ्	ċh	क्	ķ
ज्	j	ख् -	
भ्	jh ñ	<u>ग्</u>	g z f
ञ्		ज् -	Z
ट्	¹.	<u>फ्</u>	
ठ्	ţh	ध् 	kş
ड्	d 	ज्	jñ
ग्पंडरं च्रं छरं ज्भं अरंटरंठरं डरं दर्ग्	фh		
<u>ण्</u>	ù		
न्	t		

Contents

Chapter	Page
Preface	iii
Table of Transliteration	v
PART I	
Vallabhācārya, His Teachings and His Sect	
I. Introduction	3
II. The Sampradāya	16
Birth and Childhood of Vallabhācarya	
Vallabhācāry's First Pilgrimage and the Establishment of Shrī Govardhananāthajī	
Vallabhācārya's Marriage and the Effect of His Marriage on His Sampradāya	
The Shāstrārtha at Vijayanagara	
Sevā in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya	
The Family of Vallabhācārya	
III. The Shuddhādvaita	56
The Place of the Shuddhādvaita among Indian Philosophical Systems	
Fundamental Doctrines of the Shuddhādvaita	
IV. The Pustimarga	80
The Dosa and Their Removal	
The Significance of <i>Puṣṭi</i> in Vallabhācārya's Thought Poṣaṇam Tadanugrahaḥ Bhāva	
I Osaijaili Tadalidgialiaji Bilava	
PART II	
Four vārtās From the Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā	
V. Introduction	97
Braj Bhāṣā	
The Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā	
The Dates of Sūradāsa, Kumbhanadāsa, Paramā- nandadāsa and Kṛṣṇadāsa	

viii CONTENTS

VI. The Vārtā of Sūradāsa	105
VII. The Vārtā of Paramānandadāsa	140
VIII. The Vārtā of Kumbhanadāsa	165
IX. The Vārtā of Kṛṣṇadāsa	207
Bibliography	257
Index	261

PART I

Vallabhācārya, His Teachings and His Sect

Introduction

N THE FALL of 1963 I was first introduced to that strange and impassioned oproach to the divine that is called in India the bhaktimārga, "the way of devotion." My introduction was, appropriately, through the Brai Bhasa poetry of the Sūrasāgara of Sūradāsa (A.D. 1479–1584), a North, ndian poet (see pp. 105-139 of Part II below for the traditional account of Sūradāsa's life) who, by all accounts, expressed the ideals of the haktimārga with an intensity of feeling and a mastery of the language hat is still unsurpassed by any poet in any dialect of Hindi, medieval or modern. Of all guides to the bhaktimārga, Sūradāsa was without loubt the best; yet, though Sūradāsa's poetry was interesting, I did not really at first find it appealing. It had a fascination for me that could lot be called a fascination of delight. On the surface, the content of the poetry was not unusual when considered in terms of Indian literature. t related the incidents in the life of Shrī Krsna during his incarnation on earth in Braj, the region around the city of Mathura in the modern ndian state of Uttar Pradesh, in the remote past shortly before the outbreak of the great war described in the Muhābhārata. That part of shrī Krsna's life which was spent with the cowherds (gopās) ruled by King Nanda was obviously for Sūradāsa the most important and signiicant period of Shri Krsna's career and it was on that part that he lavished is poetic skill. It amounted to a retelling in Braj Bhāsā, the vernacular anguage of the western Gangā-Yamunā Doāb, of the events narrated n the tenth book of the Bhāgavata Purāna, which was, like all of the purānas, written in Sanskrit. To this point, Sūradāsa's poetry presented 10 difficulty. It was simply a literary manifestation of the movement of North Indian bhakti religon away from the Sanskritic tradition, which was losed to all but a small elite, and toward the vernacular tradition of he ordinary people. There was nothing startling for me in this. But sūradāsa's poetry was far more than just a retelling of events that were probably already familiar in some form in North Indian folk literature.

Behind the poetry of Sūradāsa's Sūrasāgara there was clearly a powerful force of emotion, a deep thrill with even the least significant action of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. I could understand a preoccupation with Shrī Kṛṣṇa's exploits against demons—for example, his slaying of the child-killer Pūtanā or his conquest of the terrible serpent Kāliya but, rather than dwelling on scenes such as those, Sūradāsa seemed to find his greatest pleasure in composing verses describing scenes like the following:

Mother Yashodā is teaching Kṛṣṇa to walk.

When he falters he catches on to her fingers and he sets his tottering feet on the floor.

Sometimes she looks at his face, clasps him to her heart and considers herself blessed.

Sometimes she beseeches her family gods for his long life.

Sometimes she calls upon Baldeva to play with Kṛṣṇa in the yard. Sūradāsa says this is the sport $(l\bar{\imath}l\bar{a})$ of Kṛṣṇa in which Nanda, full of glory, feels ecstasy.

It was very difficult for me to develop a feeling for, an empathy with, this kind of poetry; and it was this kind of poetry that was at the heart of the Sūrasāgara. This type of poetry was of the very essence of the bhaktimārga.

Later, in the course of my study of Hindi literature at the University of Chicago, I began reading from the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā, a work in Braj Bhāṣā prose attributed to Gokulanātha (lived A.D. 1551-1647) but put into its present form by Harirāya (lived A.D. 1591-1716). Through my reading in the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā, I discovered just why it was that I had not been able to appreciate properly the content of the Sūrasāgara and why it was that I had found peculiar the stress placed by Sūradāsa on the type of scene illustrated by the verse from Sūrasāgara quoted above. I had been put off by Sūradāsa's poetry because it did not emphasize in the way that I had expected the episodes in the life of Shrī Kṛṣṇa on earth. Rather than describing events, Sūradāsa was interested in evoking vivid scenes, in freezing forever the feelings of an instant—whether that of the moment of the infant Kṛṣṇa's first steps, or that of the attempts of Kṛṣṇa's mother Yashodā to wean him, or that of Kṛṣṇa's setting to his lips the bamboo flute that beguiles the

IS. M. PANDEY and N. H. ZIDE, "The Poems of Surdas" (unpublished, Chicago: University of Chicago, 1963), poem no. 29. The text of the above poem is also available, without English translation, in: Sūradāsa, Sūrasāgara, ed. NANDADULĀRE VĀJAPEYĪ (2 vols.; Vārānasī: Nāgarīpracārinī Sabhā, 1965), I, 300.

²HARAHARINĀTHA ȚANDANA, Vārtā-Sāhitya (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1961), pp. 121-122.

earts of the women of Braj. The purpose behind Sūradāsa's concern with the emotion aroused by the word-image of an event, rather than with the function of an event in a narrative whole or with its relationship the events that precede it and those that follow it, becomes clear when its poetry is considered in the light shed by the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī \bar{a} rtā.

The Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā is a collection of eighty-four vārtās vārtā is an account, a report, or a story of something or someone—each one of which relates selected events of spiritual import in the life of one of the eighty-four chief followers of Vallabhācārya, an important philosopher who established his own particular version of the bhaktinārga in North India during the first third of the sixteenth century. These vārtās are not considered to be mere legends, but are held by the ollowers of Vallabhācārya's version of the bhaktimārga to be true occounts of actual persons and real episodes that played definite roles n the unfolding of Vallabhācārya's spiritual revelation. Gokulanātha, o whom credit is given for the gathering together of the eighty-four artās, was the grandson of Vallabhācārva and was himself an important and vigorous leader of those who followed the teachings of Vallabhācārya n his time; and Hariraya, who organized the vartas into their present orm and added to them the commentaries called the bhavaprakasha. vas one of the major interpreters of the doctrines of Vallabhācārya. No follower of Vallabhācārva's form of the bhaktimārga could doubt he veracity and spiritual applicability of the vārtās gathered and arranged by Gokulanātha and Harirāya. Among the vārtās of the eighty-four Vaisnavas who were set by Vallabhācārya upon the bhaktimārga, is he vārtā of Sūradāsa the author of the Sūrasāgara. A complete translation of the Sūradāsa's vārtā, along with a translation of the vārtās of three of his colleagues in the bhaktimārga of Vallabhācārya, follows in Part II of this study.

Sūradāsa's vārtā, like the other vārtās, is made up of a series of rignettes—each of which is called a prasanga—each one a separate little tory independent and distinct from the others. The prasangas are linked ogether to form a unified vārtā by the personality of Sūradāsa and by the chronology of his life from his initiation by Vallabhācārya into the Sampradāya (sect) of those who were following Vallabhācārya's particular guidelines to the bhaktimārga up to his passing from his earthly existence. The events of Sūradāsa's life before his meeting with Vallabhācārya are supplied by the bhāvaprakāsha commentary added by Harirāya, who, some seventy-five to a hundred years after the passing of Sūradāsa, composed his commentaries on the basis of oral traditions passed down within Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya. In the prasangas of Sūradāsa's rārtā, Sūradāsa, as would be expected from his reputation, appears

as a man blessed with an extraordinary poetic talent. But Suradisa is not presented in these prasangas as being primarily a poet; Suradasa is presented as being first of all a very special sevaka of Shri Goverdhananāthajī, who is the true svarūpa, or entity, of Bhagavān Shri Kṛṣṇa What exactly is meant in Vallabhācārva's Sampradāva by the term vevaka will be explained in detail shortly. For now, it is enough to say that, as a sevaka of Bhagavan Shri Krsna, Sūradasa had completely given up all thought of himself and of any possession or pleasure or skill that he might formerly have claimed for himself and had devoted himself and those former possessions, pleasures, and skills utterly, without exception, to the sevā (service) of Shrī Krsna, the Supreme Being. Certainly, one of the most important of Sūradāsa's gifts set before Shri Krsna was his poetic skill and it is the result of Sūradāsa's dedication of his poetic ability to Shrī Krsna that the Sūrasāgara exists today. The Sūrasāgara is part of Sūradāsa's vevā of Shrī Krsna. The production of the Sūrasāgara was not, to be sure, a commonplace sort of sevā, but was a sevā of the highest value for the full expression of Vallabhācārya's bhak timārga and for the introduction of the attitudes of that bhak timārga into the hearts of the people of that constantly expanding area of North India into which Braj Bhāsā was spreading as a vehicle for the communication of religious ideals and emotions. This is so because the Sūrasāgara made the essential message of the Bhagavata Purana—as that message was conceived by Vallabhācārya—available in charming and vivid verse in a language which was understood by, or which was understandable to, a large segment of the North Indian population; and it was of critical importance to Vallabhācārya and to those who followed his teachings that the message of the Bhagavata Purana be made available to the general population.

Vallabhācārya was an orthodox Hindu philosopher and teacher; he accepted the claim held by the orthodox that the Vedic literature, the shruti or what was hearddirectly from the divine source by the earliest sages and passed down orally from generation to generation, was the immortal expression of sacred truth, eternally valid and free of change. All of the truth, all of the wisdom that man needed was contained in the Vedas. But there was a catch; again according to the orthodox Hindu thought accepted by Vallabhācārya, the world and its human life begins deteriorating in quality from the moment of the beginning of each of the never-ending cycles of world-manifestation until by the time of the disastrous Kali Yuga, the fourth and final period of each world-cycle, things are in such deplorable condition that human beings are no longer able to comprehend the truths of the Vedic literature and are condemned to stagger and reel under the burdens of their own ignorance and delusion to the moment of the dissolution of the universe at the end of the world-

cycle. Still, even though men could no longer in the Kali Yuga understand the teachings of the Vedas, all was not quite lost. Bhagavan Shri Krsna, the Parabrahman, the Supreme and Only Being, in his compassion (krpā) and grace (anugraha), had revealed himself from time to time on earth for the assistance of man. The fullest of his self-revelations, or avatāras. occurred when he appeared just before the famous Mahābhārata War as the lover of the cowherd women (gopis) and killer of the demon king of Mathura, Kansa, in order to restore and protect righteousness on earth and to teach the practice of the bhaktimārga, the only sure means to truth in times of diminishing human abilities and worsening physical environment. The record of the actions of Shri Krsna during this fullest of his self-revelations, the actions which exemplify the practice of the bhaktimārga, is given in the Bhāgavata Purāna written in Sanskrit. Most modern scholars date the Bhagavata Purana in its present form at about the tenth century. And few would put it any earlier than the sixth century. 2 The consensus of scholarly opinion is that in its final form the Bhāgavata Purāna is probably the work of some group or community of Brāhmanas probably living in the Tamil-speaking region of South India. The legends making up the Bhagavata Purana must have long been current in the folk literature of India, since some of them—the legends of the play of Shri Krsna with the gopis, for example—crop up in written literature as different as the Silappadikāram, a Tamil epic poem written sometime between the second and the fifth centuries,3 and the impassioned devotional poetry of the Tamil poetess Andal4 who belonged to the Tamil Alayar tradition and lived during the first half of the eighth century. Other important themes in the Bhagavata Purana, as, for example, the childhood play of Shrī Krsna in Braj which was so fascinating for Sūradāsa, also appear in the Visnu Purāna of the third or fourth century.5 That the worship of the god Bhagavan Shri Krsna as the Supreme Being existed well before the beginning of the Christian era of dating can hardly be doubted. By the time of the composition of the Bhagavad Gitā (probably in completed form by the first century B.C.), Shrī Krsna certainly had followers who accepted him as the Supreme God.

All of the above discussion of the dating of the Bhāgavata Purāņa

¹Surendranath Dasgupta, A History of Indian Philosophy (5 vols.; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1961), Vol. IV, p. 1.

²VISHVANĀTHA SHUKLA, *Hindī Kṛṣṇa Bhakti Kāvya par Shrimadbhāgavata kā Prabhāva* (Alīgarh: Bharata Prakashana Mandira, 1966), pp. 15-17.

³ILANGŌ ADIGAL, Shilappadikaram, trans. ALAIN DANIELOU (New York: New Directions, 1965), pp. 112-121.

⁴MALIK MOHAMMAD, Ālavār Bhakton kā Tamil-Prabandham aur Hindī Kṛṣṇa-Kāvya (Āgrā: Vinoda Pustaka Mandira, 1964), pp. 118, 200-201.

SHUKLA, Hindi Kṛṣṇa Bhakti, p. 17.

would be, of course, completely unnecessary for Vallabhācārya or for any other Vaisnava follower of the bhaktimarga. For such people the Bhāgavata Purāna dated from the time of Shrī Krsna's appearance on earth—or perhaps, existed eternally before that time since the līlā or divine play performed by Shrī Kṛṣṇa in the earthly land of Braj was but a manifestation of the divine, eternal *līlā* that Shrī Krsna performs without beginning and without end in the supernatural, changeless Brai that is called "Goloka"—and its value lies in the perfect teaching for man lost in the Kali Yuga which it contains. Vallabhācārva exalts the Bhagavata Purana as the final scriptural authority to which men may resort in this Kali Yuga when he says in his Tattvadīpa Nibandha that any doubts that one may have with regard to the teachings of the Vedas may be dispelled by reference to the words of Shrī Krsna, especially as they are found in the *Bhagayad Gītā*: Vallabhācārya goes on to say that if there are any difficulties with the words of Krsna, then one should go for help to the Brahma Sūtras of Vyāsa; but, if one is faced with doubts that arise from the Brahma Sūtras, then one must rely upon the Bhāgavata Purāna for a solution to the problem and there can be no authority to be consulted beyond the Bhagavata Purana. The great importance of the *Bhāgavata Purāna* for Vallabhācārya and his followers is its presentation of the bhaktimārga, its expression of bhaktibhāva. the delightful experience of the emotion of love-permeated, selfless devotion to Bhagavan Shri Krsna. It is that bhaktibhava that places the Bhāgavata Purāna in the first place among all the scriptures available to men in the Kali Yuga and it is only by means of that bhaktibhāva that Vallabhacarya offers any sure hope to men for escape from the bondage of ignorance. It is no wonder, then, that Sūradāsa's transmission of the bhaktibhava of the Bhagavata Purana over to his own Sūrasagara written in a vernacular language understandable over a wide area was a contribution of such great importance for Vallabhācārya and his Sampradāya Through the Sūrasāgara, bhaktibhāva would take root among the people and those who were ready to embark on the bhaktimarga would be attracted to the Sampradāva of Vallabhācārya.

It would not, however, be fair or correct to consider the Sūrasāgara of Sūradāsa a work undertaken as a part of a conscious programme of proselytization. It is certainly true that during the time of Vallabhācārya and his immediate successors to the leadership of the Sampradāya new members were constantly being sought for the Sampradāya all over North India from Orissa in the east to Gujarāt and Sindh in the west; it is also true that the verses of the Sūrasāgara were being spread by devotees (bhaktas) of Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa over a wide area of North

India and as the verses spread so must have spread also the fame of Vallabhācārva's Sampradāva. But it is important not to lose sight of the fact that all of this was a secondary effect, at least as far as Sūradāsa himself was concerned, of the writing-or, rather, of the composing. since Sūradāsa was blind- of the padas that have gone to make up the Sūrasāgara. The primary effect of the padas for Sūradāsa must have been the extent to which they fulfilled his obligation (dharma) to perform sevā for Bhagavān Shrī Krsna in his child-svarūpa called Shrī Govardhananāthaii. When Sūradāsa was initiated by Vallabhācārva into the Sampradāva, he was given the duty of singing kīrtana—hymns of praise before the svarūpa (a divine image is never in Vallabhācārva's Sampradaya referred to as a murti-representation, image—as would be the ordinary Hindu usage, but is always called a svarūpa—the divine entity itself, not just a likeness of it) of Shrī Govardhananāthaii. Later, when the Sampradāya was under the guidance of Vallabhācārya's younger son Vitthalanātha, Sūradāsa's kīrtana singing was formally integrated into the daily periods of worship in the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. The kīrtanas sung by Sūradāsa were those of his own composition and many of them were the same verses that are gathered into his massive Sūrasāgara.

There is still much more to the Sūrasāgara than a collection of verses which were composed to be sung before the Shrī Govardhananāthajī svarūpa and which form, when considered as a whole, a re-expression of the bhaktibhāya of the Bhāgayata Purāna. The notable factor that remains to be taken into account in considering the Sūrasāgara or any other piece of early literature produced by initiates belonging to Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya—including the Caurāsī Vaisņavan kī Vārtā itself is the nature of the inspiration that lay within the hearts of the authors of that literature. It is in this factor of inspiration that I realized the reason why Sūradāsa's verses had struck me as so peculiar in concern and so difficult to appreciate in content. Sūradāsa's poetry impressed me as being peculiar, and, in fact, it was meant to be peculiar. Its concern was not closely related to the ordinary concerns of poetic literature and its purpose was intentionally unrelated to that of usual literature. The reason for this wilful separation that Sūradāsa made between his poetry and ordinary poetry was the severance that had already divided Sūradāsa from the concerns of the kind of life that he had led before his contact with Vallabhācārya. This severance that appears in both life and poetry will be the primary subject of discussion in the chapters that follow. The severance can be summed up in two Sanskrit words, both of which occur regularly in the literature of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya—laukika and alaukika—but it can probably never be explained or even described fully. Vallabhācārya did not originate this severance, though he contri-

buted a new angle of approach to it; nor is this severance confined in its appearance to any particular place or time, though concern with it here will naturally revolve around the sect of bhakta-sevakas established in the early 16th century and centred at the holy hill at Govardhana for the purpose of bringing, with Vallabhācārva and his direct male descendants as intermediaries, human souls (jīvas) into direct relationship with the divine. The severance that is so vital to Vallabhācārya and to those who have followed his teachings and which can be summed up by the two words laukika and alaukika can best be examined by returning to the inspiration that lay behind Sūradāsa's poetry. The term laukika cannot be precisely defined in English, though a close approach to its meaning can be obtained through a brief look into its genealogy. Laukika is derived from the Sanskrit noun loka which has the basic meaning of an intermediate space that is conceived of as being an entity in its own right and which is, progressively and at the same time, an area, a region, a world, the world, the earth, the conglomerate of living beings and nonliving material that is our world. Starting from this last meaning of loka, laukika is that which—whether animate or inanimate—is associated with or proceeds from the world, that which is common, ordinary, or according to custom, that which belongs to the everyday life of human beings, that which is profane. These meanings all determine the sense of laukika in the literature, Braj Bhāsā as well as Sanskrit, of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya. The word alaukika, on the other hand, signifies the absence of the laukika. Alaukika signifies that which is uncommon. extraordinary, or supernatural, that which is removed from the ordinary life of human beings, that which is sacred.² The opposition between the laukika and the alaukika is the distinction between the life of Sūradāsa before he received initiation into the Sampradaya of Vallabhacarya and his life after he had entered the Sampradaya and begun the journey along that version of the bhaktimarga called the Pustimarga that was revealed by Vallabhācārya; the distance between the laukika and the alaukika is exactly the distance created by that severance that separates the poetry of the Sūrasāgara, which is alaukika poetry composed under alaukika inspiration, from ordinary laukika poetry composed as a result of laukika inspiration. Alaukika poetry may arouse an alaukika response —for, according to the teaching of Vallabhācārya, the alaukika lies dormant within every individual—and that, to be sure, is part of the purpose of all alaukika literature either in prose or in verse. Though it may be interpreted according to laukika standards, alaukika literature

MONIFR MONIER-WILLIAMS, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960), pp. 906, 909.

²ibid., p. 95.

cannot yield its true beauty nor can it exercise its fullest impact unless it is heard through alaukika ears or read through alaukika eyes. Finally, in order to make a beginning of real appreciation of alaukika literature, one must realize that it is alaukika and one must understand that such literature has an orientation that is altogether different from that of the laukika. My difficulty in developing an emotional contact with the sweetry of Sūradāsa was to a great extent simply the outcome of my efforts to force Sūradāsa's poetry into the same laukika plane on which I was operating. Only after I had begun to read seriously in the Caurāsī Vaisņavan kī Vārtā and after I had started to develop a feeling for the alaukika principles behind the Puṣṭimārga, was I able to approach the Sūrasāgara with much enthusiasm.

The above discussion of the laukika and the alaukika with regard to the Sūrasāgara has left one very interesting question still unanswered: How did Sūradāsa manage to infuse so much of his poetry with the alaukika in a manner that those who are capable of enjoying the alaukika have found so superb? The answer to this question lies nowhere but in the presence of the alaukika in Sūradāsa's own life. There is no doubt that Sūradāsa's material, the *līlā* of Bhagavān Shrī Krsna in Braj, is the very essence of the alaukika and that the Bhagavata Purana in which these lilâs are described is a scripture saturated with the alaukika. The bhaktibhāva that is the heart of the Bhāgavata Purāna is certainly alaukika bhāva. But more than alaukika material was required to transplant intact that alaukika material from the Bhagavata Purana into the Sūrasagara. The life of the transplanter must have been an alaukika life lived in an alaukika environment; every action, every thought conscious or subconscious had to be imbued with the alaukika in order for the transplanter to perform his task. According to the traditions that were passed down in the Sampradāya and that appear in the initial bhāyaprakāsha (which means literally the explanation of the particular situation or emotional state that underlies the events of a prasanga or of a whole vārtā) to the vārtā of Sūradāsa in the Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā, the life of Suradasa together with all of his actions and thoughts-after Sūradāsa had entered into Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya—were alaukika to the ultimate degree. It is said in that bhavaprakasha that Sūradasa did not just hear of the līlās of Bhagavān Shrī Krsna and then describe them in his poetry out of a merely intellectual acquaintance with them, but that Sūradāsa actually experienced in person, the divine, timeless līlās performed by Shrī Krsna and then described them in his poetry from his own first-hand experience. Sūradāsa, in short, had reached while living in an earthly, laukika body—an alaukika level of existence at which he was able to enjoy the inexpressible bliss of direct, face-to-face companionship with Bhagavan Shri Kṛṣṇa and at which he was able to witness the *lilas* of Shri Krsna as an actual participant in them.

The bhavaprakasha to Sūradāsa's vārtā makes clear that Sūradāsa did not always realize his *alaukika* identity. He was born into an ordinary laukika Brāhmana family in a village near Delhi and he led a laukika life, completely unaware of the alaukika existence which he had enjoyed before his birth on earth, until he was discovered by Vallabhācārya who recognized his true identity as a daivi jiva (a divine soul) and initiated him by means of the Brahmasambandha mantra (the mantra which connects one with the Supreme Being) and instructed him in the practice of the Pustimarga. Sūradāsa soon realized, by the grace (anugraha) of Bhagavan, his true, alaukika identity which was that of one of the eight companions (astasakhā) that are never apart from Bhagavān Shri Krsna. As one of the sakhās, Sūradāsa continued throughout the rest of his life on earth to do the sevā of Shrī Krsna's svarūpa on earth, Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Some of his alaukika experiences as the sakhā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī are recounted in his vārtā, translated in Part II of this study. In his alaukika identity Sūradāsa was called "Krsnasakhā` and accompanied Shrī Krsna in all of his daytime *līlās*—taking the cows out to graze, playing games in the pasture, and so on—in the alaukika Braj called Goloka. So it was that Sūradāsa was able to describe all of the daytime *līlās* of Shrī Krsna in his *Sūrasāgara* with such vivid imagery and with such alaukika intensity of devotion. The daytime lilās were, however, only half of the full experience of Shrī Krsna's līlā. Shrī Krsna also performed *līlās* at night together with his beloved Rādhā (who is called "Shrī Svāminīji" by the members of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāva) and the sakhis (female companions). These night-time lilās, which were performed in peaceful, secluded groves, were lilās of love in which Shrī Krsna satisfied the longings of Shrī Svāmīnījī. No male was allowed to witness these *līlas*, or, more exactly, no male is allowed to be present during these night-time līlās, for they are eternal, as are all of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's *līlās*, and are constantly being performed in Goloka. Even Sūradāsa and the other sakhās were barred from witnessing the night-time *līlās*. But, Sūradāsa has described in detail in his verses the night-time *līlās* of Shrī Krsna and Shrī Svāmīnijī. The bhāvaprakāsha to Sūradāsa's vārtā explains how he was able to be present during that *līlā* forbidden to men. Each of the eight sakhās—including, of course, the sakhā that is Sūradāsa—has a dual identity: during the day each of them keeps his male form and goes with Shri Krsna as his

IAt the present time no one is allowed to remain inside the compound of the nikuñja (bower) lilā grounds in the town of Bṛṇdaban (Mathurā Dist., U.P.) after dark. It is said that Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa perform their līlā in the grounds every night and that Sūradāsa (in his Kṛṣṇasakhā form) and the other seven sakhās stand invisible guard—facing away from the grounds—at the gates to the compound all night.

sakhā in the day-time *līlā* and during the night each of them assumes a female form and witnesses the night-time *līlā* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and Shrī Svāmīnījī as a sakhī. In this way Sūradāsa was able to experience the delight of participation in the divine nocturnal *līlā*. When in his alaukika sakhī form Sūradāsa was called "Compakalatā". Sūradāsa, as an eternal companion and servant (a sakhā must, by nature, be a sevaka) of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, was privileged to take part in all of the divine *līlās* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's infancy and childhood, youth, and adulthood and was able to feel as a part of himself all kinds of bhaktibhāva.

With Sūradāsa's alaukika experience in mind, the poem quoted on page four above takes on a considerably enhanced significance. It becomes more than just a poem in the style of vātsalva bhakti bhāva (that variety of bhaktibhava through which the bhakta (devotee) tries to experience within himself the feeling and emotion, the complete state of mind [the bhava] that produces the alaukika love with which Shri Krsna's parents devoted themselves to their son); it becomes a direct description of Sūradāsa's own experience of vātsalva bhakti bhāva. Sūradāsa, in that alaukika state of participation in the eternal līlā of Bhagavān Shrī Krsna. saw and experienced simultaneously the bhava of Yashoda teaching the infant Krsna to walk. What exactly that alaukika state experienced by Sūradāsa and other sevakas in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāva may be can evidently not be described; it can only be said that it is a condition that may be attained on earth and a condition that has, if the claims of Vallabhācārva and his followers are to be accepted, been attained on earth. It was to help human beings realize this alaukika state that Vallabhācārya revealed the Pustimārga. Sūradāsa and other perfect sevakas initiated by Vallabhācārya into the practice of the Pustimārga were, for those still on the laukika level of being, living examples of the attainment of the alaukika on earth.

Before continuing into the detailed description of the nature of Vallabhācārya's religious revelation, something must be said about the basic worldview that has to be appropriated by those who would progress along the *Puṣṭimārga* from the *laukika* to the *alaukika*, from the false to the true. The recognition of the opposition of the *laukika* to the *alaukika* is fundamental to the practice of the *Puṣṭimārga*. This recognition brings about the first of the series of internal transformations that the *sevaka* on the *Puṣṭimārga* must undergo, for the *Puṣṭimārga* is meant to effect a progression of changes that will transform the *jiva* (human soul) from the condition of being *duṣṭa* (defiled, polluted, corrupted, impure) to the state of being *shuddha* (clean, undefiled, pure). It is the *shuddha* state that is the real and true state of the *jīva*; therefore,

¹HARIRĀYAJĪ, Caurāsī Vaisnavan ki Vārtā [Tīn Janma ki Līlā Bhāvanāvālī], ed. Dvārakadāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 377-378.

the Pustimārga is a process to the restoration of the real, a process that is firmly within the mainstream of Indian philosophical and religious thought. By the initial realization that there is a distinction between what is laukika and what is alaukika and by the comprehension of the laukika state as being a state characterized by misery, selfishness, ignorance, and death and the alaukika state as being a state characterized by life, truth, and perpetual practice of sevā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, the sevaka is supposed to feel a desire for and an attraction to alaukika life. Vallabhācārya's teachings hold that the laukika and alaukika states are not physical states but are states of attitude. They do not result from bodily actions but rather from attitudinal orientation. It is for this reason that Sūradāsa, for example, could experience the alaukika on the same material terrain on which others could see only the laukika.

Building from the fundamental distinction of the alaukika from the laukika. Vallabhācārya taught that there are three different attitudes through which any element of the universe may be observed; one of these attitudes is laukika and the other two are alaukika. Among the writings of Vallabhācārya is a collection of sixteen very short books in Sanskrit combined into one larger book and called the Sodashagrantha (The Sixteen Books), one of the books included in the Sodashagrantha is the "Siddhantamuktavali" and it is in the "Siddhantamuktavali" that Vallabhācārva discusses the three different attitudes. Vallabhācārva uses the example of a man who goes to the bank of the sacred Gangā River. Such a man has a choice of three different ways in which to view the river. He may look at it and see simply a river, a current on its way from its headwaters in the mountain to its union with the sea; if the man should look at the Gangā as a stream of water, then he has seen the adhibhautika or material form of the Ganga. If the man at the Ganga, on the other hand, should enter the Gangā as a holy place of pilgrimage which will purify him of his sins, then that man has seen the adhyatmika or spiritual form of the Ganga. But if the man should go to the Ganga not simply as to a river and not to perform worship in order to get spiritual benefit, but rather to praise the Ganga as a bhakta of the Ganga without thought of his own benefit, then that man has realized the adhidaivika form of the Gangā or the divine form of the river. Just as there are three different attitudes toward the Ganga, so, according to Vallabhācārya, are there also three possible ways of beholding the Supreme Being Bhagavan Shrī Krsna. One may see only the physical universe (the jagat in Vallabhācārya's philosophical terminology), which would be seeing only the ādhibhautika form of Shri Kṛṣṇa; or, one may realize through one's own abilities to reason and meditate and concentrate one's mental and physical powers the impersonal absolute entity that underlies the universe, which would be, in the language of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, seeing the ādhyātmika manifestation of Shrī Kṛṣṇa; or, finally, one may realize through the grace (anugraha) of Shrī Kṛṣṇa the full and complete ādhidaivika form which contains and immeasurably exceeds the ādhibhautika and ādhyātmika forms and which is Shrī Kṛṣṇa fully manifested.

This explanation by Vallabhācārya of the three different ways of considering the universe and one's life is still popular today in Vallabhācarva's Sampradava as a simple and clear method of putting into words the worldview necessary for any approach to the Pustimārga. It is especially popular for the instruction of children and for their preparation for the initiation that marks their entrance into the Sampradaya and into the care of Shri Krsna.² The step from the adhibhautika view (which is the laukika view) to the ādhvātmika (which is removed from the ordinary material view and so is alaukika, but is still not the vision of the complete truth) and the step from the adhyatmika attitude to the adhidaivika point of view (which is the perfect alaukika attitude) brings one to the goal of the Pustimarga: the practice of constant, love-filled seva to Shri Krsna that is the dharma of every jīva. These three steps or attitudes are the same three steps which a follower of Vallabhacarya goes through if he should want to move seriously along the Pustimarga. The first step is the initiation into the Sampradāya, a step that anyone may take; it is, so to speak, the adhibhautika level of Vallabhācārya's teaching. The second step is the study of the Shuddhādvaita, the philosophical foundations of the teachings of Vallabhācārya and the adhyātmika level of those teachings. The third and last level is that of the Pustimarga, the perfection of the practice of sevā, the ādhidaivika level of Vallabhācārya's doctrines. In Part II of this study, in the translations of four vārtās from the Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā, these three steps will be exemplified in the lives of particular sevakas of Vallabhācārya.

¹Sītārāma Caturvedī, Mahāprabhu Shrimadvallabhācārya aur Puṣṭimārga (Vārāṇasī: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kutīra, 1967), pp. 282-284.

²CIMMANALĀLA HARISHANKARAJĪ, *Puṣṭimārgopadeshikā*, trans. into Hindi by Shrīmādhava Sharmā (Vārānasi: Shrīmādhava Sharinā, 1941), pp. 113–116.

The Sampradāya

VALLABHĀCĀRYA'S OPINION OF his own age was definitely not an optimistic one; for him it was an age sunk in the depths of the decadent *Kali Yuga*, a time when men had become bloated with pride, arrogance, and viciousness. In the "Shrīkṛṣṇāshrayah", another of the brief books that make up the *Şoḍashagrantha*, Vallabhācārya lashes out at his age with the following jeremiad:

In this Kali Yuga, when hypocrisy predominates, all good paths have been lost and heresy is rampant, Krishna alone is my Way.

The Malechchhas (non-Hindus) have surrounded all the holy places with the result that they have become infected with evil. Besides, the holy people are full of sorrow. At such a time Krishna alone is my Way.

... The learned people have become mad with pride; they follow the path of sin and are bent only upon personal gain and reputation; at such a time Krishna alone is my Way.¹

In such an age the only hope for human beings is the grace (anugraha) of Shrī Kṛṣṇa; only by that grace could men have any chance to find the alaukika in an era overwhelmingly laukika. Vallabhācārya saw the task of preparing men to receive that grace as his svadharma, his own personal, inescapable obligation. But, in order to fulfil this obligation, Vallabhācārya first had to come to grips with a seemingly insoluble dilemma with which he was confronted. This problem arose from the fact that jīvas (human souls) lost in the Kali Yuga had become so thoroughly

¹Bhai Manilai, C. Parekh, Sri Vallabhacharya Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), pp. 470–471.

The Sanskrittext and a Hindī translation may be found in: Sīrānāma Caturvedī, Mahā-prahhu Shrimadvallabhācārya aur Puṣṭi-Mārga (Vārāṇasī: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kuṭīra, 1967), pp. 301-302.

contaminated by the sort of impurities (dosa) enumerated in the selection from the "Shrikrsnāshrayah" above that, Vallabhācārya feared, they could never approach or be approached by Bhagavan Shri Krsna who is perfectly pure and by nature repels all things tinged with impurity. Nevertheless, the only possibility for the salvation (uddhāra, a Sanskrit word which signifies the action of lifting or pulling something out of something else or of rescuing someone or something from some danger and which is used by Vallabhācārya to mean Bhagavān's rescue of jīvas from the bondage to ignorance, death, and falsity that is samsāra)! of the Jivas was the grace that could be received only directly from Bhagavān Shrī Krsna. The solution to the dilemma was presented to Vallabhācārva by Shrī Krsna himself at Gokula² where Vallabhācārva had paused for a time in the course of a pilgrimage to the holy places of Braj. At midnight on Thursday the eleventh of the light half of the month of Shrāvana (July-August) in the year A.D. 14943 at Gokula, Shrī Krsna appeared before Vallabhācārya and revealed to him directly the means by which the *jīvas* might be cleansed of their faults.4 Since it is with this event—considered by Vallabhācārya's followers to be the most important single event in the history of their Sampradāya—that the Sampradāya of Vallabhācārya came into being, Bhagavān Shrī Krsna's full message must be quoted as Vallabhācārya himself repeats it in the "Siddhantarahasyam", another of the writings included in the Sodashagrantha. The message appears there as follows:

Now I will tell, syllable by syllable, what was said to me by. Bhagavān who was present before my eyes at midnight on the eleventh of the bright half of the month of Shrāvana.

²Gokula is located across the Yamunā from Mathurā (about seven miles to the southeast of Mathurā by road) and is a famous Vaiṣṇava holy place, attracting great numbers of pilgrims each year. It was at Gokula that Vasudeva, carrying the newborn Kṛṣṇa to the house of King Nanda of the cowherds, first set foot on dry land after crossing the flood-swollen Yamunā and it was at Gokula that Shrī Kṛṣṇa played much of his childhood līlā (hālalīlā). See the following for the tale of the birth of Shrī Kṛṣṇa:

KRISHNA-DWAIPAYANA VYASA, *The Srimad-Bhagavatam*, trans. J. M. SANYAL (Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., 1952), p. 17, vol. IV.

Descriptions of Gokula and its temples are found in:

- L. F. R. WILLIAMS (ed.), A Handbook for Travellers in India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon (London: John Murray, 1965), pp. 206-207.
- F. S. GROWSE, Mathurā: A District Memoir (Allāhābād: N. W. Provinces and Oudh Govt. Press, 1883), pp. 272-283.
- ³ Kanthamani shāstrī "Vishārada", Kānkarolī kā Itihāsa (Kānkarolī: Shrīvidyā-Vibhāga, 1940), II, 32.
- 4HARIRĀYAJĪ, Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā [Tīn Janma kī Līlā Bhāvanāvālī], ed. DVĀRAKA-DĀSA PARĪKHA (Mathurā: Dvārakadāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 4–5.

¹Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, p. 189.

By means of the *Brahmasambandha* all of the impurities (dosa)—including those of the $j\bar{i}va$ as well as those of the body—of all people will disappear; these *dosas* fall into five categories:

Those which are innate, those which result from one's region, those which result from one's time, those that result from association, and those that result from direct contact; all five are recognized by the world and by the *Vedus* and none of them is ever to be approved.

Impurities can be removed in no way other than by the rejection of all things that have not been dedicated to Shri Kṛṣṇa.

Those who have dedicated themselves to Shrī Kṛṣṇa must hand over every one of their actions to Shrī Kṛṣṇa; an offering of something that has already been partly enjoyed is not a permissible offering to him who is the god of gods.

Therefore, one must dedicate everything that he has to Shrī Kṛṣṇa first and only then may one make use of his possessions. There is a precept that holds that anything that has been offered to Shrī Kṛṣṇa then belongs only to Shrī Kṛṣṇa and cannot be taken back and used by the offerer;

But, this precept is intended only for those who follow ordinary, worldly doctrines and modes of worship and is not to be accepted by followers of this *Puṣṭimārga*. One must dedicate oneself and one's belongings to Shrī Kṛṣṇa just as, in everyday life, a servant puts himself entirely at the service of his employer.

Everything that is dedicated to Shrī Kṛṣṇa becomes divine in nature in just the same way that all waters, both those that were pure and those that were impure, which enter into the Gangā become part of the Gangā and so share the sacred essence of the Gangā;

The receiving of offerings by Shrī Kṛṣṇa is just like the receiving of waters by the Gangā, for in both cases the result is the same. The "Siddhāntarahasya" by Vallabhācārya is hereby completed.¹

Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī, a close companion of Vallabhācārya, was present that night when Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa appeared to Vallabhācārya and he heard the divine voice but was unable to understand what it was saying. On the morning of the next day, Vallabhācārya initiated Dāmodaradāsa with the *Brahmasambandha* mantra that had been given the night before by Shrī Kṛṣṇa, thus making Dāmodaradāsa the first member of the new *Sampradāya*. In taking the *Brahmasambandha* rite of initiation Dāmodaradāsa, like all of those who have followed him into the *Sampradāya* up to the present day, became free of all impurities and acceptable

¹The English translation is mine. The Sanskrit text and a Hindi translation will be found in CATURVEDĪ, Mahāprabhu, pp. 292–293.

to Shri Krsna. Those who take the rite are, according to Vallabhācārva's doctrines, put thereby into direct relationship with Shri Krsna (Brahma is Brahman, the Supreme Being who is called Shri Krsna; sambandha is the state of being bound or joined together, association, conjunction, union, related or connected condition, relationship) and made eligible to receive the grace (anugraha) of Bhagavān.² The key to the efficacy of the Brahmasambandha mantra and rite is the self-dedication (atmanivedana) doctrine contained therein. In taking the Brahmasambandha one gives himself, his possessions, and his actions completely up to Shri Krsna and he vows to dedicate all future actions and material belongings to Shrī Krsna before enjoying them. By so doing, one offers himself up to Shri Krsna without reservation or selfishness and becomes, like everything that is offered to Shri Krsna, divine and pure (see verse 8) of the "Siddhantarahasya" on p. 18 above). The taking of the Brahmasambandha was evidently in the time of Vallabhācārya a physically simple act, uncomplicated by ritual. The standard procedure would be for the candidate for entrance into the Sampradaya to humbly entreat Vallabhacārya to take him into his spiritual protection; if Vallabhācārya were willing to admit the candidate, he would tell him to go bathe and then return; on the return of the candidate, Vallabhācārya would give the candidate Bhagavān's own name-Shrī Krsna-to be his mantra and then have him dedicate himself and all that belonged to him to Shrī Krsna; finally, Vallabhācārya would begin instructing the candidate now a member of the Sampradaya—in the secret significance of the name of Bhagavan, in the meaning of the tenth chapter of the Bhagavata Purāna, and in other matters of doctrine.³ This process of initiation was not an unusual one in Vallabhācārya's time and it would not be considered out of the ordinary among rites of religious initiation in India today. The contribution of Vallabhācārya was not in the form of the initiation, but in the emphasis that the rite of initiation placed on the complete dedication of the candidate by his own desire to the divine and in the requirement of the rite that the initiate submit every action,

MONIER-WILLIAMS, Dictionary, pp. 740, 1177.

²Vallabhācārya differentiated between Brahman (akṣara Brahman) the impersonal, qualityless absolute and Parabrahman-Shrī Kṛṣṇa the Supreme Being with divine, non-material body and qualities. Parabrahman dwells within, yet also contains, the akṣara Brahman, the latter being merely a limited manifestation of the former. For those who object that the Brahmasambandha brings one into contact only with the akṣara Brahman and not with the supreme Brahman (Parabrahman), the sectarians say that the Brahmasambandha rite puts one into contact with akṣara Brahman, the abode of Parabrahman, and that by so entering the house of Parabrahman one will meet Parabrahman. See the following:

CIMMANALĀLA HARISHANKARAJĪ, Puṣṭimārgopadeshikā, trans. into Hindī by Shrī-MĀDHAVA SHARMĀ (Vārāṇasi: Shrīmādava Sharmā, 1941), pp. 8-9.

³HARIRĀYAJĪ, Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā, p. 383.

thought, or possession to Shrī Kṛṣṇa before making use of it. By this self-dedication the problem of the jīva's impurities was solved and a way was opened for human beings to find the divine even in the midst of the Kali Yuga.

At the present time, since most members of Vallabhācārya's Sampradaya are born into the sect rather than converted to it in adulthood, the age of the initiates is usually young. There are, in fact, now two initiations: one is given to all children of sectarian families sometime before they reach the age of five years and the other is given later, at about the age of twelve for boys and just before marriage for girls, to the same children. The first initiation consists only of the giving of the holy eight-syllabled mantra—"Shri Krsnah sharanam mama" (Shri Krsna is my refuge)! and a tulasī wood necklace (which is common to all Vaisnava sects). The second is more elaborate and is usually preceded by a one-day fast intended to bring the candidate into accord with the significance of the ceremony. At the time of the initiation the initiator (who is always a direct descendant in the male line from Vallabhācārva) places a tulasī leaf in the hand of the candidate and has him repeat a formula by which he dedicates his mind, body, wealth (mana, tana, and dhana), wife, household, senses, and everything else to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. After taking the second ceremony of initiation, the candidate is considered to have put himself in the hands of Shrī Krsna, to have been purified of his dosas, and to have become a full member of the Sampradaya.2

BIRTH AND CHILDHOOD OF VALLABHACARYA

Some information on the early events of Vallabhācārya's life will be

¹The esoteric interpretation of the eight-syllabled *Brahmasambandha* mantra as taught in the *Sampradāya* is as follows:

shri-this syllable brings wealth and good fortune

kr-this syllable withers and destroys sin

snah—this syllable drives away ādhibhautika (relating to matter), ādhyātmika (relating to the individual self), and ādhidaivika (relating to the divine) sorrows and misfortunes

sha—this syllable dispels the sorrows of birth and death

ra-this syllable gives one knowledge related to Shrī Kṛṣṇa

nam - this syllable causes one's devotion (bhakti) to Shri Kṛṣṇa to be firm

ma—this syllable brings the affection of one's guru who teaches one the methods of divine sevã

ma-this syllable unites one with Shri Kṛṣṇa so that one need never again be born on the laukika plane

For further information on the above see: Harishankaraji, pp. 28-30.

²Ibid., pp. 5-7. A somewhat hostile description of the same ceremonies is given in the following book: [Karsandas Mulii], History of the Sect of Maharajas or Vallabhacharyas in Western India (London: Trubner and Co., 1865), pp. 120-121.

useful at this point in our study of his Sampradaya. Like most of the great revealers of transcendent doctrines in India and elsewhere in the world. Vallabhācārva was not born into his life on earth in a commonplace manner. The story of his birth, as it appears in the traditions of his Sampradava, is as replete with miracles and signs of special supernatural favour as the birth legend of any comparable religious leader of any time or country. These legends of extraordinary births, practically always followed—and the case of Vallabhācārva is no exception—by tales of childhoods marked by wondrous precocity in scholarship and learning and by marvellous righteousness and godliness in behaviour, are more than just attempts to exalt some particular system of religious or philosophical belief over its competitors by making its founder seem to have been more than human. These supernatural stories themselves may tell a great deal about the worldview of the sect by which they are produced and in which they are told and retold. For this reason, in the following sketch of the life of Vallabhācārya, which agrees as closely as possible with the account that seems to be most widely accepted in the Vallabhācārva Sampradāva, supernatural or seemingly unreasonable elements have not been ignored, shunted aside, or reasoned away, but have been presented just as they traditionally appear. That so much of Vallabhācārva's life on earth has an air of the alaukika about it should be no cause for wonder for Vallabhācārya, who is considered by most of the members of his Sampradaya to have been a divine incarnation (avatāra), spent his life on earth working for an alaukika goal.

The story of Vallabhācārva's life does not begin with his birth or with the birth of his father or grandfather, but rather with the miraculous appearance of a divine svarūpa (see p. 9 above) out of the top of the sacred hill of Govardhana. Govardhana Hill (also known as "Girirāja," the King among Mountains), which is located some fourteen miles to the west of Mathura, is an important place of pilgrimage for all Vaisnavas. As a symbol of the refuge from sins and afflictions that Shri Krsna offers his devotees, Govardhana Hill has special importance for the followers of the teachings of Vallabhacarya. During the time when Shri Kṛṣṇa was playing out his *līlā* in the earthly Braj, the cowherd people with whom Shrī Krsna lived used to sacrifice to Indra, the god of rains. In order to destroy Indra's pride Kṛṣṇa persuaded the cowherd people to stop offering sacrifices to Indra and to offer them to Govardhana Hill—which was then far higher than it is in this Kali Yuga—instead. The people did so and Shri Krsna, taking the form of the deity of Govardhana Hill, accepted their offerings. Indra was enraged at being deprived of his offerings and sent torrents of rain to punish the cowherds for neglecting his worship. Shrī Kṛṣṇa, however, took up Govardhana Hill and held it with one arm over the cowherd families and their animals and

so protected them until Indra was forced to admit defeat. In the early morning one day in A.D. 1410 a black stone in the form of a bent arm stretched upward appeared out of the ground on top of this sacred hill. A local herdsman discovered the new image and told his friends about it. Since the new image had been discovered on Naga-pañcami, the day on which snakes are worshipped in India, it was decided to hold a religious fair annually on that day in honour of the image. The image came to be worshipped with offerings of milk, just as snakes are worshipped. Because of its colour, shape, and the day on which it was discovered, it was probably originally thought of as a serpent deity. The fame of the image spread until it was known throughout Braj. Then, several decades later, at midnight on the eleventh of the dark half of the month of Vaishākha (April-May) of A.D. 1479, the image suddenly rose further out of the ground and a face was revealed along with the uplifted arm. This new appearance attracted still more attention to the image and people began coming in large numbers to see it and to worship it. It was now called "Devadamana", an epithet of Shri Krsna that means "Subduer of Gods".2 but it was still worshipped with offerings of milk. At the very moment that the face of the image called Devadamana-which was really not an ordinary image but a svarūpa of Shrī Krsna, the true name of which was "Shrī Govardhananāthajī", the Lord of Govardhana—appeared above ground, Vallabhācārya was born far away from Braj in a forest in the modern Indian state of Madhya Pradesh. The tradition of the simultaneous occurrence of the appearance of the face—especially of the mouth—of the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna on Govardhana Hill and of the birth of Vallabhācārya has a special significance for the members of the Sampradaya. The mouth of Bhagavan Shri Krsna is the source of speech, of the eternal cosmic sound and the mouth of Bhagavan Shri Krsna is also the receptable of divine (adhidaivika) fire (agni). Vallabhacārya is called in the Sampradāya the Mukhāvatāra of Bhagavān (the incarnation of the mouth of Bhagavan Shri Kṛṣṇa). As such, Vallabhacarva has both the divine speech for instructing his followers in the understanding of perfect truth and the divine fire with which he can burn away the sins and impurities (dosa) of his followers so that they may become pure and take refuge with Shrī Krsna.3

Vallabhācārya was born into a family of Telugu Brāhmaņas belonging

¹VYASA, Vol. IV, pp. 104-111.

²The svarūpa was considered to have three sections, each with a different name; the left section (including the upraised arm) is called Nāgadamana "Subduer of the Serpent", the right section is called Indradamana, and the middle section is called Devadamana — Indradamana means "Subduer of Indra" and Devadamana means "Subduer of Gods'. See HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 336–337.

³HARISHANKARAJĪ, pp. 23-24.

to the Bharadvaia gotra, adhering to the Taittiriva branch of the Yaiurvola, and included within the Velanata or Vellanadu group of Brahmanas. Vallabhācārya's family's ancestral village was the village of Kānkaravāda on the south bank of the Godavari River in modern Andhra Pradesh. His family had been Vaisnava and devoted to Shri Kṛṣṇa for generations; the family goddess was called "Renuka". The birth of an avatara of Bhagayan Shri Krsna within Vallabhacarya's family had long been awaited; it was said that an early member of the family had been given a divine promise that such an avatāra would be born in the family after tamily members had performed over the generations one hundred soma sacrifices. Vallabhācārya's father, Laksmana Bhatta, though he was married to a girl named Illamma (her name is usually given with the I clugu honorific suffix "-garu")—who was the daughter of a family priest serving the rulers of the empire of Vijayanagara (Vidyānagara), had a very strong inclination to take vows of sannyāsa (abandonment of worldly life). At one point before the birth of Vallabhācārya, Laksmana left his parents and wife and three children to follow a famous holy man. but the holy man told Laksmana that a son destined to bring teachings of joy to the world would soon be born to him and sent him back to his family. Laksmana eventually performed five soma sacrifices, which brought the family total to one hundred; not long after Bhagavan Shri Krsna appeared to Laksmana in a dream and announced to him his intention of taking human form as his son. Out of piety, Laksmana and his wife next decided to undertake a pilgrimage to the sacred places of North India and set out from the Telugu country in the company of a group of pilgrims belonging to their own caste (jāti). By A.D. 1477 they had reached the holy conflux of the three rivers at Prayaga (Allahabad); there Laksmana decided to continue on to Vārānasī and settle in that most sacred of all the cities of India for the remainder of his life. Laksmana and Illammägärü did settle in Väränasi, but they were not to live there long in peace. At this time the political situation in the Vārānasī region was most disturbed; Buhlūl Lodī of Delhi was locked in his struggle with Husain Shah the ruler of the Sharqi kingdom of Jaunpur (with its capital only 36 miles to the northwest of Vārānasī), both kings were Muslim. In late 1478 rumours of an impending Muslim raid against the wealthy Hindu temples of Vārānasī—a raid that was supposed to be led by the formidable Buhlūl Lodī himself or by one of his lieutenants caused Laksmana Bhatta and his wife, who was seven months pregnant. to join a party of South Indians fleeing from Vārānasī to return to their homes in the south. The rigours of the journey were especially hard on

¹R. C. MAJUMDAR (ed.), The Delhi Sultanate, vol. VI of The History and Culture of the Indian People (11 vols.; Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1967), pp. 140-141, 191-192.

Illammägärü and finally, deep in the wild and unpopulated Campäranya (a forest in modern Raipur District, Madhya Pradesh), she was no longer able to keep up with the rest of the refugees and she and her husband stopped to spend the night in the forest alone. At midnight that same night Illammägärü, in the eighth month of her pregnancy, bore a son. It was dark, Illammägärü was exhausted, and the child was premature; therefore, when the child did not move and showed no signs of life, Illammägärü sorrowfully concluded that he had been born dead. She called her husband who, after a brief examination, agreed that the infant was dead. In the darkness neither of the parents noticed the auspicious birthmarks on the child's body. Grief-stricken, the parents wrapped the body of their dead child in leaves and left it at the foot of the huge shamī tree under which Illammägärü had borne the child. Then, that very night, Lakṣmaṇa and Illammägārū went on toward the town of Caudānagara, which they reached before morning.

The thread of the story must be broken here in order to consider briefly the significance of Vallabhācārya's birth beneath a shamī tree. The association of trees with human beings, and especially with extraordinary human beings, is, to be sure, not uncommon in India and in the world as a whole. One immediately thinks of the birth of Gautama the Buddha beneath a shāla tree in the Lumbini Grove, though the Buddha's birth was free of the pain and suffering which attended the birth of Vallabhācārya. The elaborate myths, rites, and legends that have in many societies grown up around trees—the Cosmic Tree, the Tree of Life, etc.—no doubt explain part of the meaning behind the birth of Vallabiacarva under a tree.3 but there also seems to be some significance in the fact that it was a shamī tree under which Vallabhācārya was born. The shamī is a real tree, possibly a kind of mimosa, and has had importance in India for ritual uses. The hard wood of the shami tree is supposed to contain fire and was used to kindle the sacred fire; according to an ancient Indian legend primeval fire was produced when Pururavas rubbed together the branches of two different trees, one of

Campāraṇya is located by the village of Champajhar in Raipur tahsil, M.P. The identification of this place with the forest where Vallabhācārya was born was made in the last quarter of the nineteenth century and, since then, a shrine has been built to mark the spot where Vallabhācārya's birth took place. The place now attracts many pilgrims, most of them members of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya, every year, most from western India. Even today, no pregnant woman will enter the forest here for fear of suffering an abortion. See A. E. Nelson (ed.), Central Provinces District Gazetteer, Rajpur District, Vol. "A" (Bombay: Govt. of India Press, 1909), pp. 279-280.

2HENRY CLARKE WARREN, Buddhism in Translations (New York: Atheneum, 1969), pp. 45-46.

³MIRCEA ELIADE, Patterns in Comparative Religion (Cleveland: World Publishing Co., 1968), pp. 265-330.

which was the *shamī*. Considering Vallabhācārya's association with divine fire as a result of being the *Mukhāvatāra* of Bhagavān (see p. 22 above), it is fitting and significant that he was born under a tree so closely connected with fire as the *shamī* tree.

Laksmana and Illammāgārū arrived in Caudānagara very tired and lay down to sleep as soon as they found a place to stay. During his sleep Laksmana had a dream in which Bhagavan Shri Krsna appeared to him and told him that the infant that had been left under the shami tree was still alive. Upon awakening, both Laksmana and Illummägärü went directly back to the spot where they had left their son. When they reached the spot, they found the infant alive and playing happily and unharmed in the midst of a blazing fire which had protected him through the night. The protecting fire carries on the same theme of the divine, purifying fire that was associated with Vallabhācārya and used by him to burn away the faults of his followers. Perhaps the legend of the revival of the stillborn infant Vallabhācārya within the divine purifying, protecting fire is the Sampradaya's way of removing its founder from the laukika existence and placing him in the alaukika from the moment of his birth. Since Vallabhācārya was born dead, he was able to acquire a human laukika-form without ever having had laukika life; then, he received alaukika life from the alaukika fire. As has already been mentioned in connection with the account of the appearance of the face of the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna on Govardhana Hill (see p. 22 above), Vallabhācārya was born on Sunday, the eleventh of the dark half of the month of Vaishākha (April-May), at midnight, in A.D. 1479 (like most dates in Vallabhācārva's life, doubt has been cast on the date of his birth with some putting it in A.D. 1473), 2 Husain Shāh of Jaunpur had been completely defeated by Buhlūl Lodī about a month before Vallabhācārya's birth, so conditions in the Vārānasī area were probably becoming more peaceful. At any rate, Laksmana Bhatta decided to return to Vārānasī instead of continuing on to the Telugu country. Vallabhācārya thus spent his childhood in the city of Vārānasī. It was, of course, a marvellous childhood. Vallabhācārya was invested with the sacred thread in A.D. 1487 at the age of eight, the normal age at which Brāhmana boys receive the thread and enter into study of the scriptures. From this point on, however, there was nothing normal about Vallabhācārya's childhood. Laksmana Bhatta was anxious to provide Vallabhācārya with the best possible orthodox Brahmanical education and he provided him with the best teachers that he could find, but, even so, he must have been astounded at the progress that his son made. By the age of eleven Vallabha-

¹Monier-Williams, p. 1054.

²Shästrī, pp. 19-20.

cārya had mastered, according to the traditions of the Sampradāya, the Vedas, the Vedānta, the six shāstras, and the necessary purāṇas; among all of these scriptures the Bhāgavata Purāṇa, as would be expected, was already Vallabhācārya's favourite. As a result of his feat of accomplishing in three childhood years what even the greatest scholars can seldom achieve in a lifetime, the young Vallabha naturally became a celebrity in Vārāṇasī, one of the greatest centres of learning and philosophical discussion in India.

VALLABHACARYA'S FIRST PILGRIMAGE AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SHRI GOVARDHANANATHAJI

Meanwhile, convinced that he was coming to the end of his life, Laksmana Bhatta decided to undertake one more pilgrimage, this time to the holy shrines of South India. Taking his wife and his son Vallabha with him, he set out. The first stop on the pilgrimage was the famous Vaisnava shrine of Jagannātha at Purī in Orissa and it was there that Vallabhācārya scored his first major triumph over the philosophers adhering to the doctrines of advaita (non-dualism, a philosophical point of view that gained wide geographical currency and great philosophical prestige and influence through the labours of the famous philosopher Shankarācārya of the ninth century; advaita is usually called Māyāvāda—Māyā-ism—in the literature of the Vallabhācārva Sampradāya because Vallabhācārya held that the advaita school accepted Māyā—the force of illusion—as being independent of Parabrahman, the Supreme Being); throughout his career, the followers of the doctrines of advaita seem to have been Vallabhācārva's chief philosophical opponents. Vallabhācārva arrived at the shrine of Jagannatha with his mother and father in A.D. 1489, which was the tenth year of his life.

The sectarian accounts relate the following events as having taken place during Vallabhācārya's visit to Purī in 1489. At that time, the local ruler was sponsoring a great shāstrārtha (a formal philosophical debate) on points of Vedic philosophy; the shāstrārtha was being held in the temple of Jagannātha. Though only a child, Vallabhācārya entered into the debate and, after a brilliant showing, was declared the victor. Then, the king put these four questions to the assembled scholars: What is the foremost scripture? Who is the foremost deity? Which is the most effective mantra? What is the easiest and best action? The Vaiṣṇava and Māyāvādī scholars argued long over the answers to these questions; Vallabhācārya answered them according to the principles and teaching of the bhaktimārga, but the Māyāvādīs said that they would

not accept his answers unless Jagannatha himself would signify his approval of them. Consequently, the king ruled that clean paper, ink, and a pen should be left within the temple of Jagannatha and that everyone should then leave the temple and that the temple gates then be is ked and guarded. When the doors were opened, a Sanskrit shloka so found to have been written on the paper left before the image of Lagannatha. The shloka said that the foremost scripture is the Bhagavad time the foremost deity is Shrī Krsna the son of Devakī, the foremost mantra is any one of Shri Krsna's names, and the foremost action is the service (sevā) of Shrī Krsna. These answers were the answers given by Vallabhācārya. The Māyāyādī philosophers had not expected Jagannatha actually to give an answer, but when the answer was given they complained because the image of Jagannatha is without arms and so would have been unable to write. But, after Jagannatha wrote another shloka, this time condemning the Māyāvādīs for their behaviour, the king became very angry with them and evicted them. Vallabhācārya was given great honour and presents.

Vallabhācārya and his mother and father then proceeded on their pulgrimage toward the south. In 1490, at the Vaisnava temple of Shrī Venkateshvara (at Tirupati 80 miles northwest of Madras), Laksmana Bhatta died and Vallabhācārya was left without a father at the age of cleven. Vallabhācārya accompanied his mother to Vijayanagara where she settled in the house of her brother. Vallabhācārya then was free to set out on the first of the three pilgrimages that were to take him to all parts of India. In the course of these three pilgrimages Vallabhācārya perfected his philosophical system, which he called "Shuddhādvaita" (pure non-dualism) and which will be discussed in detail as the adhyatmika stage of Vallabhācārya's teaching in the next chapter, defended the Vaisnava bhaktimārga against the Māvāvādīs and the followers of other systems of belief, gave public readings from the Bhāgavata Purāna and taught his own interpretations of passages in that scripture, discussed philosophical and religious matters with scholars and holy men, and began to attract students and followers. Those who follow Vallabhācārva's teachings have placed great emphasis on Vallabhācārva's travels and have established monument-shrines called "baithakas" at each place where some important event in his life occurred. For example, there is a baithaka at Vallabhācārya's birthplace, another at Jagannātha-Purī where, as we have seen, he vanquished the Māyāyādīs and Jagannātha himself wrote a shloka in support of his doctrines, another at Gokula on Govindaghāta where he received the divine command to administer the Brahmasambandha, another at Jūnāgadha (Gujārāt) where a divine svarūpa was revealed to him on Girināra Mountain, another at Haridvāra

(Uttar Pradesh) where he bathed at the time of the Kumbha Melā, and so on. There are a total of eighty-four of these baithakas.¹ Altogether, Vallabhācārya spent nineteen years (A.D. 1493–1512) making his three tours around India. In the course of these pilgrimage tours four important events took place.

The first of these events is also the most important for the Sampradāya. This event is the giving of the Brahmasambandha to Vallabhācārya (see pp. 18-20 above) in 1494. Vallabhācārva had not, however, come to Braj with the intenion of receiving the Brahmasambandha; he had come there for a different, but closely related, purpose. While travelling in South India in the latter part of the year 1493, Shri Krsna had appeared in a dream to Vallabhācārva and had instructed him to go at once to Brai and reveal the identity of a divine svarūpa that had appeared out of the top of Govardhana Hill. It was for the purpose of establishing the svarūpa under its true name, Shrī Govardhananāthajī, that Vallabhācārya had come to Brai. Vallabhācārya went to Govardhana Hill and had darshana (the act of coming to see and to pay homage to a deity or highly respected human being) of the image called Devadamana. Vallabhācārva realized that Devadamana was the svarūpa that he was to reveal to the world; he announced to the worshippers at the shrine that the image was really a svarūpa of Shrī Krsna in the act of holding the mountain Govardhana over the heads of the cowherds and their families and animals and that the svarūpa should be called Shrī Govardhananāthajī. A rough shelter was erected over the svarūpa at the insistence of Vallabhācārya. Before he left Govardhana Hill Vallabhācārya appointed a man to care for the svarūpa and to perform a simple sevā for it. So it was that in 1494 Vallabhācārya established his Sampradāya by means of the Brahmasambandha initiation and received the divine svarūpa around which the new Sampradaya would revolve. Six years after the revelation of the svarūpa a wealthy merchant from Ambālā (in modern Haryāna state) had a dream in which Bhagavan Shri Krsna told him that he must build a permanent temple for Shri Govardhananāthaji. That same year the merchant, whose name was Pūrnamalla Khatrī, with Vallabhācārya's permission, hired an architect and set about having the temple built. When the temple was only half-finished, Pūrnamalla ran out of money and the work on the temple stopped until he could amass enough wealth to buy materials and to pay workers for the finishing of the building. The story of Pürnamalla Khatri and the building of the temple of Shri Govardhananāthajī can be found in the Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā.² In A.D. 1520, twenty years after work on it was begun, the temple

¹*Ibid.*, pp. 65-75.

²Harirāyajī, pp. 141-144.

on Govardhana Hill was finished. It was evidently a large, solidly-built structure and it served its purpose well until it was abandoned and allowed to fall into ruins when, during the reign of Aurangzeb, the image of Shrī Govardhananāthajī was removed to Nāthadvāra in modern Rajasthān.

VALLABHACARYA'S MARRIAGE AND THE EFFECT OF HIS MARRIAGE ON HIS SAMPRADAYA

The second important event occurred, probably between A.D. 1501 and 1503 (the date, as is the case with most dates in Vallabhācārva's life. is the subject of dispute among scholars both inside and outside of the Sampradāya), while Vallabhācārya, in the course of his second journey around India, was at Pandharapura in Maharastra. Vallabhācārya had gone to that city in order to have darshana of the famed Vaisnava image of Shrī Vittalanāthajī which was located there. 1 At the time of his visit to Pandharapura Vallabhācārya had not yet married and may well have intended to remain a Brahmacārī (one who leads a simple, celibate life devoted to the study of the scriptures) all of his life. But. while having darshana of Shrī Vittalanāthajī in the temple, Vallabhācārya received a command to marry from the god himself. According to some sectarian authorities Shrī Vitthalanāthajī told Vallabhācārya to marry because he himself wanted to take incarnation as Vallabhācārya's own son,2 but according to other sectarian scholars Shrī Vitthalanāthajī merely told Vallabhācārva that he must marry in order to produce a line of descendants to preserve and promulgate his version of the bhaktimarga after his death.3 At first, this event would seem to be a minor one, no more than an explanation of Vallabhācārya's decision to marry or, perhaps a legend produced in order to bestow a divine or semi-divine status on Vallabhācārva's children and their descendants. But on further examination, the story of Shri Vitthalanāthaii's order to Vallabhācārya to get a wife and have children, whatever its origin may have been, comes to have a very deep significance in the development of Vallabhacārya's Sampradāya. There are three aspects to the significance of this story.

First of all, it should be by now clear that Vallabhācārya was not, in the minds of his followers, an ordinary human being; he was, for

¹ For a description of the shrine of Shrī Viţtalanāthajī at Pandharapura and a summary of the legends associated with it, see: M. S. MATE, *Temples and Legends of Maharashtra* (Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1962), pp. 188-219.

²Harishankarajī, p. 31.

³SHĀSTRĪ, p. 51.

them, the avatāra of the holy mouth of Bhagavān, wherein resides the ādhidaivika (divine) fire. By his transmission of the Brahmasambandha to the jīvas, Vallabhācārya himself becomes the ādhidaivika fire cleansing the jīvas and making them pure. It is for this reason that Vallabhācārya is sometimes called the avatāra of Vaishvānara (Vaishvānara, which means "the one who relates to or belongs to all men", is one of the names of the Vedic fire-god Agni)¹ in the literature of the Sampradāya.² If the sectarian commentators are correct, Vallabhācārya even referred to himself as Agni; see, for example, verse fourteen of Vallabhācārya's "Jalabhedaḥ" (included in the Sodashagrantha).³ Neither Vallabhācārya nor his followers can have been unaware of the function of ādhidaivika fire (the god Agni) in the sacred Vedas and in the sacrifices of Vedic religion. A. B. Keith has summarized the place of Agni in Vedic religion in the following words:

[Agni] is an essential element in the transmission of the sacrifice to the gods who cannot enjoy it without him. On the one hand he brings the gods down to the sacrifice and seats them on the straw that they may enjoy the food and drink offered. On the other hand he bears the oblation to the gods in heaven. In either case he is constantly serving as an envoy between the gods and men, and is especially often called the messenger of man,...The Yajurveda elevates him into a messenger of the gods....A Brāhmaņa [scripture] deals with him, not as a messenger of, but as the path leading to the gods...

The significance of the linking of Vallabhācārya with Agni and with the divine mouth which produces Agni hinges on Vallabhācārya's position in his Sampradāya as the intermediary between Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa and the individual human jīvas, as the agent whereby each jīva's self-sacrifice (ātmanivedana) reaches Bhagavān and becomes Brahmasambandhī and as the agent through which Bhagavān enjoys union with the self-sacrificed jīvas. Like Agni Vallabhācārya is both the messenger that goes between Bhagavān and the jīvas and the path, in his role of expounder of the Puṣṭimārga, that leads the jīvas to Bhagavān; Vallabhācārya, the avatāra of the divine mouth which contains the divine fire and the avatāra of that fire itself, is the way from the human to the divine, from the laukika to the alaukika, from the ādhibhautika to the ādhidaivika. Furthermore, Vallabhācārya's role is just as vital to Bhagavān as it is

MONIER-WILLIAMS, p. 1027.

²HARISHANKARAJĪ, p. 24.

³CATURVEDĪ, pp. 309-310.

⁴ARTHUR B. KEITH, The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads (2 vols.; Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1925), vol. I. p. 159.

to the jīvas: Bhagavān desires the jīvas to realize the bliss of union with him; but, for this to occur, the jīvas must have contact with the purifying ulhidajvika fire that is Vallabhācārya.

When Vallabhācārya is thought of as the connection between Shri Krsna and the *iīvas*, then the magnitude of the influence of any action of his, public or private, becomes readily apparent. Vallabhācārya's example was the final authority for his followers; since his life was the point of contact between the laukika and the alaukika, one could pass from the lower state to the higher by serving Vallabhācārya and by living as nearly as possible as he lived. It was so that the example of Vallabhācārva and of his sevakas—and especially of Sūradāsa and the other seven great poets (the astachāpa) of the Sampradāya who were themselves really the givine astasakhā-astasakhī-might be followed even after the earthly līlā of those individuals had been completed that the Vallabhācārva Sampradāva has so carefully preserved the accounts of the actions of Vallabhācārya and his sevakas in the Caurāsī Vaisnavan ki Vārtā and the other Braj Bhāsā vārtā collections. Since even the tiniest matter done by Vallabhācārya was heavy with meaning and rich with value for his followers, the effect of his marriage would be tremendous.

In India it has long been expected that persons who are seriously attempting to pass from the ignorance and error of the world to the truth and knowledge of the divine will sever all association with the ordinary way of life and its pleasures and pains. The sexual relationship, even within marriage, is always one of the first casualties when the decision to obliterate all links with the worldly life is taken. From the time of Gautama the Buddha and Mahāvīra the Jina—and perhaps from centuries before them—to Mahātmā Gāndhī¹ and present-day India, such serious seekers of transcendental wisdom and ultimate truth have generally either avoided marriage or else have renounced all marital relations. Celibacy has been considered in India such an important prerequisite to the search for truth that, of the four orthodox Hindu ashramas or stages of life, two (Brahmacarva and sannvāsa) require celibacy, one (vānaprasthāshrama) presumes it, and only one (grhasthāshrama), the ashrama least concerned with spiritual knowledge, requires marriage and sexual relations. The glorification of celibacy in seekers of Truth is found among the followers of the bhaktimārga as often as it is found among the followers of other margas—roads—to the divine. For example, Krsna Caitanya (A.D. 1485-1533), a Bengālī Vaisnava bhakta around whom formed a sect of devotees of Shrī Kṛṣṇa that was flourishing in Braj (at Brndābana) at the same time as Vallabhācārya was establishing

¹MOHANDAS K. GANDHI, An Autobiography, The Story of My Experiments with Truth (Boston: Beacon Press, 1966), pp. 204-211.

the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī at Govardhana Hill, gave up family life and took vows of sannyāsa (abandonment of the worldly life). The leaders (called "Gosvāmīs") of Caitanya's sampradāva at Brndāban follow Caitanya's example and take vows of celibacy. 2 Vallabhācārya was certainly not adverse to celibacy and, as has been mentioned above. was supposed to have intended to remain celibate all his life until he received the divine order to marry, which he could not refuse, at Pandharapura. By marrying, Vallabhācārya, as the intermediary between the members of his Sampradāva and Shrī Krsna, was not just demonstrating that marriage would not hinder a sevaka's progress toward the divine, he was setting an example that should be followed. Consequently, today there are no organized groups of sannyāsīs within the Vallabhācārya Sampradava and gurus and laymen alike marry. It is said that Vallabhācārya took the vow of sannyāsa about a month before his death; after leaving his home he is supposed to have gone to Hanumana Ghata in Varanasi and to have spent his last month practising vogic exercises there on the bank of the Ganga. This sannyasa, however, was probably just a formality so that, in accordance with orthodox tradition, Vallabhācārya could finish his life in the fourth and last ashrama. He also probably wanted to die beside the Ganga in Varanasi. As far as the practice of celibacy and sannyāsa in general are concerned, Vallabhācārya seems to have considered them dangerous sources of pride, and pride for Vallabhācārya, as for most Indian bhaktas, was the worst of sins since it turns one's attention upon oneself and away from the Supreme Being. On the other hand, if a bhakta should become so absorbed in pure love of, and devotion to Shri Krsna that he could no longer function in the normal world—in other words, if a bhakta should have passed completely over into the alaukika condition, then Vallabhācārya seems to have accepted the absolute abandonment of the world as the logical course for that bhakta to follow. Such a bhakta would have had his interest in laukika matters so thoroughly replaced by enraptured attachment to Bhagavan Shri Krsna that abandonment of the world would naturally and inevitably result. Since the state of total supplantation of the laukika by the alaukika, of self-centred life by Krsna-centred life, is the goal of the *Pustimārga* taught within Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, Vallabhācārya evidently accepted some sort of world-rejection as the final stage and end result of the practice of his teachings. But, he did not teach world-renunciation

PRABHU DAYĀLA MĪTALA, Caitanya Mata aur Braj Sāhitya (Mathurā: Sāhitya Saṇsthāna, 1962), pp. 3-13.

²Surendranath Dasgupta, A History of Indian Philosophy (5 vols.; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1961), vol. IV, pp. 394-395.

³R. G. BHANDARKAR, Vaişnavism, Shaivism, and Minor Religious Systems (Varanasi: Indological Book House, 1965), p. 32.

as part of the way or means (marga) to that final state. Membership in Vallabhācārva's Sampradāva and practice of his Pustimārga was emphatically not an ascetic undertaking. Ascetic regimens are selfcentred, they rely on self-effort and they are usually followed for selfadvantage. Anything self-centred, anything encouraging self-aggrandizement is for Vallabhācārya to be strictly avoided as a source of pride. One must, according to him, be Krsna-centred and one must relinquish all concern for, and authority over, one's self and one's possessions to Shri Krsna if one expects to become free of laukika ignorance. Isolation from other people (one must, however, isolate himself from wicked people in order to follow the *Pustimārga*) is not to be practised by Vallabhācārva's followers for such isolation, as surely as asceticism. leads only to self-delusive, self-destructive pride. Only by constant sevã (service) of Shrī Krsna—singing his praises (kīrtanasevā), having darshana of his svarūpas, listening to the accounts of his līlā, and so on—in the company of other sevakas is one. Vallabhācārva says, sure to overcome the self-pride that stands between oneself and Bhagavan. Vallabhācārya has dealt at length with the subject of renunciation, the pride and arrogance that usually result from renunciation, and the place of renunciation in the bhaktimārga in two of the books included in the Sodoshagrantha. In another chapter these two books and their teachings on renunciation will be discussed in detail. For the present instance brief quotations from each will provide sufficient illustration. The first of these two books is the "Bhaktivardhini", the following verses are from the "Bhaktivardhini":

When a bhakta reaches that state in which he realizes that the people living in his house only hinder him and are no longer his relatives, then that bhakta has become sealed to Shrī Kṛṣṇa and has arrived at his goal. verse 5

Since there may be obstacles to devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa as a result of living in solitude, one should not live by himself; there is no doubt that Shrī Kṛṣṇa will protect his *bhaktas* in every way. verse 101

The following verses are from the second of the two books, the "Sannyā-sanirṇayaḥ":

It is not necessary to take vows of sannyāsa (world-renunciation) in order to practise the nine-fold bhakti, for in the practice of that bhakti the help of other bhaktas is essential; both the pride common to the state of sannyāsa and the duties of the state of sannyāsa are contrary to the bhaktimārga. verse 3

The sannyāsa taken for the purpose of experiencing viraha (pair. of separation from Shrī Kṛṣṇa) is best; in the bhaktimārga's sannyāsa one renounces only to escape the delusions that result from association with one's family members and for no other reason. verse 71

The verses are self-explanatory. Verse 5 of the "Bhaktivardhini" teaches renunciation of ordinary life only as the almost automatic response to the attainment of the highest degree of devotion (the degree which is called vyasana and will be discussed in a forthcoming chapter of this study). Verse 10 of the same treatise and verse 3 of the "Sannyāsanirnayah" both emphasize the need for the mutual aid given by the satsang (the conclave of righteous men) in the bhaktimārga and both warn against the overweening pride generated by sannyāsa. Verse 7 of the "Sannyāsanirnayah" explains that the kind of sannyāsa that is to be practised in the bhaktimārga is that disinterest in wordly affairs that the bhakta feels when all of his attention is riveted on his desire for Kṛṣṇa and his sorrow in Kṛṣṇa's absence. Verse 7 goes on to say that the only reason one may abandon his family in the manner of a sannyāsī is to avoid a household environment that is bad for the development of bhakti.

Before proceeding on to a look at the other two major effects of Vallabhācārya's divinely ordained marriage for his Sampradāya, we should take a brief survey of the attitudes toward marriage held by the astasakhā (the eight Braj Bhāsā poets who performed the kīrtana sevā before the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and who were divine sakhās-sakhīs of Shrī Kṛṣna manifested on earth during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries in order to take part in the *lîlā* of Vallabhācārva done for the uddhāra (deliverance) of divine jivas caught in the Kali Yuga), who were among the most influential of the early followers of Vallabhācārya and his two sons. The astasakhā (called the astachāpa when reference is to their status as poets rather than as sevakas of Shrī Govardhananāthaii) are divided into two groups: one group is made up of four older poets who were initiated into the Sampradaya by Vallabhācārya himself and the other group is made up of four younger poets who were initiated, after Vallabhācārya's death, into the Sampradaya by the founder's second son Vitthalanatha. The first group is made up of Sūradāsa, Krsnadāsa, Paramānandadāsa, and Kumbhanadāsa and the second group is made up of Nandadāsa, Govindasvāmī, Chîtasvāmī, and Caturbhujadāsa. Of the two groups, Sūradāsa is the chief in poetic skill, but all are acclaimed as masters of the expression of bhaktibhāva and all are held to have had direct experience of the līlās of Shri Krsna. Translations of the vārtās of the four older members of

¹ Ibid., pp. 313-314. The translation is mine.

the asiasakhā, all of which are found in the Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā, are given as Part II of this study. The vartas of the four younger members are found in another collection of vārtās, the Dosau Bāvan Vaisnavan ki Vārtā, which is also in Braj Bhāsā prose and is also an important part of the sacred literature of the Vallabhācārva Sampradāva; these varias have not been translated in this study. Both Sūradāsa, who was blind, and Paramanandadasa lived as vairagis (persons who have given up worldly concerns and are no longer attached to ordinary life) but, in line with Vallabhācārva's teachings, they did not forgo the society of their fellow bhaktas. Krsnadāsa never seems to have married, though neither did he live like a vairāgī; his personality, as it appears in his varta, does not show any inclination toward renunciation of the world.¹ Except for the fact that he was unmarried, Krsnadasa's way of life was like that of a man in the householder stage. Nandadāsa was married for a time after he entered the Sampradaya, but he eventually left his home and became a vairāgī to devote all of his energies to the performance of kirtanasevā before Shrī Govardhananāthajī at Govardhana Hill.2 Govindasvāmī³ was also married, but he left the householder's life as soon as he was initiated into the Sampradaya. Chitasvāmi4 was also married before he entered the Sampradāva; he became a vairāgī some time after his initiation. Kumbhanadasa was a small farmer with a large family; he never left his home, but both framed and composed and sang kīrtunas for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Caturbhujadāsa, 5 Kumbhanadāsa's son, also was a householder all of his life.6 It is important to note that, even among such exalted sevakas as the astasakhā—all of whom had been transferred to the alaukika plane of existence and so would have amply qualified for vairagya under verse 5 of the "Bhaktivardhini" (quoted on p. 33 above) only two individuals were lifelong vairāgīs, only one left the householder's life upon his initiation into the Sampradāya, two remained married for a time after entering the Sampradava, one was evidently unmarried for some mundane reason, and two remained householders all of their lives and were in no way considered inferior to the other members of the astasakhā group. There clearly was no provision for an order of sannyāsīs or vairāgīs at any time in the first decades of the Sampradaya, nor has any such provision ever been

¹HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 494-538.

²NIRANJANADEVA SHARMÃ, (ed.), *Dosau Bāvana Vaiṣṇavan ki Vārtā* (Mathurā: Shri Govardhana Granthamālā Kāryālaya, 1966), pp. 24-30.

³Ibid., pp. 1-14.

⁴lbid., pp. 14-17.

⁵¹bid., pp. 17-24.

[°]PRABHU DAYĀLA MĪTALA, Aṣṭachāpa-Paricaya (Mathurā: Agravāla Press, 1950), pp. 368-369.

added. As has been seen from Vallabhācārva's own writings and from his own example, no special place was given by Vallabhācārya to those who had renounced the world and the practice of sannyāsa or vairāgya (sannyāsa implies some sort of formal vow of renunciation, vairāgya simply indicates a strong personal disgust for the worldly life and a personal decision to cut oneself away from that life) was discouraged for all but the most advanced sevakas. Finally, when one of the sevakas of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya did become a vairāgī, he did so because his attachment to Shri Krsna had become so strong that he had no more interest in anything but Shri Krsna. Vairāgya in the Sampradāya would not be entered as an ascetic practice or for any mental, spiritual, or physical discipline or for the attainment of any desire. Since most Indian religious sects sooner or later develop ascetic offshoots, it seems likely that—regardless of Vallabhācārya's attitudes on the subject of world renunciation—if Vallabhācārya and his descendents had not maintained the precedent of marrying, an ascetic order would have grown up within the Sampradāya.

The second important effect of the story of the divine command to marry that was given to Vallabhācārya revolves around the function of spiritual guidance in the Sampradāya. During Vallabhācārya's lifetime every person, of either sex, of any age, of any caste, who entered into the Sampradaya, into the first and adhibhautika step towards Truth could receive his initiation—that is, his direct contact with the divine (Brahmasambandha)—from no one but Vallabhācārya himself. Only one individual had been manifested on earth as the Mukhāvatāra (see p. 22 above) of Bhagavan with the power to destroy human impurities: Vallabhācārya; and only one individual had received the direct divine command to effect the joining of the human and the divine (see pp. 17–19) above): Vallabhācārya. Among the followers of Vallabhācārya, there was only one source of ultimate spiritual instruction and that source was Vallabhācārya himself. To be sure, there were those among Vallabhācārva's disciples who had mastered his doctrines, as, for example, his first initiate Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī who is said to have given instruction in those doctrines to Vallabhācārya's own younger son Vitthalanāthajī,1 and there were many sevakas who expressed his doctrines through their lives. But, these people could provide no more than intellectual assistance; none of them could fill the all-important office of giver of the Brahmasambandha. The Brahmasambandha could be given only by Vallabhācārya and the reservation of this office to him was absolute. Nor could the Brahmasambandha be bypassed for without it one could not enter the Sampradaya and the chance of deliverance (uddhara)

HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 6-7.

outside of the Sampradāya was, to those who accepted Vallabhācārya's teachings, slim indeed.

In the Hindu tradition transcendent knowledge is usually passed trom individual to individual not principally through the medium of the written word but orally from guru to *shiṣya*, from an individual who has realized the transcendent to an individual who desires the transcendent. Since the attainment of Truth without the guidance of a guru is held to be impossible, the place of the guru is elevated, for the *shiṣya*, to equivalency with that Truth. In the earlier tradition the guru's aid, though most desirable, does not seem to have been considered mandatory for spiritual advancement. In the *Chāndogya Upanishad* (IV. 10.3), one of the oldest *Upanishads*, for example, room seems to be left for some chance, however faint, for spiritual success without a guru when Satya-kāma Jābāla says to his teacher:

For I have heard from persons like you, Revered sir, that the knowledge which has been learned from a teacher (ācārya) best helps one attain his end....1

But by the time of the Shvetāshvatara Upanishad, one of the latest of the standard Upanishads, the position of guru had reached the exalted place which it held in the time of Vallabhācārya and which it still holds in India today. The Shvetāshvatara Upanishad (IV. 23) has this to say about the guru:

These subjects which have been declared shine forth to the high-souled one who has the highest devotion for God [deva] and for his spiritual teacher [guru] as for God....²

The position of the guru was just as high in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya during Vallabhācārya's lifetime as it was in the rest of the Hindu tradition, but in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya the position of guru was rigidly restricted to one person, Vallabhācārya himself. The problem that arose from this restriction of the office of guru to the founder of the Sampradāya was, obviously, the problem of the survival of the Sampradāya beyond the lifetime of Vallabhācārya. If only Vallabhācārya could administer the Brahmasambandha initiation and if new members had to take the Brahmasambandha initiation in order to enter the Sampradāya, then clearly the Sampradāya would be doomed to wither away and vanish within a generation after the death of Vallabhācārya. Since Vallabhācārya,

¹S. RADHAKRISHNAN, *The Principal Upanisads* (London: George Allen and Unwin, 1953), p. 412.

²*Ibid.*, p. 750.

as the Mukhāvatāra of Shrī Krsna, was not an ordinary human being. he could not transmit his office of giver of the Brahmasambandha to a merely ordinary human, not even to those human jivas who had reached the alaukika plane. Only an individual with the same contact with the divine that Vallabhācārya enjoyed could inherit the power to give the Brahmasambandha. The problem was solved not just by Vallabhācārya's marriage and his begetting of children, but, above all, by the fact that Shrī Krsna himself, through his svarūpa at Pandharapura, had commanded Vallabhācārya to marry and have children. Implicit in this command is Shrī Krsna's intention to extend to Vallabhācārya's children the supernatural status necessary to them for the administering of the Brahmasambandha. This implication, furthermore, is made explicit in that version of the story that the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna (Shrī Vitthalanāthajī of Pandharapura) told Vallabhācārya that he would incarnate himself as Vallabhācārya's son. Vallabhācārya did have two sons after he had married (as soon as he had received the command to marry, Vallabhacārya stopped his pilgrimage and went to Vijayanagara (in Bellary District of modern Karnātaka state) to get his mother and then went on to Vārānasī where he married a girl of his caste whose name was Mahālaksmī; the marriage took place in either A.D. 1502 or 1504, but Mahālaksmī was still a girl and did not come to live with Vallabhācārva until sometime between A.D. 1510 and 1512). The first son, Gopinathaii, was born in A.D. 1512 and the second, Vitthalanatha, in A.D. 1516. The second son is by far the more important of the two in the history of the Sampradāva; he is so important in that history that his status in the Sampradāva is only slightly below that of Vallabhācārva himself. It is this second son, as his name indicates, who is accepted as the incarnation of Shrī Vitthalanāthajī of Pandharapura. The first son was, according to a tradition in the Sampradāya, an avatāra of Balarāma the elder brother of Shri Krsna.² By means of the divine origin thus given to the sons of Vallabhācārya, both of them were able, in turn, to inherit the leadership of the Sampradaya. Both were able to grant the Brahmasambandha to new members of the Sampradaya and had the same potency in this office that Vallabhācārya had. Gopīnātha's lineage came to an end with the untimely death of his only son, but Vitthalanatha's descendants are to be found in India today and are still the only persons who can fill the office of initiating guru in the Sampradāya. The divinelygranted ability to bring the human jīva into touch with Shrī Kṛṣṇa has been successfully transmitted from generation to generation from

¹WILLIAMS, pp. 391-393.

²ALAIN DANIELOU, *Hindu Polytheism* (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), pp. 179-180.

Vallabhācārya to Viţţhalanātha to each of the seven sons of Viţţhalanātha and through the direct descendants in the male line of those seven sons down to the present day. Through Vallabhācārya's divinely required marriage the spiritual authority and power in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya have been confined within the family of the founder and no gurushisya relationship other than that of a direct male descendant of Vallabhācārya and his followers can give final spiritual satisfaction to a member of the Sampradāya.

The last of the three effects of the divine command to marry involves the physical organization of the Sampradaya. Before examining the manner in which Shri Krsna's command to marry affected the organization of the Sampradaya, the exact nature of a sampradaya in the Indian tradition should be examined. There is no better place to begin such an examination that with the term "sampradāya" itself. We have been equating the Sanskrit word sampradaya with the English word "sect"; this equation is a valid one since both a sect and a sampradava are organized groups built around a particular set of doctrines. Nevertheless, there are certain connotations of "sect" that do not fit sampradaya and vice versa. The English word "sect" defines an organized body from the outside, as a group formed to distinguish itself from other organized bodies. For example, though "sect" originates from the Latin verb sequi which means "to follow" the etymology has become confused with the Latin verb secare "to cut" because of the nuances that "sect" has developed in English usage, "Sect" has a meaning in modern English that signifies a cutting off of one body from other bodies as much as it signifies a group of followers of some particular set of beliefs. The Sanskrit term "sampradāya", on the other hand, defines an organized body from the inside as a group organized for the transmission from generation to generation of a body of doctrines or traditions. The word sampradāva. as Joachim Wach explains.

...is not translatable by the term "sect" or "denomination" because that implies secession from a larger body (church). The Indian term does not have so much a negative as a positive connotation, implying a group with special concepts, forms of worship, and adherents to exclusive leadership exercised by an outstanding religious personality or by his physical or spiritual descendant.

"Sampradāya" is a noun formed from the Sanskrit verbal root dā plus

¹JOACHIM WACH. Sociology of Religion (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1948), p. 128. For a dictionary definition of the word "sect" see: JOHN P. BETHEL (ed.). Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary (Springfield: Merriam and Co., 1960), p. 764.

the prefixes sam and pra with the basic meaning of "to give up completely" which develops to the meaning "to transmit, hand down by tradition, impart, teach". The meaning of "sampradaya" itself then extends from a "bestower" to "tradition or doctrine that is bestowed by one teacher on another" and finally to "a particular system of religious teaching" or "a sect". It is to designate a system of religious teaching and the group of individuals who adhere to that system that "sampradāya" is used in the Sanskrit and Brai Bhāsā literature of the Vallabhācārva Sampradāya. The emphasis is on the sampradāya as a vehicle for the passing down of a set of doctrines which, for the followers of Vallabhacārya's Sampradāya (the word "sampradāya" was probably not applied by the followers of Vallabhācārya to themselves until those followers began to develop definite organizational machinery in the time of Vitthalanatha and his seven sons), are the doctrines and practices of the Pustimārga revealed by Vallabhācārya. It is in the sense of vehicle that the Sampradaya is the adhibhautika aspect of the teachings of Vallabhacārya; just as, in Vallabhācārya's vision of the cosmic order, the jagat (world or universe, material creation) is the adhibhautika manifestation of Shri Krsna the Parabrahman because it forms the base on which Shri Krsna dwells, just so is the Sampradāya the base on which Vallabhācārya's doctrines rest and the vehicle by which they are carried from generation to generation. This sense of vehicle for transmission of doctine is not a primary meaning of the English word "sect".

The word "sampradaya", however, also has an important shade of meaning that is very close to the sense of an exclusive body separated from other groups that is so fundamental to the meaning of "sect" in English. Especially in the usage of Vallabhācārya and his followers, this shade of meaning in the word "sampradaya" is apparent. A sampradāya was, for Vallabhācārya, more than a means of passing down doctrines, it was also a satsang (from the Sanskrit sat, meaning "true", "good", "honest", and sanga, meaning "association with") or society of the righteous. In the sense of satsang the Sampradaya was a kind of refuge from the ignorance and iniquity of the ordinary world; it was also a way of strengthening the devotion of new members through constant association with older, more experienced bhaktas. The bhakti movement in general and Vallabhācārya's movement in particular did not look favourably upon the ordinary world; the goal of the bhaktimarga was to replace the laukika with the alaukika and it was for sharanam, refuge from the world, that the sevakas who followed Vallabhācārya came to Shrī Krsna (see p. 22 above). The Sampradāya became a fortress inside of which the sevā of Shrī Krsna, the dharma required of all jīvas,

was practised and outside of which was the turmoil of the world which had forgotten this dharma. By means of the Sampradāya the sevakas of Shrī Kṛṣṇa were able to shut out the ways of the worldly, polluted life outside and, at the same time, they were able to give each other help in the practice of the bhak timārga (see verse 10 of the "Bhaktivardhinī" and verse of 3 of the "Sannyasanirnayah", both quoted on p. 33 above). Ideally, the sevakas of Vallabhācārya would not even associate with the members of other bhaktimārgā sects. Kṛṣṇadāsa, one of the aṣṭasakhā, was observing this restriction against association with members of other sects when he refused to remain in the house of Mīrāṇbāī (A.D. 1498–1546), the famous Rājasthānī poetess of the bhaktimārga,¹ because the members of other sects were also present in her house. In Kṛṣṇadāsa's own words:

l will stay wherever there are Vaisnava sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī [Vallabhācārya is usually called "Shrī Ācāryajī" in the literature of his Sampradāya], but I will not stay with the followers of other sects.²

The complete account may be consulted under prasanga 1 of Kṛṣṇadāsa's Vārtā in Part II of this study.

All of this gives a picture of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya as an exclusive association of sevakas intensely loyal to their guru and to their particular svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and hostile and suspicious of the outside world while forming a closely knit internal group. As long as Vallabhācarva or one of his sons Gopinatha or Vitthalanatha held the leadership of the Sampradava and performed the initiation of new members and as long as there was only one main temple and svarūpa, the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī in the temple on Govardhana Hill, the Sampradāya would remain a tightly-unified whole-firm against the laukika life outside. But, at Vitthalanāthajī's death (in A.D. 1586), svarūpas of Shri Krsna were given to each of his seven sons by his own hand. The precedent had already been set by Vallabhācārva's divinely-commanded marriage for the passage of the supernatural power to adminster the Brahmasambandha from father to son. Each of Vitthalanatha's sons, then, received equally this power to initiate by means of the Brahmasambandha; the coupling of the right and ability to initiate together with the possession of a sacred svarūpa once owned by Vallabhācārva himself (all of the svarūpas which Vitthalanātha gave to his sons were supposed to have belonged to Vallabhācārya) had the natural result of splitting

¹Parashurāma Caturvedī, *Mirānbāi ki Padāvali* (Allāhābād: Hindī Sāhitya Sammelana, 1957), pp. 20–27.

²HARIRĀYAJĪ, p. 499.

the Sampradaya into seven separate, but equal, centres. This would be an inevitable outcome, determined by the structure of the Sampradāya with its primary emphasis on absolute loyalty to the guru who has given the Brahmasambandha and to the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna possessed by the guru. After the division, the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya was still, in terms of thought and practice, a unit, for the Pustimarga taught by Vallabhācārya was still the only version of the bhaktimārga followed in each of the new centres. Greater fragmentation set in through the generations, with each direct descendant of Vallabhācārva in the male line able to establish his own following of sevakas whom he had initiated. There was never, nor is there now, any sort of overall authority for the Sampradāva as a whole since the division of guruship at the death of Vitthalanātha; each guru is the final authority among his followers. The eldest direct descendants (male line) of the seven sons of Vitthalanatha do have an enhanced status in the Sampradaya because they hold the most revered divine svarūpas; among them the descendant of Giridhara. the eldest of Vitthalanatha's sons, has by far the greatest spiritual status because he has the original Shrī Govardhananāthajī (usually called simply "Shrī Nāthajī") svarūpa and his centre, located at Nāthadvāra in Udaipura District of Raiasthan, attracts great numbers of pilgrims from all of the centres of the Sampradaya. When spiritual authority was passed down from Vallabhācārya to his sons, the eventual fragmentation of the Sampradaya became only a matter of time. After that precedent had been set, there could be no way to keep the spiritual authority in the sect from continuing down through each of Vallabhācārya's descendants.

Several scholars important in the history of the Sampradāya appeared from among the direct descendants of Vallabhācārya after the division of the guruship on the death of Viţṭhalanātha. One of these was the fourth son of Viṭṭhalanātha, Gokulanātha (A.D. 1552-1641)¹; another was Harirāya, a great-grandson of Viṭṭhalanātha, who put the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā into its present form, he lived from A.D. 1591-1711;² and a third was Puruṣottama, seventh in descent from Vallabhācārya, who lived from A.D. 1668-1725. After the time of Puruṣottama the Sampradāya seems to have gone into decline. The low point was reached with a celebrated trial involving one of the gurus (who are addressed with the title "Mahārāja") which was held in the Bombay Supreme Court in 1862. An account of the trial that is hostile to the Sampradāya can be found in The History of the Sect of Mahārājas or Vallabhāchāryas in

¹Harishankaraji, pp. 41-44.

²Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, *Gosvāmi Harirāyaji kā Pada-Sāhitya* (Mathurā: Sāhitya Samsthāna, 1962), pp. 5-9.

³Harishankaraji, pp. 97–99.

Western India¹ by Karsandas Mulji, the defendant in the case. A favourable account of the Sampradāya during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries can be found in Sri Vallabhacharya, Life, Teachings and Movement by Bhai Manilal C. Parekh.² At the present time the Sampradāya is most influential among the commercial castes of Rājasthān, Gujarāt, and the city of Bombay. There is great deal of vitality in the Sampradāya today, especially in its centres in the city of Bombay.

THE SHASTRARTHA AT VIJAYANAGARA

After he had married in Vārānasī, Vallabhācārya set out on his third and tinal pilgrimage tour of India. It was in the course of this tour that Vallabha had his greatest philosophical victory over the followers of the Māyāvād (primarily made up of philosophers belonging to the school of Shankaracārya). By this victory Vallabha received the title "Ācārya" (a teacher or spiritual guide, one who gives instruction in matters of conduct, manner of action, traditions, or precepts)3 and evidently acquired a great deal of prestige, at least among Vaisnavas. This victory, which took place at a great shāstrārtha held under the auspices of King Krsnadevarāva of Vijavanagara, is the third event of great importance that took place during Vallabhācārya's three tours around India. By this victory, Vallabhācārya, according to the traditions of his Sampradāya acquired the fame and scholarly recognition that assured him a place among the greatest scholars and religious thinkers of India. According to the traditions of the Sampradāva, Vallabhācārya's great victory at Vijayanagara took place when he went with his mother to her brother's house in Vijayanagara just after his father's death at the temple of Shrī Venkateshvara (see p. 27 above) If that dating were accurate and the victory did take place at that time, which would be just at the beginning of Vallabhācārya's first journey around India, then Vallabhācārya would have been a boy of no more than twelve or thirteen. But, it is not because of such extreme youth that Vallabhacarya's exploit at Vijayanagara cannot be placed so early. After all, the traditional accounts and dates are to be accepted wherever possible in this study. Furthermore, since Vallabhācārya was already supposed to have won one shāstrārtha—the one held at Jagannātha-Purī (see pp. 26-27 above)—at the age of ten, his youthfulness alone can be no bar to his having again overcome experienced adult philosophers at a shāstrārtha. The difficulty in the early date lies in the time of the reign of the sponsoring king. Krsnadeva-

^{&#}x27;MULII, Appendix, pp. 1-183.

²Parekh, pp. 329-378.

³MONIER-WILLIAMS, p. 131.

rāya. The traditional accounts agree that the sponsor of the shāstrārtha was Kṛṣṇdevarāya and that he was king of Vijayanagara. Kṛṣṇadevarāya, however, did not ascend the throne of Vijayanagara until the year A.D. 1509,¹ and so would not have been ruling as king of Vijayanagara when Vallabha was in the city of Vijayanagara (A.D. 1490–1493) at the beginning of his first pilgrimage tour. The ruler during the period 1490–1493 was the regent Narasa Nāyaka,² but he is never mentioned in the sectarian accounts of the shāstrārtha at Vijayanagara. It seems clear, then that Vallabhācārya's victory at Vijayanagara had to have taken place sometime between 1509 and the end of his third pilgrimage (which ended between A.D. 1510 and 1512). The date 1509 would fit in best with the chronology of the events of the third pilgrimage.³

It is said that Vallabhācārya had been staying at his ancestral village of Kāńkaravāda in the Telugu country for several days when word reached him that a great philosophical debate (shāstrārtha, literally, "[a debate on] the meaning of scripture") was in progress in Vijayanagara under the sponsorship of King Krsnadevarava. Furthermore, the Vaisnava philosophers—among whom were representatives of the schools of Madhvācārya, Nimbārka, Visņusvāmī, and Rāmānujācārya (in other words, scholars from all of the leading Vaisnava schools of philosophy)—were being bested by the philosophers of the Māyāyāda. Vallabha naturally could not allow the Vaisnava point of view to be defeated by that of the Māyāvādīs, so he went immediately to the city of Vijayanagara and asked Ācārya Vyāsatīrtha of the Mādhva Sampradaya, who was acting as leader of the Vaisnavas in the competition. if he might enter on the Vaisnava side. Ācārya Vyāsatīrtha admitted Vallabhācārva with pleasure, and, as a result of Vallabhācārva's marvellous learning and skill at debate, the tables were turned and the followers of the Māyāvāda had to accept defeat. The credit for the victory was given by King Kṛṣṇadevarāya and by the Vaiṣṇava philosophers to Vallabhācārya and Vallabhācārya received lavish presents of gold from the king (out of the gifts Vallabhācārva is said to have kept only seven gold coins and to have distributed the rest among Brāhmanas). As a result of his impressive victory over the Māyāvādis, Vallabhācārya was offered the ācāryaship of two of the Vaisnava sampradāyas; as ācārya of a sampradāya Vallabhācārya would have had final authority in matters of doctrine and the right to initiate new members into that sampradāya. The first ācārvaship was that of the Mādhva Sampradāya and was offered by Ācārya Vyāsatīrtha; Vallabhācārya turned this offer down. The

¹Majumdar, vol. VI, d. 309.

²¹bid., p. 303.

³Mītala, Astachāpa-Paricaya, pp. 6-7.

second offer, made by Vilvamangala the ācārva of the sect founded by Visnusvāmi, was accepted by Vallabhācārva and he was acclaimed as the ācārya of that sampradāya. Very little is known about the philosophical doctrines of Visnusvāmī. so no judgement can be made about the tradition that Vallabhācārva accepted the ācārvaship of the sect because the philosophical system of Visnusvāmī was so close to his own Shuddhādvaita system (which will be discussed at length in the next chapter of this study). The sect of Visnusvāmī is supposed to have been in decline. with very few adherents, at the time of Vallabhācārya's acceptance of the ācāryaship. Within the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya the majority opinion is that Vallabhācārva had no human guru and belonged to no human philosophical tradition or school and that he accepted the ācāryaship of Visnusvāmī's sampradāva in order to increase the prestige and tame of his own doctrines.² A minority opinion holds that Vallabhācārva was a direct philosophical descendant of Visnusvāmī. The scholarly opinion does not accept any real connection between the thought of Vallabhācārva and that of Visnusvāmī.4,5,6 The importance of the victory of Vallabhācārya at Vijayanagara and of his subsequent installation as ācārva of the sect of Visnusvāmī, as far as the members of his own Sampradaya are concerned, lies in the consequent establishment of Vallabhācārya as a major philosopher and of his doctrines as the equal of any other doctrinal system within the Vaisnava movement. Beyond this. Vallabhācārva's victory was the climactic conquest of the doctrines of the Māyāvāda, demonstrating once and for all the superiority of the Vaisnava explanation of man's relationship to the universe and to the divine over the explanation given by the followers of Māyāvāda. The reason for the continuing philosophical and doctrinal hostility between Vaisnavas and Māyāvādis in Indian religious history lies in the basic difference of orientation taught in the two systems of thought. For Vaisnavas ultimate responsibility for deliverance of the human jīva from the ignorance of the world lies in the hands of a personal Supreme Being and the only correct course for the jīva to follow is that of constant, selfless devotion to that Supreme Being. That constant devotion is, of

^{*}Dasgupta, vol. IV, pp. 382-383.

SHĀSTRĪ, pp. 39-43.

^{&#}x27;Милл. р. 35.

¹RAJ BAHADUR AMARNATH RAY, "The Visnusvämin Riddle", Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, XIV (Poona, April-July, 1932–1933), Parts III-IV, pp. 161–181.

THE MUTH VON GLASENAPP, "Die Lehre Vallabhäcäryas", Zeitschrift für Indologie und tramstik, 1X (Leipzig, 1933–1934), 322–330.

[&]quot;G. H. Bhatt, "A Further Note on Vishnusvāmi and Vallabhāchārya", *Proceedings and Transactions of the Eighth All India Oriental Conference* (Mysore, December, 1935), pp. 322–328.

course, the *bhaktimārga*. For those philosophers called $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}v\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ by the Vaiṣṇavas, on the other hand, the ultimate responsibility for deliverance of the human $j\bar{i}va$ lies in the hands of the $j\bar{i}va$ itself and the only sure course for the $j\bar{i}va$ to follow is the $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam\bar{a}rga$, the way of the reliance on self-effort to cultivate knowledge of the True State.

SEVA IN VALLABHACARYA'S SAMPRADAYA

The fourth major event that took place in the course of Vallabhācārya's three pilgrimages was a continuing development rather than one single event. This event, or development, was the establishment of the form of worship called "sevā" that is still today carried on in every temple belonging to the gurus of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāva and which has come to be the hallmark of the Sampradaya. The establishment of this sevā by Vallabhācārya cannot be isolated to any one pilgrimage, but was, rather, a development running through all three; nor did this development cease with the end of the third and last pilgrimage. The beginning of the establishment of worship through sevā came at the founding of the Sampradaya in A.D. 1494 when, after he had received directly from Shrī Krsna the Brahmasambandha mantra and the obligation to use it for the deliverance of jīvas, Vallabhācārya identified the mysterious image that had risen out of sacred Govardhana Hill as Shrī Govardhananāthajī, the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna Parabrahman. At that time, Vallabhācārya was able only to set up a rude hut over the svarūpa; a local man, one Rāmadāsa Cauhāna—acting according to tradition on the command of the svarupa Shri Govardhananāthaji—went to Vallabhācārya and asked to be allowed to become his sevaka (i.e., to be initiated into Vallabhācārya's newly-founded Sampradāya) and to be given the task of maintaining the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthaii.1 Vallabhācārya then initiated Rāmadāsa and put him in charge of the sevā in the little shrine of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. As has already been mentioned (see above on p. 28, a large, beautiful temple of dressed stone was begun in A.D. 1500 to replace the original shrine and was completed in 1520. But, it can be assumed that the sevā form of worship had been established, probably in simplified form, when the first crude hut was erected over the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna. When the large temple was completed, the sevā was, no doubt, elaborated. After the deaths of Vallabhācārya and his elder son Gopīnātha, when Vitthalanātha held the leadership of the Sampradāva, the sevā was made much more imposing and luxurious than it had been before. The basic form of sevā was, nevertheless, the same from the time of the first modest shrine under the care of Rāmadāsa Cauhāna to the temples of the Sampradāya today. This basic form of sevā in the temples of the Sampradāya is based on the life of Shrī Kṛṣṇa in Braj as that life is depicted in the Bhāgavata Purāṇa: by the performance of the sevā, the bhaktas of Shrī Kṛṣṇa are able to feel, to the extent allowed by their strength of devotion, the emotions of alaukika love expressed by Shrī Kṛṣṇa's līlā in Braj. Physically, the bhaktas can only enjoy the observation (darshana) of the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, but inwardly, the bhaktas are supposed to experience the actual līlā being performed by Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

The sevā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa as it is performed in the temples of the Sampra-dāya and as it was established by Vallabhācārya is divided into two primary types. The first type of sevā follows Shrī Kṛṣṇa through a typical day as the divine cowherd Kṛṣṇa Gopāla (the word "Gopāla" means "Protector of Cows" and is often used as an epithet of Shrī Kṛṣṇa) in Braj; the second type of sevā follows Shrī Kṛṣṇa through the seasons and holidays of the year.

The first type of sevā consists of eight periods that occur at more or less regular intervals through the day from early morning until evening. Each period is called a period of darshana and lasts about fifteen minutes; the sevakas (as bhaktas or devotees are called in the terminology of the Sampradāya) are not allowed into the sanctum of the temple at any time other than the periods of darshana because the temple (in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya a temple of one of the svarūpas of Shrī Krsna is not called a "mandir", the usual term used to designate a Hindu temple in the Indo-European languages of North India, but a "haveli", a word meaning "house" or "mansion") is considered to be the private dwelling of the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and to enter at any time other than the appointed times would be to violate the privacy of the svarūpa. The darshana is congregational, with all of the sevakas gathering together to view the svarūpa in the sanctum of the temple. No offerings are brought to the svarūpa in the temple, but the sevakas may make contributions of money at the gate as they enter the temple. After the darshana is over the sevakas may take prasāda (food that has been offered to the svarūpa during the darshana) distributed at the gate of the temple. Since Vallabhācārya and his son Vitthalanāthajī initiated persons from Muslini, untouchable, and Shūdra backgrounds—Krsnadāsa, one of the astasakhā [see Krsnadāsa's vārtā in Part II of this study] was a Shūdra —as well as from the Aryan varnas) sevakas of any age, any caste and either sex can attend the darshana periods. In the line with the exclusive nature of the Sampradāva as a satsang (see pp. 40-41 above), non-Hindus are not admitted to the *darshanas* held in the temples belonging to the *Sampradāva*—though such people are able to witness *darshanas* held outside of the consecrated temple of one of the divine *svarūpas* (as, for example, when *darshana* is being given in the course of a *svarūpa's* pilgrimage away from the home temple). The *darshana* periods are as follows:

Mangalā.—At this darshana period, the svarūpa is awakened from its sleep of the previous night and is fed a light breakfast of fruits. In the summer the mangalā darshana takes place at 5.00 A.M. and in winter it is held two hours later.

Shringara.—At this darshana period, the svarūpa is dressed in the clothing to be worn that day. In the hot season the clothes are scanty and light, in the wet season a "raincoat" is provided, and in the cold season socks and warm clothing are put on the svarūpa. Members of the Sampradava often point out the significance of the different clothing given to the divine svarūpa according to season so that the svarūpa may always be comfortable; it shows, they say, that the followers of Vallabhacārya know that the divine svarūpas are real, living beings and must be made comfortable, while the mūrtis found in ordinary Hindu temples are lifeless pieces of stone or wood that merely represent a deity and so may be dressed in any kind of costume regardless of weather. The difference is a matter of the laukika and alaukika: the sevaka wants to feel bhava (feeling of intense love, experience of the actual presence of the divine being) of devotion to his Lord (prabhu) and so he tries to bring himself into the alaukika attitude in which the svarūpa is alive and present; to one whose attitude is laukika the svarūpa seems to be an inanimate object. Vitthalanātha, the younger son of Vallabhācārya, gathered wealth for the express purpose of making the darshana-sevā more beautiful and lavish. So from about A.D. 1546, when Vitthalanatha returned to Govardhana Hill from a highly-successful fund-raising tour of Gujarat. until the present the clothing provided for the svarūpas of the Sampradāva has been costly and ornate. In Vallabhācārya's time the clothing was probably comparatively simple and modest. This darshana period takes place at about 6:00 in the morning in the summer and at about 7:30 A.M. in the cold season.

 $Gv\bar{a}la$.—This is the *darshana* period at which the *svarūpa* is presented as being out in the pasture with the cows. This period takes place at 7:00 A.M. in the summer and at 8:00 or 9:00 in the winter.

Rājabhoga.—This is the main meal of the day for the svarūpa. Since the time of Vitthalanātha the rājabhoga darshana has required a wondrous array of rich and luxurious foods, all of which are "pure" foods made

PRABHU DAYĀLA MĪTALA, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa (Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968), pp. 250-251.

with milk products, sugar, and certain kinds of vegetables. The *rājabhoga* takes place at 9:30 A.M. in the summer and at 10:00 in the winter. After the *rājabhoga* the *svarūpa* of the Lord is given a nap.

Utthāpana. — The svarūpa is awakened from the nap. This will occur at 2:00 p.m. in the summer and an hour later in the winter.

Bhoga. — At 3:30 P.M. in the summer, or a half-hour later in the winter, the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa is given a light lunch.

Sandhyārati.—At this darshana period, the svarūpa is given the evening meal and then honoured with lighted lamps. This takes place at 5:00 in the evening in both summer and winter.

Shayana.—The svarūpa is put to sleep for the night and food is left by his bed in case he should become hungry during the night. The temple is then closed. This takes place at 5:30 p.m. in the summer and at 6:00 p.m. in the winter. The work of dressing the svarūpa and offering food to the svarūpa during the periods of darshana is done by groups of Brāhmaṇas called "bhītariyās" ("those who are within [the temple]"). These bhītariyās must keep themselves pure and undefiled; only they may touch the divine svarūpa. The first bhītariyās were Bengālī Brāhmaṇas from Bṛndābana, evidently followers of the bhaktimārga taught by Kṛṣṇa Caitanya (see pp. 31-32 above), entrusted with the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī in the new temple finished in A.D. 1520. At the same time that he put the Bengālī Brāhmaṇas in charge of the sevā in the new temple, Vallabhācārya made Kṛṣṇadāsa, one of the astasakhā, the manager of the business of the temple.

The other type of sevā, which is built around the festivals and holidays of the year, also has become elaborated—again from the time of Vitthalanātha—but is supposed to preserve the same celebrations instituted by Vallabhācārya. The Holī season in March or April is a festive time in the temples of the Sampradāya; the holiday celebrating Shrī Kṛṣṇa's birth on earth, Janmāṣṭmī—occurring in August or September, is, as with all Vaiṣṇava sects, a major holiday with mid-night darshana in temples of the Sampradāya; Nāga-paūcamī, the festival of serpents in July or August, is an important observance in the Sampradāya since it was on that holiday that the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī first appeared (see p. 22 above); the festival of Annakūṭa, in October-November.² is another important celebration since it commemorates the offerings that the cowherds of Braj gave to the sacred Govardhana Hill instead of to Indra on the advice of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

Vallabhācārya began the practice of having kīrtanas (hymns of

¹*Ibid.*, p. 265.

²Rāmapratāpa Tripāţhī, *Hinduon ke Vrata*, *Parva*, *aur Tyauhāra* (Allāhābād: Lokabhārati Prakāshana, 1966), p. 270.

praise) sung before the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna as a part of the darshanasevā. These kīrtanas were intended to intensify the alaukika atmosphere that was supposed to surround each darshana period. The kirtanas described the *lilās* of Shrī Krsna vividly and beautifully; different *kīrtanas* were sung for each darshana period and for each holiday celebration. Later, Vitthalanāthajī added musicians to the kīrtana singers and had artists paint background scenes for the darshana periods. All of this was intended to heighten the bhava of the sevakas as much as possible so that deep attachment to Shrī Krsna and his eternal līlas might be implanted in the hearts of the sevakas. Today, the kīrtanas of the astasakhā (called the "astachāpa" when considered from the standpoint of their literary abilities only) are still sung during the darshana periods of modern temples of the Sampradaya. As has been mentioned above (see pp. 11-13), since the poets of the astasakhā are the eternal companions of Shrī Krsna, their poetry is most likely to evoke powerful bhaktibhāva in the hearts of listeners. The first member of the astasakhā to be initiated was Kumbhanadāsa; Vallabhācārya gave him the duty of singing kīrtana before Shrī Govardhananāthajī, but, since he was a householder, Kumbhanadāsa was not able to give his full time to this duty. Sūradāsa was the first full-time kīrtana composer and singer; he was followed by Paramānandadāsa, who also devoted himself to singing kīrtanas full time. Krsnadāsa was the last of the astasakhā to be initiated by Vallabhācārya. Collections of the poetry of each of the four members of the astasakhā who were initiated by Vallabhācārya have been published. The other four members of the astasakhā were initiated by Vitthalanātha.

Before leaving the subject of the form of $sev\bar{a}$ which was established by Vallabhācārya at the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthaji on Govardhana Hill in Braj and elaborated by Vitthalanātha in the last half of the sixteenth century, something must be said about the distinction between the $sev\bar{a}$ of Vallabhācārya's $Samprad\bar{a}va$ and the ordinary worship—which is called " $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ "—that is practised in other Hindu temples. The distinction between these forms of worship is, like so many of the differences between the Vallabhācārya $Samprad\bar{a}va$ and the outside world, primarily a difference in mental orientation, in attitude. That this should be so is not at all surprising when one considers that the

¹For Sūradāsa: Sūradāsa, *Sūrasāgura*, ed. Nandadulāri Vājapeyī (2 vols.; Vārāṇasī: Nāgaripracāriņī Sabbā, 1965). Sūradāsa, *Sūrasāgura*, ed. Javāharalāla Caturvedī (Calcutta: Binānī Trust, 1965).

For Kumbhanadāsa: Kumbhanadāsa, Kumbhanadāsa, ed. Vrajabhūṣaṇa Sharmā and K. Shāstrī (Kāṇkarolī: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1954).

For Paramānandadāsa: Paramānandadāsa, Paramānanda Sāgara, ed. Govardhana Nātha Shukla (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1958).

For Kṛṣṇadāsa: Kṛṣṇadāsa, *Kṛṣṇadāsa (Pada-Saṅgraha)*, ed. VRAJABHŪṣAŅA SHARMĀ (Kāṇkarolī: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1963).

'allabhācārva Sampradāva is the foundation of a religious system that is neant to transform—to purify—human attitudes about the world and its elationship to the divine. The difference between sevā and $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ is, then, xactly the difference between the *laukika* and the *alaukika* (see pp. 9–11 bove), between the adhibhautika and the adhidaivika (see pp. 14-15 bove), between mūrti and svarūpa (see p. 48 above), and between nandira and haveli (see p. 47 above). The culmination of this distinction vill lie in the differentiation of the marvadamarga (the way of strict bedience to scriptural and traditional laws) from the Pustimarga (the vay of reliance on the grace [anugraha] of Shrī Krsna, the way taught by /allabhācārva for freedom from the delusion and impurity of ordinary fe); this differentiation will be discussed in another chapter of this tudy. All of the above distinctions taught by Vallabhācārya involve ifferences in attitudes and interpretations; to use the philosophical anguage for the final example of this kind of distinction. Parabrahman the Supreme Being, Shrī Krsna) is the jagat (the physical world) but he jagat is only a tiny fragment of the infinite, eternal being of Parabrahnan. The difficulty is to realize that this relationship is true and to live ccording to it.

The act of pūiā is, according to Vallabhācārva, a selfish action, it is a giving of an offering to the divine in expectation of receiving a reward rom the divine. In doing $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ one does not think of the comfort of the livinity except in that the giving of something beneficial to the divinity vill bring the divinity's aid to the giver. $Sev\bar{a}$, on the other hand, is service sevā), disinterested service to the divine simply because it is the duty nd the delight of man to serve the divine and not because of desire for ome benefit from the divine. Sevā, in short, is unselfish love for and ervice of the divine being, while $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ is worship done for the doer's penefit. There are certain physical differences between organized pūjā ind organized sevā—for example, worshippers doing pūjā usually offer heir offering and perform their worship as isolated individuals while, on the other hand, sevakas perform sevā as a group, as a satsang, and do not offer gifts directly before the divine svarūpa—but the real difference s in the attitude within the heart of the worshipper. It is possible to perform unselfish worship in the physical form of $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$ and it is possible o perform selfish worship in the outward form of sevā. There are three orms of sevā: the first is done by the body, as in singing the hymns that praise Shri Krsna and describe his līlās; the second is done by means of vealth, as in giving financial donations to the Sampradava; and the third s mental sevā, the natural, effortless flowing of the thoughts to Shrī Crsna. Of these three, all of which are to be practised, Vallabhācārya eaches that the third is best because it is its own reward and so can have no selfish element. One who does mental sevā of Shrī Krsna desires only the doing of the $sev\bar{a}$ and nothing more. Such $sev\bar{a}$ is the svadharma (innate duty) of the human $j\bar{v}a$. One who does such $sev\bar{a}$ has become pure.

THE FAMILY OF VALLABHACARYA

Before closing this chapter, a brief summary of Vallabhācārya's last years and of the lives of his two sons Gopīnātha and Viṭṭhalanātha must be given.

Vallabhācārya, after he entered the householder stage of life, evidently maintained two houses; one was at Adaila -- just across the Yamunā River from Allahabad—and the other was at Caranata, not far from Vārānasī. Vallabhācārva's first son, Gopīnātha, was born in A.D. 1512 in the village of Adaila and his second son, whose name was Vitthalanātha, was born in Caranāta. Vitthalanātha was born in A.D. 1516. Vallabhācārya kept his main residence at Adaila and made periodic trips to the spiritual centre of his Sampradāva at Govardhana Hill in Brai. It was probably at this period in his life that Vallabhācārya worked on his great commentaries: the Anubhāsva (on the Brahma-sūtra), the latter part of which is by Vitthalanatha, and the Subodhini (on the Bhagavata Purāna), which, since the Bhāgavata Purāna was the key scripture for Vallabhācārya, is his most important work. In A.D. 1531, as has been mentioned above (see p. 32), Vallabhācārva took vows of sannyāsa and retired to perform yogic disciplines on the bank of the Gangā in the city of Vārānasī. After a little over a month spent on the bank of the Gangā. Vallabhācārya had his two sons and some of his chief followers summoned and, when they arrived, delivered the leadership of the Sampradāva to his eldest son Gopinātha, who was then nineteen years old. After the leadership of the sect had thus been provided for, Vallabhācārya, according to sectarian accounts, entered the waters of the Gangā. As Vallabhācārya stood in the river, he was suddenly enveloped in a brilliant flash of light and slowly ascended into the sky. After this, he was never again seen on earth.

Gopīnātha had shown great talent in scholarship in his youth, but he has left very little mark on the development of the Sampradāya. According to sectarian tradition, he was not interested in making changes in the organization of the Sampradāya and he did not gather together a following like the eighty-four (caurāsī) Vaiṣṇavas initiated by his father or the two hundred and fifty-two (dosau bāvana) Vaiṣṇavas initiated by his younger brother. Gopīnātha is sometimes said to have been a mar vādāmārgi (one who gives prime consideration to the keeping of Vedic injuctions and social laws of the world) rather than a bhaktimārgī, though it is difficult to know what his views were since only one small book written by him

has survived and since he has been completely overshadowed by his vounger brother in the traditions of the Sampradaya. It was during Gopinātha's leadership of the Sampradāya that complaints against the behaviour of the Bengālī Vaisnavas who were conducting the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī first began to be heard. Krsnadāsa, the manager of the temple of Shri Govardhananāthajī, was particularly anxious for the Bengālīs to be expelled. It was said that the Bengālīs were sending offerings meant for Shri Govardhananāthaji temple to their own guru in Brndabana, that they were worshipping a goddess beside the svarūpa of Shri Govardhananāthaji, and that they did not conduct themselves in the manner of sevakas within the Vallabhācārya Sampradāva. Gopinātha, however, would do nothing about the Bengālis since they had been given their duties by Vallabhācārya. It was not until about A.D. 1550 that the Bengālis had been completely removed from Govardhana Hill (which would be seven years after Gopinātha's death in A.D. 1543)1 and it was not until A.D. 15722 that the Bengalis finally gave up trying to get back their former position at Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple. It was in the last-mentioned year that the Bengalis tried to get the Mughal emperor Akbar to have them restored, but the Emperor refused to do so. The whole story is included in the varta of Krsnadasa in the second part of this study.3

Before the Bengālis had been at last discouraged from trying to be restored to the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthaii, another difficulty arose in the Sampradāva. In 1543 Gopinātha had died leaving only one son, a boy of twelve named Purusottama. Because the boy was a minor he did not inherit the leadership of the Sampradaya; instead, it went to Vitthalanatha, Later, when the boy approached the age of eighteen the age of maturity, his mother and some other members of the Sampra $d\bar{a}va$ began saying that he should rightfully replace Vitthalanātha as head of the Sampradaya. At the same time as this faction favouring Purusottama was growing, Vitthalanātha and Krsnadāsa had become very cool toward each other because of complaints that had reached Vitthalanātha of improper relations between Krsnadāsa and a wealthy widow. Gangābāi, who was a devotee of Shrī Govardhananāthaji. The situation deteriorated to the point that Krsnadasa joined the faction pressing for the replacement of Vitthalanatha with Purusottama as the head of the Sampradaya. As a result of the squabble, Krsnadasa barred Vitthalanātha from entering the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. For six months in 1549-1550 Vitthalanatha could not have darshana of the

¹Mītala, Braj ke Dharma-..., pp. 248-249.

²Ibid., pp. 250-251.

³HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 500-510.

divine svarūpa and had to suffer the agony of viraha (the pain of separation). In 1550 Puruṣottama died suddenly and the supporters of Viṭṭhalanātha were able to force Kṛṣṇadāsa to readmit the now-unchallenged leader of the Sampradāya to the temple. The account of this dispute is also given in the translation of Kṛṣṇadāsa's vārtā in Part II of this study.¹ Viṭṭhalanātha forgave Kṛṣṇadāsa for his actions and left him in charge of the temple on Govardhana Hill. It would, of course, have been very difficult for even Viṭṭhalanātha to have removed Kṛṣṇadāsa since Kṛṣṇadāsa had been revealed by Vallabhācārya to be one of the eternal companions of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

Now that Vitthalanātha was firmly established as the divinely-ordained guru of the Sampradāya, Gopīnātha's widow found her position intolerable. On top of having to bear the loss of her son, she could not endure living under the authority of Viṭṭhalanātha. Consequently, she decided to return to her relatives in the Telugu country and she did so, taking with her her husband's entire library. Since this library contained the only manuscripts of all of Vallabhācārya's works preserved within the family, it was immensely valuable to the Sampradāya. Viṭṭhalanātha, upon finding his efforts to recover the library unsuccessful, was forced to search for copies of the missing texts both within the membership of the Sampradāya and outside of it. Eventually, Viṭṭhalanātha was able to gather together all of the major works of Vallabhācārya and many of his minor works.

Because of political unrest in A.D. 1563 Vitthalanatha moved his family out of Adaila and settled it, for a time, in Mathura. Then, in A.D. 1572, the Emperor Akbar, supposedly out of admiration for Vitthalanātha's virtuous life, granted him a tract of land along the Yamunā across from Mathura and he settled there with his family. This settlement of Vitthalanatha and his family and many of his followers, which was on the site where Vallabhācārya had received his revelation of the Brahmasambandha, was the beginning of the modern village of Gokula. This Gokula founded by Vitthalanatha is about a mile from the ancient village of Gokula, now officially called Mahābana and unofficially Old Gokula, where Krsna's foster father King Nanda is supposed to have ruled the cowherds. Akbar continued to favour Vitthalanatha after the grant of the land for the village of Gokula, for more grants of land from Akbar or members of his family followed. Before Vitthalanatha died in A.D. 1586, he had the leadership of the Sampradaya divided among his seven sons; at the same time, Shrī Govardhananāthajī and the eight other primary divine svarūpas were distributed among the sons. Listed below are each of the sons, placed in order of birth from eldest to youngest,

with the svarūpa or svarūpas given to each and the present-day location of the svarūpas (the temple of each svarūpa is always the house of the eldest direct male descendant of the son of Viṭṭhalanātha to whom the svarūpa was given):

Son	Svarūpa	Location
Giridhara	Shrī Nāthajī	Nāthadvāra (Rājas.)
	Shrī Navanītapriyajī	Nāthadvāra (Rājas.)
	Shri Mathureshaji	Jatipurā (Braj, UP)
Govindarāya	Shrī Viţţhalananāthajī	Nāthadvāra (Rājas.)
Bālakṛṣṇa	Shrī Dvārakānāthajī	Kāṇkarolī (Rājas.)
Gokulanātha	Shrī Gokulanāthajī	Gokula (Braj, UP)
Raghunātha	Shrī Gokulacandramājī	Kāmabana (Rājas.)
Yadunātha	Shrī Bālakṛṣṇajī	Sūrata (Gujarāt)
Yadunātha	Shrī Mukundarāyajī	Vārāņasī (UP)
(There is a dispute between two claimants—each with his own svarūpa—		
for primacy among the descendants of Yadunātha.)		
Ghanashyāma	Shri Madanamohanaji	Kāmabana (Rājas.)
Tulasīdāsa	Shrī Gopīnāthajī	Derāgāzīkhā <u>n</u>
(Tulasīdāsa, nicknamed "Lālajī", was an (Sindh, moved to India		
adopted son of Vitthalanātha who spread the		after creation of
Pustimarga in the Punjab and Sindh; the		Pākistān: presently
svarūpa in the possession of his descendant		located in Brndābana,
is not equal in status to the nine listed above.)		UP)

¹Mītala, Braj ke Dharma..., pp. 276, 296-297, 525.

The Shuddhādvaita

IN THE COURSE of the preceding two chapters, much reference has been made to the teachings and doctrines of Vallabhācārya, but no attempt has yet been made to give more than a very broad description of them. It has been said only that these doctrines form a guide for those who intend to follow that particular approach to spiritual freedom through devotion to a Supreme Being that is called, in Indian terms, the bhaktimārga. According to the broad description that has been given, the purpose of these teachings is the purification of human iivas, through the transformation of mental attitudes from the laukika to the alaukika, so that they may be acceptable to the divine (see pp. 9-14 above). Although the description of Vallabhācārya's doctrines has thus been left very broad and general, the origin of those teachings has been dealt with more fully. According to the traditions of the Sampradaya founded by Vallabhācārya, the teachings taught by Vallabhācārya were not the result of human reasoning, but were themselves divine. Vallabhācārya was the Mukhāvatāra of Parabrahman Shrī Krsna (see p. 22 above); consequently, the teachings which came from Vallabhācārya were directly from Shrī Krsna. As far as scriptural authority is concerned, Vallabhācārva's teachings were based on the Bhāgavata Purāna, the one scripture by which the meaning of all other holy scriptures may be known (see p. 8 above). No more description of the doctrines of Vallabhācārya was necessary while the topic of discussion was limited to the Sampradāya founded by Vallabhācārya; the primary concern of the level of Vallabhācārya's movement that is represented by his Sampradaya is, as has already been said, the physical (adhibhautika) level. On the physical level, concern is with actions and with outward behaviour. On that level is the act of physical self-dedication (ātmanivedana) which must be made by every person who enters the Sampradaya, and there are the precepts on association with members of other religious and philosophical sects, on marriage, on behaviour toward the divine svarūpas,

on the conduct of the sevā of those svarūpas, and on the importance of the ceremony of darshana; on that level also are the descriptions of the place of Vallabhācārya and his descendants in the formation of the relationship between the human and the divine and the traditional accounts found in the Braj Bhāṣā vārtā literature of the lives of the most important and spiritually adept sevakas of Vallabhācārya which are meant to inspire desire for bhaktibhāva. In order to go beyond the ādhibhautika level of simple action that is the Sampradāya and inquire about the precise nature of the doctrines that are the motivating and vitalizing force behind the actions of the Sampradāya, it is necessary to approach the Shuddhādvaita, the ādhyātmika level (the ādhyātmika is to the ādhibhautika as the internal self is to the external body), which contains the doctrinal philosophy formulated by Vallabhācārya to prove the reality and truth of the worldview which he held to be essential for the deliverance (uddhāra) of the jīva.

THE PLACE OF THE SHUDDHADVAITA AMONG INDIAN PHILOSOPHICAL SYSTEMS

Ordinarily, when the Shuddhādvaita of Vallabhācārya is discussed, it is discussed as one of the philosophical systems within the saddarshana (the six schools of philosophy) that make up the astika division (that division which accepts the Veda as the foundation of all truth, the division which is, from the Hindu standpoint, orthodox) of Indian thought. Within the saddarshana Vallabhācārya's Shuddhādvaita is classed with the systems belonging to the Vedanta school (the school which bases its thought on the Upanishads—which are the Veda-anta [anta = end] or end of the Veda); in the Vedanta school there are many different traditions, one of which is the Vaisnava tradition according to which the Supreme Brahman (Parabrahman) is personal and has divine qualities and attributes and may be called either Visnu, Shri Krsna, or Rāma (the name used depends upon which of the three is the centre for one's devotion) when in his complete form. These Vaisnava philosophies in the Vedanta school all have in common a reliance on the bhaktimarga as the surest way to spiritual freedom for the human soul, or, as it is called in Indian thought, the jiva; the bhaktimarga is one of the three commonly accepted methods posited in Indian thought by which the

BHAI MANILAL C. PAREKH, Sri Vallabhacharya: Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), pp. 320-321.

²Surendranath Dasgupta, A History of Indian Philosophy (5 vols.; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1961), vol. 1, p. 68.

The Saddarshana are: Sankhya, Yoga, Vedanta, Mimansa, Nyaya, and Vaishesika.

iva may be liberated (mukta). In following the bhaktimārga one must concentrate all of his thoughts and actions in a spirit of selfless devotion (bhakti) on a supreme god; the jnānamārga and the karmamārga (the former is followed by those who turn their attention upon the search for knowledge of the one reality, almost always impersonal, and then try to realize within themselves association with this reality and the latter is followed by those who feel that the performance of certain actions, sacrifices, or rites and the avoidance of others will bring the highest good), the other two of the three methods for spiritual freedom (moksa), are not followed in any of the great philosophies of the Vaisnava tradition in the Vedānta. Vallabhācārva's Shuddhādvaita is classed as one of the Vaisnava philosophies of the Vedania school. The place of the Shuddhādvaita among these Vaisnava Vedānta philosophies is by no means lowly; it is considered one of the major philosophies of the Vaisnava tradition and is, therefore, important in the history of Indian philosophy. As the formulator of the Shuddhādvaita philosophy, Vallabhācārya is ranked along with Rāmānujācārya, Madhvācārya, 2 Nimbarka, and the group of philosophers inspired by Krsna Caitanya in the pantheon of Vaisnava philosophy.

Although the primary interest in this study is in the Shuddhādvaita as a key element in the transmutation of the jīva from a state of impurity to a state of purity and not in the Shuddhādvaita as a philosophical system to be compared with other Indian philosophies, Vallabhācārya's thought must be related, if only briefly, to the thought of other major philosophers—and especially to that of those belonging to the Vedānta school—in order to put his philosophical doctrines into the proper perspective.

Vallabhācārya, like almost all philosophers within the Indian cultural tradition, accepts the doctrine of karma (action). Karma refers to the force by which every action, no matter how insignificant, breeds results and these results, in turn, cause further actions; it is because of the karmic requirement that every action must have results that living beings must undergo an unending series of births. Vallabhācārya also accepts the existence of the jīva. It is the jīva (living entity) that passes through the infinite series of births. In most Indian philosophical systems, including that of Valiabhācārya, the jīva is pure but seems to have become impure through ignorance. Finally, Vallabhācārya and most other Indian philosophers see an escape from this bondage to the karma-produced cycle of birth after birth. This escape is mokṣa (liberation);

¹R. G. Bhandarkar, Vaisnavism, Shaivism, and Minor Religious Systems (Vārānasī: Indological Book House, 1965), pp. 50-57.

²Ibid., pp. 57-62.

Mbid., pp. 62-66.

⁴¹bid., pp. 82-86.

in the state of moksa the jīva is free from the cycle of birth and rebirth. In addition to the above philosophical ideas, which are found in almost all systems of Indian thought, there are certain concepts which are common to all of the schools of the Vedanta. One of these is the doctrine that there is a supreme entity which is the cause of the universe: this entity is called Brahman (growth, expansion, swelling, that which expands)² and is omniscient and eternal and present everywhere. Another common concept is that of the Veda and especially the Upanishads (Vedānta) as the source of all true knowledge; the Brahma-sūtras (or. Vedānta-sūtras)—which are really a summarizing of the ideas presented in the Upanishads—and the Bhagavad Gītā are also accepted as authoritative scriptures. The philosophers of the Vedānta have written commentaries on these scriptures in order to demonstrate the truth of their particular doctrines. The philosophers of the Vedānta schools hold that human reason has a valid sphere of applicability but in lofty matters of the spirit—the nature of Brahman, the relationship of Brahman and the *jīva*, the nature of the moksa, etc.—the only authority is the revealed meaning of the sacred scriptures just listed. All of the Vedānta philosophers hold the *iñānamārga* and the *bhaktimārga* to be superior to the karmamarga (some of them consider the iñanamarga to be superior to the bhaktimārga, while others—among whom is Valiabhācārya—hold the opposite to be the case); for all Vedanta philosophers the rituals and restrictions of the karmamarga are effective for obtaining material rewards and must be observed and practised while one is in the initial stages of spiritual seeking, but are of no use in the matter of the quest for moksa.³ As a philosopher belonging to the Vedānta, Vallabhācārva would concur with all of the above statements.

The Vedānta school is itself divided into two main divisions: the followers of the doctrine of advaita, made up especially of the followers of the famous Shankarācārya who flourished some six-hundred years before the time of Vallabhācārya, which is called "Māyāvāda" in the literature of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya, and the followers of the Vaiṣṇava philosophers. The fundamental difference between the two divisions is that the advaita or Māyāvādī philosophers follow the jñānamārga while the Vaiṣṇava philosophers follow variations of the bhaktimārga. Examples of the antipathy of these divisions for each other have already been discussed (see pp. 26-27 and 43-46 above). Other important points of difference between the two divisions were the advaita doctrines

¹Dasgupta, vol. I, pp. 71-75.

²MONIER MONIER-WILLIAMS, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960), pp. 737-738.

³V. S. GHATE, Le Vedanta, Etude sur les Brahma-Sutras et Leurs Cinq Commentaires (Paris: Editions Ernest Leroux, 1918), pp. xliii-xliv.

accepting Māyā as an independent force of illusion—which the Vaiṣṇavas denied on the grounds that there can be no power outside of Brahman, and the reliance on self-effort for liberation (which is an outcome of any jñānamārgī system of thought) while the Vaiṣṇavas argue that only the Supreme Being can liberate the jīvas. The doctrinal differences among the Vaiṣṇava schools—with the important deep and clear-cut differences between the followers of Madhvācārya, who are dualists, and the followers of the other Vaiṣṇava philosophers, who are non-dualists—are subtle and often obscure and need not be entered into for the purposes of this study

Before leaving this very short discussion of the Shuddhādvaita from the purely philosophical point of view, mention should be made of the place of the Shuddhādvaita in Karl Potter's convenient classification of Indian philosophical systems. According to this classification, there are two basic points of view on philosophical problems in Indian thought. One of these is the "path philosophy" by which term is meant that kind of philosophy which holds that final liberation (moksa), which is, as noted above, the ultimate goal in almost every Indian philosophical system, can be attained by scrupulously following a programme of internal and external discipline. The other is "speculative philosophy" by which term is designated those systems that are concerned with proving that final liberation is possible and can be attained. The first type of philosophy would consist largely of a regimen made up of steps of progression, each step leading—upon its mastery—automatically into the next until finally the goal is attained; the other type of philosophy would be concerned with the nature of the universe, of man and of liberation itself and would attempt to show, by means of an understanding of the true nature of the universe, man, and moksa, that moksa is possible for man in the universe. Within the speculative type of philosophy there is a further two-fold distinction made, this time between "progress philosophy" and "leap philosophy". Progress philosophy is that type of speculative philosophy which holds that there are definite causal relationships between complete freedom and those conditions that are necessary for that freedom; leap philosophy, on the other hand, denies that such causal relationships exist by which one may move from causes to effects to eventual liberation. For the leap philosopher there may indeed be chains or ladders leading part of the way to liberation, but no chain leads to liberation. For moksa the leap philosopher looks to some force or presence unrelated to any effort on the part of the seeker. Not all Indian philosophies are clearly one or the other of these divisions; many fall somewhere in between the divisions. Nevertheless, this classification seems to be an excellent way to characterize quickly and accurately any Indian philosophical system in relation to other Indian systems of thought.¹

Karl Potter's system of classification of philosophies furnishes an excellent point from which to begin a discussion of the Shuddhādvaita. a discussion that will be, as nearly as possible, from the point of view of an initiate within the Vallabhācārya Sampradāva who is attempting to mould his own concept of the universe to fit the adhyamika form of the Shuddhādvaita. Vallabhācārva's Shuddhāvaita is a speculative philosophy. It does not posit a programme of discipline, but rather seeks to convince jīvas seemingly hopelessly mired in the morass of the Kali Yuga that escape from that morass is possible. Among the speculative philosophies, the Shuddhādvaita would have to be classed with those that are leap philosophies since in the Shuddhādvaita the final liberation of the jīva—a liberation which Vallabhācārya calls uddhāra, [Shrī Krsna's] lifting out [the iīva from ignorance]—is not attained through any systematized understanding of the nature of the causes of bondage and of liberation. The uddhāra of the Shuddhādvaita is reached—or, more accurately. is received—only through the grace of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and it is, from the jīva's point of view, completely unpredictable and irrational; Shrī Krsna may bestow uddhāra on one who knows nothing of the teachings of Vallabhācārya, on one who has never received the Brahmasambandha (see pp. 17-20 above), on one who is impure and irreligious. The anugraha (grace) by which Shrī Krsna grants uddhāra cannot be forced; good works, constant sevā (see pp. 50-52 above), knowledge of the Shuddhādvaita are not meant to cause Shrī Kṛṣṇa to grant uddhāra and these things could not cause Shrī Krsna to grant uddhāra for Shrī Krsna is absolutely independent and cannot be caused to do anything. Nor can the performance of wrong action force Shri Krsna to withhold uddhāra. The grace by which uddhāra is bestowed is completely under the control of the icchā (will, desire) power (shakti) of Shrī Krsna; it is by the desire of Shri Krsna and for no other reason that the grace that brings uddhāra comes upon a jīva.2 To try to force uddhāra to be granted or to try to bring it by one's own efforts would be egoistic and egoism is at the root of the ignorance which binds the jīva. In order to avoid all thought of bringing uddhāra by their own actions, the sevakas who follow Vallabhācārya cultivate an intense humility, as absolute egolessness and visualize themselves as the most impure of beings.

KARL H. POTTER, Presuppositions of India's Philosophies (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1963), pp. 98-100, 249.

²CIMMANALĀLA HARISHANKARAJĪ, *Puṣṭimārgopadeshikā*, trans. into Hindī by Shrīmādhava Sharmā (Vārāṇasī: Shrīmādhava Sharmā, 1941), p. 70.

In so doing, they also issue a sort of challenge to Bhagavān: if Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa delivers jīvas only in accordance with his own icchā and not because of outward pressures, then how can He hesitate to deliver the worst of men without seeming to be kept from delivering such men by reason of the vileness of their sins—an external pressure—and not by reason of his own icchā? It is in this vein that Sūradāsa sings:

O Lord, maintain your reputation.

I am a great sinner, and have never done your work.

Strong illusion $(M\bar{a}y\bar{a})$, home wealth, women; to these I have utterly bound myself.

I see and hear and know (their nature), but I don't stop doing these things.

I've heard people say you have brought many across,

I want to board the boat, but I can't pay the boatman.

Take me across, O great king, Lord of Braj.

I am not saying anything new, O Lord; you are the friend of the poor.1

One may, however, object that the full, ādhidaivika (that which is divine, that which transcends both the physical and the intellectual and also contains them) expression of Vallabhācārya's teachings—the Pustimārga—is a mārga, a way or path, and that it is a progression from the ādhibhautika (Sampradāya) to the ādhyātmika (Shuddhādvaita) to the ādhidaivika (Pustimārga); this would not be accepted, though, by the follower of Vallabhācārya since, according to Vallabhācārya's thought, bhaktibhāva itself is the result of the anugraha (grace) of Shrī Krsna and is not the result of the jīva's own efforts. In other words, one enters the Sampradāya and receives the Brahmasambandha initiation (which does not ensure eventual uddhāra, but which removes the impurities [dosa] that the jīva has acquired) not by his own effort, but by the anugraha of Bhagavān which is subject only to the divine icchā (will); one progresses along the mārga revealed by Vallabhācārya only by the will of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and, similarly, one fails to progress along that mārga by the will of Shrī Krsna.^{2,3} Vallabhācārya sums up his attitudes on the will of Shrī Krsna in the first verse of his "Viveka-Dhairyāshrayanirūpanam" (the

¹S. M. Pandey and N. H. Zide, *The Poems of Surdas* (Chicago: University of Chicago, unpublished, 1963), poem no. 7. The text of the above poem is also available, without English translation in: Sūradāsa, *Sūrasāgara*, ed. Nandadulāri Vājapeyī (2 vols.; Vārānasī: Nāgarīpracārinī Sabhā, 1965), vol. I, p. 35.

² MRUDULA I. MARFATIA, *The Philosophy of Vallabhācārya* (Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1967), pp. 84-85.

³Dasgupta, vol. IV, pp. 335, 367–368.

eighth book of the Sodashagrantha) which goes as follows:

One must always preserve in himself true understanding (viveka), spiritual fortitude (dhairya), and also confident dependence [on Shrī Krsna] (āshraya).

Viveka is the realization that Shrī Kṛṣṇa will do all things according to his own will (nijecchātah).

The problem of the actions of the jīva, and especially of the actions of the jīva done with bhaktibhāva, and their relationship to the divine will are discussed more thoroughly in the following chapter; for the present, the above discussion will be sufficient to demonstrate that the Shuddhādvaita of Vallabhācārya is, in Karl Potter's terms, a true leap philosophy; in the Shuddhādvaita there is no course of endeavour, there is no sādhana, (a sādhana is something that leads to some objective, it is the act of subduing or overcoming, an accomplishment, a means, or any agent by which something may be done; it is a method by the power of which something may be accomplished)² other than sevā (service of Shrī Kṛṣṇa; for examples of ādhibhautika sevā (see pp. 46-52 above) and this sevā is both the sādhana and the phala (fruit, reward) of the sādhana.³ That is to say, one does not perform sevā for any reward but the performance of sevā itself.

The Shuddhādvaita is, however, speculative; it describes and explains the real nature of the universe so that the $j\bar{i}va$, who has already been initiated into the Sampradāya and is already practising the three kinds of $sev\bar{a}$ of Shrī Kṛṣṇa (the three kinds of $sev\bar{a}$ [see p. 51 above] are: $tanuj\bar{a}$ —produced by the body, $vittaj\bar{a}$ —produced by wealth, and $m\bar{a}nasika$ —mental; the last of the three is the best and is the product of the performance of the first two)4 and is desirous of further revelation, can begin to incorporate within himself that true explanation of the nature of the universe in place of the mistaken notions that he had held previously.

FUNDAMENTAL DOCTRINES OF THE SHUDDHADVAITA

Vallabhācārya's basic doctrine is that there is only one existent entity: *Parabrahman* Shrī Kṛṣṇa. This being, in whom all that exists is contained, has been called by many names; in the *Bhagavad Gītā*, for example, he

¹Sītārāma Carurvedī, Mahāprabhu Shrīmadvallabhācārya aur Puṣṭimārga (Vārāṇasī: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kutīra, 1967). p. 298. The English translation is mine.

²MONIER-WILLIAMS, p. 1201.

³HARISHANKARAJĪ, preface, p. 10.

⁴lbid., p. 16.

is called "Purusottama" and in the smṛti (what is remembered from human sages but is not directly from the divine; in the smṛti are, for example, the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa) he is called "Paramātman", in the Upanishads he is called "Brahman", and in the Bhāgāvata Purāṇa he is called "Bhagavān". Brahmā, Viṣṇu, and Shiva are his limited avatāras.²,³ Since Vallabhācārya accepted only one existent he was a supporter of the advaita point of view (the point of view which holds that there is no [a-] duality [dvaita]); furthermore, Vallabhācārya was a bhakta with an intensity of devotion to his god that would allow no rival to that god. Vallabhācārya cannot even allow his own identity to rival that of his god. Such an attitude of uncompromising non-dualism is, of course, not unique to Vallabhācārya. The same spirit is found, for example, in the forty-first sūtra of the Nārada Bhakti Sūtras which goes as follows:

There is no feeling of difference in that [Supreme Being] and in the person [devoted to him].4

Vallabhācārya's god had to receive complete devotion and, in order to receive complete devotion, that god had to be supreme without any second beside him. Rather than reasoning to this unity, it would seem from the tenor of Vallabhācārya's writings that he began, like a true bhakta, from a supreme divine unity and then explained how the multiple universe developed from this supreme unity. The philosophical system of explanation which Vallabhācārya worked out was called by him Shuddhādvaita, that is, pure (shuddha) non-duality (advaita); Shuddhādvaita was meant to prove by scriptural exegesis that Purabrahman Shrī Krsna had to be absolutely, purely one. Specifically, Vallabhācārya meant his Shuddhādvaita to convince those who followed his teachings that all devotion must be turned to Shri Krsna as the only power and existence. The Shuddhādvaita was also a counter to the advaita philosophical system of Shankārācārya and other members of his school. The advaita philosophers accounted for the origin of the physical universe by a force which they called "Māyā"; Māyā is, for Shankarācārya:

...indescribable and indefinable for it is neither real nor unreal nor

^{15.} RADHAKRISHNAN, The Bhagavadgitā (London: George Allen and Unwin, 1960), p. 269. The verse referred to is X1:3.

²VALLABHĀCĀRYA, The Tativārtha-Dīpa-Nibandha with Prakāsha (Shāstrārtha Prakarana), ed. Harishankar Onkarji Shastri (2 vols.; Bombay: Trustees of Sheth Narayandas, 1943), I, Sanskrit text: p. 1 of Sanskrit section; English translation: p. 23, in English section. Reference is to verse 6.

³Harishankarajī, pp. 8-9, 63.

⁴SWĀMĪ TYĀGĪSHĀNANDA, Aphorisms on the Gospèl of Divine Love or Nārada Bhakti Sūtras (Mylapore, Madras: Sri Ramakrishna Math, 1955), p. 12. Reference is to verse 41.

both....It is not real, for it has no existence apart from Brahman, it is not unreal, for it projects the world of appearance. It is not real, for it vanishes at the dawn of knowledge; it is not unreal, for it is true as long as it lasts. It is not real to constitute a limit to Brahman and yet it is real enough to give rise to the world of appearance. And it is not both real and unreal, for this conception is self-contradictory.

Vallbhācārya found this sort of mysterious force completely unacceptable. Vallabhācārya could not admit any power outside of Brahman or inside of Brahman that is credited with the power to create something that is not Brahman. Shankarācārya's $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, even though it is said to have its only existence in Brahman, is able to project the world of appearances (= the jagat, the world of matter in which human beings and other creatures dwell) and the world of appearances is a world without substance (since all substance is Brahman, a world without substance would be a world without Brahman) that vanishes when true knowledge is gained (true knowledge being the knowledge that all is unchanged Brahman and that there is, in reality, no world). To Vallabhācārya all of this meant simply that Shankarācārya was positing a force, no matter how lacking in ultimate reality it might be, that had power to create what is separate from Brahman.

Besides placing a power with the ability to create in conjunction with Brahman, the *advaita* theory also presumed the physical world, the *jagat*, to be unreal. For Vallabhācārya, the *jagat* is real and is of the essence of Brahman; no outside illusive force is necessary to account for the *jagat*.

Vallabhācārya had described his concept of Brahman in his *Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha* in the following words:

Brahman is sat, cit, and ānanda, omnipresent, indestructible, omnipotent, self-dependent, omniscient and destitute of worldly qualities, verse 65²

Vallabhācārya here makes Brahman consist of sat, cit, and ānanda; by sat is meant simple existence, being neither conscious nor able to feel, by cit is meant awareness, understanding, consciousness, by ānanda, a word which refers to feelings of happiness, of delight, of joy, is meant the ability to take pleasure, to feel—without ānanda the divine play that is called līlā would be impossible. It is important to realize that Vallabhā-

¹CHANDRADHAR SHARMA, A Critical Survey of Indian Philosophy (London: Rider and Co., 1960), pp. 274–275.

²Vallabhācārya, I, verse 65, Sanskrit text: p. 5 of Sanskrit section; English translation: page 30 of English section.

carva sees these three—sat. cit. and ananda—as making up the actual entity of Brahman. They are not qualities of Brahman, they are Brahman; in the text of verse 65 translated above Vallabhācārya writes, "saccidānandarūpam tu brahma", which means that Brahman is the very form of sat, cit, and ananda, that Brahman is composed of sat, cit, and ananda. Nor is this in any way a limitation of Brahman—who by definition cannot be limited—since the ananda of Brahman (in discussing the Shuddhadvaita, Vallabhācārya's example will be followed and Parabrahman will be called simply "Brahman" while the lower, limited manifestation of Brahman will be called "aksara Brahman") is infinite and limitless. Brahman is sat; when Brahman, by his own icchā and for his own pleasure, spins out his *līlā* (his play), he conceals part of himself in a process that Vallabhācārya calls "tirobhāva" (concealment, act of hiding, becoming invisible; the term does not mean destruction, but simply refers to a state in which something has become temporarily imperceptible but still exists)1 and that tirobhūta (concealed) part of himself is no longer apparent.² One of the powers (shaktis) which Brahman possesses is called "Māvā" and it is by this Māyāshakti³ that Brahman conceals part of himself to become the jagat. The jagat or prapañca (Vallabhācārva seems to consider the two terms to be synonyms, even though "prapañca" usually refers to the universe as an expansion or development of an ongoing process of evolution while jagat usually refers to the world of the living, of moving beings)4 is jada (lifeless, inert matter) and jada is the sat of Brahman in āvirbhūta (āvirbhāva-āvirbhūta mean "manifest", "visible", "apparent", and are the opposite side of tirobhāva-tirobhūta) condition. The process by which all but the existence portion (sat) of Brahman is tirobhūta is accomplished by the Māyāshakti that is real and is part of Brahman. It is of primary importance to notice that the process of avirbhava is not creation and the process of tirobhava is not destruction, for in the former case nothing has come into being and in the latter case nothing has ceased or been annihilated; furthermore, nothing has changed. There is no change in the processes of avirbhava and tirobhava, there is only a process of manifestation of what has always been present though not apparent, on the one hand, and a process of concealment of what will always be present though not apparent, on the other hand. The Māyāshakti, like a magician's mirrors, makes part of Brahman apparent (āvirbhūta) while the rest is concealed (tirobhūta); Māyāshakti is under the control of the icchā (will, desire) of Brahman. When only jada is apparent then the jagat.

¹Monier-Williams, p. 447.

²MARFATIA, pp. 48-49.

³Ibid., p. 41. See also: VALLABHĀCĀRYA, I, verse 23, Sanskrit text: p. 2 of Sanskrit section; English translation on p. 25 of English section.

⁴MONIER-WILLIAMS, pp. 408, 681.

he physical world, is manifest and only the sat of Brahman is visible nd the cit and ānanda are hidden. Jagat is thus the sat of Brahman and so s Brahman and is real; jagat is, of course, not the complete Brahman, but only Brahman partially manifested.

The process outlined above is complicated by the presence of an ntermediary form between Brahman and jagat: the akṣara Brahman. 4kṣara (imperishable) Brahman is the same as Brahman ("Brahman" efers to the supreme and complete Brahman, Shrī Kṛṣṇa Parabrahman), but with only part of the infinite ānanda āvirbhūta (manifest); therefore, /allabhācārya calls akṣara Brahman the Brahman with limited or calulable ānanda. Akṣara Brahman is the abode or foundation which Brahman manifests out of himself in order to spin out his līla; thus, it s actually out of akṣara Brahman that the jagat is manifested. The jagat is then spoken of as being the kṣara, since the jagat is kṣara kṣara comes from a Sanskrit verbal root that means "to flow", "to melt tway", "to wane"; kṣara thus means "perishable", "something that is ikely to melt away")² and subject to change.

It is, indeed, by the *shakti* called *Māyā* that Brahman is partially nanifested to form the *jagat*, but, just as the actual manifestation of 3rahman is done through a limited form of Brahman called *akṣara* 3rahman, so the actual place of *Māyā* in the actual evolution of *jaḍa* s filled by another *shakti* of Brahman called "*prakṛti*". *Prakṛti* is made ip of the three *guṇas* (the qualities present in varying proportions in all natter), *sattva* (purity), *rajas* (passion, vigour), and *tamas* (dullness, larkness) and it is from *prakṛti* that the visible material world develops. Prakṛti proceeds from the *sat* of *akṣara* Brahman and from it develop he twenty-six *tattvas* or elements of the material world in the same order is in the *Sānkhya* system. 3.4.5

So far, the jagat has been accounted for by Vallabhācārya as a partial nanifestation of Brahman brought about through the Māyā power of Brahman. The manifested portion of Brahman is the sat, the portion of inconscious, unaware being. This manifestation is brought about by Māyā acting as prakrti to evolve out of the sat of the akṣara Brahman, which is Brahman with sat and cit manifested but with only a part of inanda manifested. Nothing, meanwhile, has changed in Brahman; Brahman is eternal and beyond any change. By his icchā Brahman causes he jagat to be manifested through the medium of his Māyā out of himself.

¹Harishankarajī, p. 63.

²Monier-Williams, p. 327.

³Marfatia, p. 32.

⁴Dasgupta, IV, pp. 332-336.

⁵FRANKLIN EDGERTON, *The Bhagavad Gitā* (New York: Harper Torchbooks, 1965), pp. 140-142.

In the words of Vallabhācārya's Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha:

It [=Brahman] is the material cause of the Universe, (jagat) and it is its efficient cause. Sometimes it indulges in self-sport and sometimes sports in the Universe. v. 68.1

The phrase "sometimes it indulges in self-sport" refers to those periods when the universe is completely concealed (tirobhūta) and the phrase "sometimes[it]sports in the Universe" refers to the periods when Brahman manifests the universe out of himself. In verse 70 Vailabhācārya refers to Brahman as being the universe and yet being untouched by the universe:

Although present in all objects He is untouched by them all. Although He enters every object as its body and shines there, the object does not know him. He is not the object of any controversy. All controversial doctrines relate to Him. v. 70.2

In verse 72 Vallabhācārya discusses the powers of āvirbhāva and tiro-bhāva:

Assuming various forms by his two powers $-\bar{A}virbh\bar{a}va$ (manifestation) and $Tirobh\bar{a}va$ (non-manifestation), He causes infatuation. He is invisible by the powers of senses but becomes visible by His own will. v. 72.3

The next problem that Vallabhācārya explains is that of the true nature of the jīva, the human soul. Just as the jagat is the manifestation of the sat of Brahman, so are the jīvas the manifestation of the cit—the consciousness, awafeness, thinking power—of Brahman. In the jagat the sat of Brahman is manifest (āvirbhūta) and the cit and the ānanda are tirobhūta (concealed); in the jīva sat and cit are āvirbhūta—the jīvas, therefore, are aware and they exist—but the ānanda of Brahman is tirobhūta and so the jīvas do not feel the divine joy of Brahman. The jīvas, like the jagat, are not created but are manifested by Brahman out of himself; they are, according to Vallabhācārya, parts or fragments of Brahman and they are to Brahman as sparks are to a fire. In other words, the jīvas are not different from Brahman (Vallabhācārya's philosophical system is shuddha [pure] advaita [non-dualism], in it there can be only one being—Brahman—and all other beings have to be but fragments of

¹VALLABHAÇARYA, I, p. 6 of Sanskrit section; p. 30 of English section.

²lbid.

Mbid.

that one) in quality, for they proceed out of him as sparks proceed out of a fire, but they are less than Brahman in quantity. Just as a spark is only a fraction of a fire, so the jīva is said by Vallabhācārya to be the size of an atom (aṇu) while Brahman is infinite and beyond measurement. Like the jagat, the jīvas, as parts of Brahman, are real. The following verses from the Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha help to explain Vallabhācārya's concept of the jīva:

The souls are Its [Brahman's] parts. They are evolved purely on account of Its will [icchā] namely "I am one and may become many." v. 27.

In the beginning of creation [sṛṣṭi], innumerable formless human souls emerged, at Its will, like sparks from fire. Even the inanimate objects came out from Sat, which is Its part. v. 28.1

In verse 28 the word sṛṣṭɨ is translated as "creation"; sṛṣṭɨ has a meaning that is actually indicative of an "emission", "a letting go of one thing by another", "a casting forth of something from some other thing", "a production of one thing out of another thing" rather than of a "creation" which has the connotation of a new formation of something out of nothing or out of material different from the creator. The meaning of the first phrase of the first line of verse 28 would more accurately be: "In the beginning of the manifestation of the physical world [by Brahman out of himself (I translate the pronoun for Brahman as "he-him" rather than as "it" because, though "Brahman" is grammatically neuter, for Vallabhācārya the supreme Brahman is Shrī Kṛṣṇa and is masculine)]."

There are, in Parabrahman Shrī Kṛṣṇa six important non-material dharmas (virtuous qualities, virtues)—for Parabrahman Shrī Kṛṣṇa is, for Vallabhācārya, saguṇa (possessed of qualities), but saguṇa in the sense only of having qualities that are not made up of the three material guṇas (see p. 67 above). It is the limited manifestation of Parabrahman that is called akṣara Brahman that is devoid of qualities material or non-material and is called "nirguṇa" (qualityless). The six dharmas of Brahman are: aishvarya (majesty), virya (strength), yasha (fame), shrī (fortune), jūāna (knowledge), and vairāgya (freedom from attachment). Originally, before the manifestation of the jivas from Brahman, the jivas also enjoyed the six non-material dharmas of Brahman. But, when in the course of the līlā performed by Parabrahman Shrī Kṛṣṇa because of his own icchā,

¹¹bid., I, p. 3 of Sanskrit section; p. 26 of English section.

²Monier-Williams, p. 1245.

³ MALIK МОНАММАD, Ālavār Bhakton kā Tamil-Prabandham aur Hindī Құма-Қаууа (Agrā: Vinoda Pustaka Mandira, 1964), p. 80.

Brahman concealed his ānanda and so manifested the jīvas, the six non-material dharmas were also made non-manifest in the jīvas and the jīvas became subject to misery. The jīvas then, by the icchā of Brahman, are filled with avidyā (non-knowing, ignorance) which is a form of the Māyāshakti of Brahman and, thus, is also a shakti of Brahman. Vallabhācārya explains the source and effect of avidyā in verse 31 of the Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha:

Knowledge [$vidy\bar{a}$] and nescience [$avidy\bar{a}$] are the powers [shakti] of God [Hari] created by $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ only. They belong to the soul [$j\bar{i}va$] and to none else. Hence the soul experiences misery and dependence.

It is this avidyā that brings about the misery of the jīva because, by the action of avidyā, the jīva forgets its nature as a part of Brahman and lives in a painful world of suffering. Since the jivas are ignorant of their existence as parts of Brahman, non-different from him in quality, they are also ignorant of the svadharma (specific duty, innate obligation) of the jīva, which is the natural duty of any part to its whole: sevā (service). Because the jivas do not serve the whole of which they form a part, they each imagine that they are unique and independent and able to perform actions by self-will and able to take possession of other things; this is the state of egoism which is the opposite of sevā-bhakti and which is the root of the dosa (impurities) that separate the jivas from Brahman who is pure (shuddha). The whole existence which is formed by the avidyā (ignorance) that belongs to the *iīva* is called *samsāra*—the going or wandering through an endless cycle of births—and is absolutely unreal. This samsāra that Vallabhācārya holds to be unreal is not a physical state or material plane; it is a mental attitude that is false but is held by the jivas to be true, it is the ignorant interpretation of the nature of reality that is held by the jivas. Samsāra is the product of the ignorance of the jiva—or, rather, it is the product of the imagination of the jiva under the influence of avidyā. As such, the samsāra is not a manifestation of Brahman; since it is not a manifestation of Brahman, it cannot be real. Since the samsara is not real, it can be destroyed. The process of uddhāra is the lifting of the jīva out of samsāra; that is, uddhāra is the destruction of samsāra for a particular iiva. Uddhāra is the result only of the grace of Shrī Krsna and the grace (anugraha) of Shrī Krsna is subject to the icchā of Shrī Krsna. It is only by the icchā of Shrī Krsna that a jīva may be released from samsāra, since it is by the icchā of Shrī Krsna for the performance of lilā that the jīvas fall under the influence of avidvā. According to Vallabhācārya, a jiva cannot liberate itself. Some jivas, through the disciplines of the nānamārga, imagine that they liberate themselves when they are merged nto the akṣara Brahman (which is reached by jñāna while the supreme Frahman is reached by bhakti), but this liberation (mokṣa) is also done only by the icchā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa Parabrahman.

Since the problem of samsāra is a problem of wrong understanding and wrong mental outlook and not of physical origin—since the jagat on which the jīva projects samsāra is real, the solution to the problem is the reestablishment within the jīva of right understanding (viveka, b. 63 above). It was for the reestablishment of this viveka that Shrī Kṛṣṇa nanifested his Mukhāvatāra Vallabhācārya on earth. It is for the establishment of viveka in place of ignorance that Vallabhācārya taught the method sādhana, which is sevā) by which the attitude of the jīva is transformed rom the laukika to the alaukika; the laukika is ignorance and the ulaukika is viveka—right understanding of the nature of existence. Viveka can replace ignorance, of course, only by the anugraha (grace) of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

All the jīvas—and the jīvas are many, not one, in Vallabhācārya's hought—are equal since all are equally part of Brahman; however, in order to perform the divine līlā, that play that is beyond human comprehension, Shrī Kṛṣṇa manifests some jīvas with potential different rom the potential of other jīvas. The jīvas fall, according to Vallabhāzārya's scheme of things, into three categories. These categories are explained in one of the books included in the Sodashagrantha, the Puṣṭi-ravāhamaryādābhedaḥ. The description of these categories and their ignificance given below is taken from the Puṣṭi-pravāhamaryādābhedaḥ.

Vallabhācārya explains that there are three kinds of jīva that have seen manifested by Brahman: one is the puṣṭi (well-nourished, complete, of the nature of the anugraha [grace] of Shrī Kṛṣṇa), the second is the naryādā (those within the limits of the actions required and forbidden by the Veda), and the third is the pravāha (those in the stream or current pravāha] of continuous action). Each type of jīva follows the course of ife named after its particular type: the pravāha jīvas follow the pravāhanārga, the maryādā jīvas follow the maryādāmārga, and the puṣṭi jīvas ollow the puṣṭimārga (the mārga taught by Vallabhācārya). The puṣṭi jīvas and the maryādā jīvas are together called by Vallabhācārya "daiva ivas" (divine souls, souls that have the potential for uddhāra). It was for he uddhāra of these daiva jīvas (called daivī jīvas in Braj Bhāṣā) that vallabhācārya and his son Viṭṭhalanātha, according to the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā, appeared on earth.¹ The pravāha jīvas are demonic and have little potential for uddhāra; there are two kinds of demonic

¹Harirāyajī, Caurāsi Vaisņavan ki Vārtā [Tīn Janma ki Lilā Bhāvanāvāli] ed. Dvārakapāsa Parīkha (Mathurā: Dvārakadāsa Parīkha, 1961), p. 1.

jivas: those that are knowingly evil and those that are unknowingly evil. Vallabhācārya proved the reality of his pravāha class of jīvas, in the third verse of the Puṣṭipravāhamaryādābhedah, by referring to the following verse from the Bhagavad Gītā (XVI:6):

There are two types of beings created in the world—the divine [daiva] and the demoniac $[\bar{a}sura]$...²

In verse 24 of the *Puṣṭipravāhamaryādābhedaḥ* Vallabhācārya defines the *pravāha jīvas* by reference to *Bhagavad Gītā* XVI: 7:

The demoniac do not know about the way of action or the way of renunciation. Neither purity, nor good conduct, nor truth is found in them.³

The existence of the maryādā type of jīva is proven, Vallabhācārya holds in verse three of the Puṣṭipravāhamaryādābhedaḥ, by the existence of the Veda and the body of social and ritual restrictions that surrounds it; these Vedic laws and restrictions are of prime importance to maryādā jīvas.⁴

Since Vallabhācārva's doctrines are meant as a guide to the Pustimārga, his interest is mainly in the pusti jīvas. The Pustimārga itself will not be discussed in detail here, since it is the subject of the next chapter; the pustifivas, however, should be at least briefly described here. Vallabhācarya proves the existence of pusti jivas and of the pustimarga, again, by verses in the Bhagavad Gitā. In the fourth verse of his Pustipravāhamarvādābhedah. Vallabhācārva refers to a refrain found in Bhagavad Gītā XII: 14-20—"he, my devotee [bhakta], is dear to me". —and interprets it to mean that there are pusti jivas and they are the best of all jivas. Vallabhācārya's interpretation of the refrain is based upon his assumption that all bhaktas are pusti jivas and that the bhaktimārga is the Pustimārga. Since Vallabhācārya gave the highest place among the three kinds of jīvas to the pusti jīvas, he had to give the pusti jīvas a point of manifestation equal to their high status. This he did by teaching that the pusti jivas were manifested from the body (kava) of Shri Krsna, while the maryada jīvas were manifested by the speech of Shrī Krsna, and the pravāha jīvas were produced from the mind of Shri Krsna. There is a great deal of

```
1See Puşţipravāhamaryādābhedaḥ, verse 24, found in: CATURVEDĪ, p. 291.
```

²RADHAKRISHNAN, p. 336.

³lbid.

⁴CATURVEDĪ, pp. 285-291.

See Bhagavad Gitā XII: 14-20, found in RADHAKRISHNAN, pp. 297-299.

⁶See Pustipravāhamar yādābhedah, verse 9, found in CATURVEDĪ, pp. 287-288.

significance in the origin of the pusti iivas from the body of Shri Krsna. The body of Shrī Krsna is not made of ordinary, destructible material. but is made of the ananda portion of his being and is, therefore, of the essence of eternal delight. For true bhaktas the body of Shrī Krsna is far superior to any other objective; those who are part of the pravahamārga crave riches and sensual pleasures on earth, those who follow the maryādāmārga live in expectation of the rewards that the Veda promises in heaven or else find austere union with aksara Brahman, but those who follow the pustimarga are satisfied only with direct experience of the divine body of Shrī Krsna. The precedent was set by the gopis (cowherd girls) who enjoyed physical love-play with Shri Krsna during his life in Braj on earth and who enjoy eternally the love of Shri Krsna in the endless lilā in Goloka. The gopis are accepted in Vallabhācārva's Sampradāya as the perfect bhaktas; they are the gurus of Pustimarga and they demonstrate the complete, love-saturated devotion that is the ideal pattern for relations between the *iivas* and the divine. They teach, through their own example, that the physical presence of Shri Krsna is to be desired above all else. The attachment of the gopis to the physical splendour of Shri Krsna and their refusal to accept any substitute for the actual presence of Shrī Kṛṣṇa have been most vividly portrayed in Sūradāsa's series of padas describing the attempts of Krsna's messenger Uddhava to persuade the gopis to give up their longing for Kṛṣṇa and practise meditation on the abstract, qualityless absolute being. In fact, Uddhava wanted the goods to give up their viraha (the agony of separation which is one of the hallmarks of bhakti) and their love for the physical presence of Shri Krsna—to do either would be to give up the bhaktimarga—and to dedicate themselves to meditation on aksara Brahman-i.e., to take up the practice of the *iñānamārga*. But the *gopis* were—and are—bhaktas. pusti jīvas; their way is the Pustimārga, they are of the divine body. Therefore, they answered Uddhava in the following pada:

Uddhava, we are not deserving of Yoga.

How can a weak woman know the essence of knowledge—how can she meditate?

You tell us to close those eyes where the image of Hari [= Shrī Kṛṣṇa] lives.

Oh Bee [= Uddhava], such deceitful words we will not listen to.

Who wants to suffer, having his ears pierced and letting his hair grow long and matted?

¹HARISHANKARAJĪ, p. 119.

You tell us to give up sandalwood paste and smear ashes on our bodies, we who have been badly burned by fire of separation from him.

He for whom a Yogi wanders around until he forgets himself, is within our hearts.

Sūradāsa says: The milkmaids say, "We are not away from him even for an instant, like a body and its shadow."

In the above poem Sūradāsa expresses beautifully two key concepts of bhakti thought in general and of Vallabhācārya's thought in particular. The first of these concepts is that of the delightful, transcendent—alaukika-body of Shri Krsna as being both the supreme, final goal and the original source (for in Vallabhācārya's thought there is no bondage and no liberation, only entanglement in and deliverance [uddhāra] from the jīva's ignorance and self-deception that is samsāra; in reality, the jīva, as a part of the Parabrahman, is always in its original, divine state) of the pustifiva: the second is that of the undesirability of ascetic renunciation (voga) in the pursuit of liberation through knowledge. These two concepts are inseparably intertwined in bhakti thought and are presented so intertwined in Sūradāsa's poem. The yogi closes his eyes to search within himself for realization of absolute truth; in so doing, he obscures and hides with his own ego the form of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Yoga is self-centred, selfreliant, and self-inflating; for these reasons Sūradāsa and the other followers of Vallabhācārva held voga to be self-defeating, for it hinders the seeing and serving of Shrī Kṛṣṇa which is complete and final truth. By "weak woman" Sūradāsa means any follower of the Pustimārga; such a follower is "weak" because he knows that he is not independent and that he does not accomplish his goals through his own strength, but that he must rely completely on the grace of Shri Krsna. He is a woman because it is in womanly devotion—whether like that of the gopis for the young Krsna or like that of Yashoda for the child Krsna—that such a follower finds the ideal for his total self-surrender to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. A follower of the Puştimārga (who would, of course, have to be a puşti jīva) who is such a "weak woman" cannot practise yoga for he is incapable of the self-centred efforts required in that discipline and he does not find the impersonal, qualityless, formless absolute being that is the goal of vogic discipline attractive; instead, he wants to experience the ecstatic joy (bhāva) of loving service of the delightful Krsna who has a radiant, indestructible body made of ananda (alaukika bliss). Furthermore, a vogī puts on external marks of his efforts—matted hair, pierced ears, and ashes on his body—while the follower of the Pustimarga, like the

opis, has actually suffered the burning of viraha and shows internal as rell as external evidence of it in his devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. That burning iraha is his tapas (ascetic penance) and it causes his mind to abandon very thought but the thought of Kṛṣṇa, which is his vairāgya (renunciaion). As Vallabhācārya has written in the seventh verse of his "Sannysanirnayah" (quoted above on p. 34):

The sannyāsa taken for the purpose of experiencing viraha is best.... 'inally, the follower of the Puṣṭimārga, the puṣṭi jīva, does not want the bsorption into akṣara Brahman that is the end of the practice of yoga n the jñānamārga; he wants, instead, to have continual association with he divine body of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. He wants to be, in the words of Sūradāsa's 'opīs,' 'not away from him even for an instant, like a body and its shadow.' 'or Vallabhācārya's followers, the culmination of delight in the physical tresence of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, the Supreme Being and the source of all existence, so the highest experience (anubhava) of sevā, which is called "alaukika āmarthya" (alaukika capability). This alaukika capability, given by he divine anugraha (grace) is the capability by which a bhakta is able of experience Shrī Kṛṣṇa and Shrī Kṛṣṇa's līlā within himself. By means of he alaukika capability, the bhakta shares in the essence of Bhagavān Shrī Cṛṣṇa and consciously and physically enters with him into the eternal līlā. 1,2

The puṣṭi jīvas, however, are not all the same. For purposes of spinning out his līlā, Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa manifested two different groups of ruṣṭi jīvas. The first group is made up of pure (shuddha) puṣṭi jīvas; hese pure puṣṭi jīvas were manifested before the six divine virtues (dharnas) were concealed in the jīvas (see p. 69 above) and so are naturally ble to practise perfect devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. The other group is called he group of mixed (mishra) jīvas and is made up of three different kinds of puṣṭi jīvas: those in which puṣṭi is mixed with puṣṭi is mixed with ravāha.³ The jīvas in whom puṣṭi is mixed with puṣṭi is mixed with ravāha.³ The jīvas in whom puṣṭi is mixed with puṣṭi know everything i.e., their knowledge is potentially unlimited), the jīvas in whom puṣṭi s mixed with maryādā know the guṇas of Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa (i.e., heir knowledge extends to the limits of the material universe) and the īvas in whom puṣṭi is mingled with pravāha maintain an interest in the iffairs and concerns of samsāra.4

By the above system of classification of human jivas, Vallabhācārya explained the different spiritual aptitudes found among human beings. Although all of these aptitudes were determined by Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa

¹HARISHANKARAJĪ, pp. 146- 147.

²Parekh, p. 245.

³Marfatia, p. 26.

⁴See Pustipravāhamaryādābhedah verses 15-16, found in: CATURVEDĪ, p. 289.

for his *līlā* and although some of these aptitudes made some classes of *jīvas* likely to pass eternity in the delusion of *samsāra* while others made other classes of *jīvas* very likely to find release, the *anugraha* of Bhagavān always provided the cnance that *uddhāra* would be granted even to the lowest of the *jīvas*, the *pravāha jīvas* who were knowingly evil. By means of the *anugraha* of Bhagavān (and of the *icchā* which rules it), the order of the universe conceived by Vallabhācārya was saved from being completely predestined and, for the majority of beings in it, hopeless.

There remains still one more very important entity within the model of the universe constructed by Vallabhācārya in his Shuddhādvaita: the antaryāmin. The word antaryāmin means, literally, "the inside (antar = inside, within) controller (yam = to support, to control)"; the antaryāmin, then, is an inner force which controls the jīva. According to Vallabhācārya, when Shrī Kṛṣṇa manifested the jīvas out of himself in the course of his lilā, he concealed in them his ānanda but left his sat and cit manifest; but, since the jīvas are manifested for the divine līlā, Shrī Kṛṣṇa had to exist within each of them in order to delight in that līlā. Shrī Kṛṣṇa within the jīva is called "antaryāmin". The antaryāmin is not affected by the actions of the jīva, nor does it suffer the delusions of samsāra; in the antaryāmin the ānanda of Shrī Kṛṣṇa Parabrahman is manifest. In his Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha (verses 29-30 of the "Shāstrārtha" section), Vallabhācārya describes the antaryāmin in the following words:

All the forms of Antaryāmin have emerged from the Bliss [ānanda] constituent of God. Antaryāmin has all the Sat. (existence) Chit (knowledge), and Ānanda (bliss); the human souls have Sat and Chit and the matter (Universe) only Sat.

Hence due to latency of Ananda, the soul and the Universe are deemed formless (joyless) ...; for the purpose of convenience, it is called Universe, soul and Antaryāmin.

In verse 39 of the same section, Vallabhācārya describes the place of the antaryāmin in the divine $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$:

Sometimes having created [sṛṣtvā] the creation [jagat] in the form of the sky, etc., and entered it by His two forms, God [Hari] sports in it as souls and Antaryāmin. ...²

In general, Vallabhācārya views the antarvāmin as being the akşara Brah-

¹VALLABHĀCĀRYA, I, p. 3 of Sanskrit section; p. 26 of English section.

²¹hid., I, p. 4 of Sanskrit section; p. 27 of English section.

ian as it is manifested within the jīvas, while akṣara Brahman may be lought of as including both inanimate matter and the jīvas and as being it substratum of Parabrahman. Sometimes, however, Vallabhācārya tems to see a more clear-cut distinction between the antaryāmin and he aksara Brahman.

In summary, the universe, as seen through the Shuddhadvaita of /allabhācārva, appears as a strictly unitarian structure which reveals tself at three levels; this threefold revelation is then repeated in several lifferent modes of existence, each one being a partial manifestation of the original unit. The basic revelation is expressed by the triad adhibhauika-ādhyātmika-ādhidaivika (see pp. 62-63 above). This triad telescopes into the unity that is the one existence: Bhagayan Shri Krsna Parabrahman. Shrī Krsna is the ādhidaivika revelation and is the complete revelation; the adhyatmika revelation is only a partial manifestation of the ādhidaivika for in it part of the ānanda of the ādhidaivika is hidden; the adhibhautika is the least of the three revelations, for in it only the sat of the adhidaivika is manifested and the cit and ananda are both concealed. These are the three primary levels at which Shri Krsna reveals himself; anyone who intends to follow the pustimarga of Vallabhācārya must understand this threefold revelation and must be able to distinguish each level of revelation from the others. Only in being able to make this distinction can the jīva recognize and serve the divine.

This model of a universe consisting of a triple revelation was not original to Vallabhācārya's thought. It appears all through the course of Indian philosophical development, most obviously in the three attributes of Brahman: sat, cit, and ānanda, in the three Aryan varṇas, in the three original Vedas, in the three guṇas of matter, and in the three universal gods: Brahmā, Viṣṇu, and Shiva. The use of the terms ādhibhautika, ādhyātmika, and ādhidaivika to describe the threefold revelation is also not an innovation made by Vallabhācārya

It appears, in fact, at Bhāgavata Purāṇa, II: 10: 6-18;3 its appearance there was of special significance for Vallabhācārya since he accepted the Bhāgavata Purāṇa as the scripture with final authority.

Each level of the triple revelation of Parabrahman had its own name. Vallabhācārya considers the ādhibhautika revelation to be the manifesta-

¹VALLABHĀCĀRYA. The Tattvārtha-Dipa-Nibandha with Prakāsha (Sarvanirṇaya Prakaraṇa), ed. HARISHANKAR ONKARII SHASTRI (2 vols.; Bombay: Trustees of Sheth Narayandas, 1943), II, verses 103–105, p. 8 (Sanskrit section); p. 18 (English section).

²Ibid., II, verse 121, p. 9 (Sanskrit section); verse 123, p. 21 (English section).

³Bhāgavata Purāṇa, II: 10: 6-18. Sanskrit text: Vyāsa, Shrīmadbhāgavata-Mahāpurāṇa (2 vols.; Gorakhapura: Gītā Press, 1965), vol. 1, pp. 191-192. English translation: The Srimad-Bhagavatam, trans. J. M. Sanyal (Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., 1952; 5 vols.), vol. I, pp. 129-130.

tion of the existence (sat) of Shrī Krsna and he calls it iada (matter) or jagat (the physical world). The ādhyātmika revelation is the manifestation of the cit (consciousness) of Shri Krsna and of part of his ananda (joy): this revelation is called "antarvāmin" when seen as the self within all beings and aksara Brahman when seen as the source of the universe and the foundation on which it rests. The adhidaivika is the full revelation of Shrī Krsna. Those triads that are subsidiary to this basic triple revelation begin with the manifestation of the jagat through functions of the aksara Brahman (the ādhyātmika revelation of Shrī Krsna): Kāla (time), Karma (the law of the inevitability of the results of action), and Svabhāva (the force of the will [icchā] of Shrī Krsna that causes change to take place in the world); it is by these three functions that the jagat takes form and continues to exist. Without the grace of Shrī Krsna, no jīva can pass beyond Kāla, Karma, and Svabhāva, Kāla, as the chief of the three, is itself divided into a triad: the ādhidaivika revelation of Kāla is aksara Brahman, the ādhvātmika is the divisions of time from the four vugas to the divisions of the day, and the adhibhautika revelation of Kāla is the sun.² The force which causes the manifestation of the ādhyātmika and ādhibhautika levels of Shrī Krsna Parabrahman and which causes the manifestation of those two levels to continue is a power (shakti) of Shrī Krsna called Māyā. Māyā is also revealed on three levels: at the adhidaivika level it is the shakti of Shri Krsna called Maya, at the ādhyātmika level it is the shakti of Shrī Krsna that is called Prakrti, and at the adhibhautika level it is the shakti of Shri Krsna that operates through the jīvas and is called Avidvā.

Among the jīvas, there are also triads of revelation. There are pravāha jīvas at the ādhibhautika level, maryādā jīvas at the ādhyātmika level, and puṣṭi jīvas at the ādhidaivika level. Within the puṣṭi class of jīvas there are three kinds of mixed puṣṭi jīvas: puṣṭi-puṣṭi, maryādā-puṣṭi, and pravāha-puṣṭi. Each of the three primary classes of jīvas has a particular goal toward which the jīvas within it tend to move: the pravāha jīvas tend towards the physical world, the maryādā jīvas tend towards the akṣara Brahman, and the puṣṭi jīvas tend toward Shrī Kṛṣṇa. There are three mārgas (ways, roads, courses) which the jīvas may follow: the karmamārga is the ādhibhautika mārga and brings material rewards, the jīnānamārga is the ādhyātmika mārga and brings absorption in akṣara Brahman, the bhaktimārga is the ādhidaivika mārga and brings eternal physical association with Shrī Kṛṣṇa in the endless līlā performed in the non-material Braj called "Goloka". During life on earth there are

¹VALLABHĀCĀRYA, II, verses 106-115, pp. 8-9 (Sanskrit section); pp. 18-20 (English section).

²Ibid., verses 109-112, pp. 8-9 (Sanskrit section); verses 110-114, p. 19 (English section).

Iso three stages: the ādhibhautika life is ordinary life before initiation nto Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, the ādhyātmika life is life within the sampradāya, and ādhidaivika life is life passed in perfect practice of the Puṣṭimārga followed by entrance into the divine līlā. Within the Sampradāya itself, there are also three stages with the Sampradāya being the ādhibhautika stage, the Shuddhādvaita being the ādhyātmika level, and the Puṣṭimārga being the ādhidaivika level.

By visualizing the universe in accordance with the basic and subsidiary triads taught by Vallabhācārya in his Shudhādvaita, a seeker for spiritual truth would be able to see why Shrī Kṛṣṇa is the only entity, why each jīva is only a part of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, and why the only completely natural and satisfactory course open to the jīvā is the Puṣṭimārga. By the triad system of the Shuddhādvaita, every aspect of existence from the material world to the final paradise (paradise—not the svarga of Indra and the lower gods which is only a part of the jagat—is itself divided into three levels: Vaikuṇṭha, the heaven of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's manifestation as the god Viṣṇu, is the ādhibhautika level, Vyāpi-Viakuṇṭha is the ādhiyātmika level, and Goloka, the complete revelation of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's heavɛn, is the ādhidaivika level) is explained.

In the course of the manifestation of the jagat, Shrī Kṛṣṇa appears for the sake of his līlā and for the aid of those jīvas who are devoted to him, as a number of avatāras in animal, human, and divine bodies; none of these avatāras are complete. Only once, according to Vallabhācārya, did Shrī Kṛṣṇa appear on earth in his true form; that appearance was when he played his līlā in Braj as described in the Bhāgavata Purāṇa. Throughout all of his manifestations Shrī Kṛṣṇa remains unchanged and unaffected by those manifestations. In fact, no change actually takes place; all change in the universe is only, according to Vallabhācārya's Shuddhādvaita, apparent. The never-ceasing play of manifestation and concealment across the entity of Shrī Kṛṣṇa causes the illusion of change. Everything is changeless Parabrahman revealed in an infinite number of ways and in countless different degrees. All of this manifestation and concealment is part of the līlā of Bhagavān; through that līlā, by his own desire, Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa is able to enjoy his own existence.

That sevaka in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya who has been able to accept the world-view presented in the Shuddhādvaita as his own world-view has reached the plane of the conscious practice of the Puṣṭi-mārga.

The Pustimārga

ALTHOUGH VALLABHĀCĀRYA'S THOUGHT taken as a whole is complex, the central doctrine of that thought is simple and easily located. Vallabhācārya clearly states this central doctrine in the second line of the sixteenth shloka of his "Bālabodhaḥ" (the second of the sixteen treatises included in the Soḍashagrantha). It is appropriate that the central doctrine appears in this particular treatise since this treatise was meant for the instruction (bodha) of the children (bāla) of adults who had been initiated into the Sampradāya.

THE DOSA AND THEIR REMOVAL

The line containing Vallabhācārya's central doctrine goes as follows:

The jivas are by nature impure....1

The fact that the *jīvas* are impure is the starting point from which Vallabhācārya built up his thought and it is the starting point from which any individual who should intend to put Vallabhācārya's teachings into practice must begin. In his *Shuddhādvaita* philosophy Vallabhācārya explained that the *jīvas* became impure (duṣṭa) because of the avidyā, itself a shakti of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, which made them forget the true nature of themselves and the surrounding jagat as parts of Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa and which caused them to fall into the egoistic, ignorant dream of samsāra. These impurities (doṣa) that covered the jīvas were so defiling, furthermore, that the Brahmasambandha mantra of initiation into the Sampradāya was itself for the removal of the impurities (see the second verse

ISĪTĀRĀMA CATURVEDĪ, Mahāprabhu Shrimadvallabhācārya aur Puşti-Mārga (Vārāṇasī: Hindi-Sāhitya-Kuţīra, 1967), p. 280. The English translation is mine.

of the "Siddhāntarahasya" quoted on p. 18 above); in other words, one could not even enter the $Samprad\bar{a}ya$ in the dusta condition. The actual technique by which the dosa would be removed from the $f\bar{i}va$ is described in the fourth shloka of the "Siddhāntarahasya" in the following words:

Impurities can be removed in no way other than by the abandonment of all things that have not been dedicated to Shrī Kṛṣṇa.¹

The impurities were thus, according to the teachings of Vallabhācārya, to be removed by the dedication of all possessions to Shrī Kṛṣṇa and by refraining from doing or enjoying anything not previously dedicated to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. This dedication was implicit in the initial Brahmasambandha mantra—Shrī Kṛṣṇaḥ sharaṇam mama—(Shrī Kṛṣṇa is my refuge) since in taking refuge with Shrī Kṛṣṇa, in putting himself completely into Shrī Kṛṣṇa's protection, the jīva was placing himself and all things belonging to him in the care of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

Since the Brahmasambandha mantra initiates the jīva into the potential practice of the Pustimarga at the same time as it initiates him into membership in the Sampradaya, detailed attention must be paid to the "adhidaivika"—to use Vallabhācārya's own terminology—significance of the Brahmasambandha initiation. In all Indian thought there is an aversion to what is temporary and a desire for what is permanent; entanglement in the coils of change has always been feared, in India, by those who would remove themselves from the chain of birth and death. Since the craving for material pleasures, which are always temporary, has been regarded as the fundamental cause of entanglement in the chains of continual becoming and passing, most Indian systems of thought teach some sort of renunciation. The theory, stated simply, is that by overcoming all desires for pleasure—and by subduing all fear of pain—one would be able to cut himself free of the desires that bind him to the temporary, to the ever-changing, to the continually-dying and would be able to find that eternal permanence that supports, but is absolutely unaffected by, the swirls of change. The manner in which this renunciation has been attempted in India has varied from extreme physical renunciation, in the practice of which one withdraws physically away from all association with the people and objects and events of the ordinary world, to the mental renunciation that is the dominant doctrine of the Bhagavad Gītā. This mental renunciation is concisely stated at Bhagavad Gītā XVIII: 56-57 by Krsna in the following words:

Doing continually all actions whatsoever, taking refuge in Me,

¹¹bid., p. 292. The English translation is mine.

he reaches by My grace the eternal, undying abode.

Surrendering in thought all actions to Me, regarding Me as the Supreme and resorting to steadfastness in understanding, do thou fix thy thought constantly on Me.¹

Here, the renouncer is to continue to perform the ordinary actions required in life in the world but with no desire for the results of those actions. The renouncer who follows the teachings of these two verses of the *Bhagavad Gītā* has inwardly rejected desires and fears as completely as has the ascetic who has outwardly severed himself from the sources of desire; but, the renouncer who has given the results of his actions to Kṛṣṇa instead of simply removing himself from action and desire is able to perform the actions that are socially and religiously required of every individual. At *Bhagavad Gītā* XVIII: 7-9, Kṛṣṇa makes clear that there are some actions which cannot rightfully be abandoned and which must be performed, though without desire for the results. His words on this subject are as follows:

Verily, the renunciation of any duty that ought to be done is not right. The abandonment of it through ignorance is declared to be of the nature of "dullness".

He who gives up a duty because it is painful or from fear of physical suffering, performs only the relinquishment of the "passionate" (rājasa) kind and does not gain the reward of relinquishment.

But he who performs a prescribed duty as a thing that ought to be done, renouncing all attachment and also the fruit—his relinquishment is regarded as one of "goodness".²

Vallabhācārya's teachings on the dedicating of oneself and all of one's actions and possessions before enjoying them to Shrī Kṛṣṇa are meant to establish precisely the kind of renunciation of results of actions while performing the actions that Kṛṣṇa praises in the verses from the Bhagavad Gītā just quoted. By dedicating oneself and all that one has to Shrī Kṛṣṇa, one is purifying oneself of the desires and fears that bind one to the laukika life and one is also purifying oneself of the egoistical notions of self-sufficiency and pride of possession.

With the awareness of the impurity of the jīva and of the reason for the effectiveness of the Brahmasambandha in removing this impurity, one

¹S. RADHAKRISHNAN, *The Bhagavad Gitā* (London: George Allen and Unwin, 1960), p. 372.

²Ibid., pp. 353-354.

is ready to begin moving along the *Puṣṭimārga*. Vallabhācārya has outlined the course of the *Puṣṭimārga* in the last half of the second line of the sixteenth *shloka* and in the first line of the seventeenth *shloka* of the "Bālabodha" in the following words:

... for the obliteration of the impurities (dosa) one must continually practise

Hearing and the others and, when one has love for Shrī Kṛṣṇa, then all of one's actions will be fulfilled.

By "hearing and the others" Vallabhācārya is referring to the nine steps of bhakti. These nine steps of bhakti have been accepted by most of the sects of the bhaktimārga as essential for the centring of the mind and body on the practice of devotion. Since these nine steps form an important part of the Puṣṭimārga, each should be discussed in some detail. The clearest description of the nine steps is found in the Bhakti Rasāmṛta Sindhu of Rūpa Gosvāmī (died about A.D. 1555), a member of the sampradāya founded by Kṛṣṇa Caitanya who was sent by Kṛṣṇa Caitanya to Braj in order to restore the ancient holy places associated with Shrī Kṛṣṇa and to spread the doctrines of the bhaktimārga.²

The first of the nine steps is usually given as shravaṇa, hearing or listening to accounts of the life of Shrī Kṛṣṇa—as, for example, the accounts of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's life in Braj that are given in the tenth book of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa and in the padas in Braj Bhāṣā composed by Sūradāsa, listening to the praising of the qualities of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, or simply listening to the names of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. In the bhaktimārga, as in most Indian approaches to religion, the name of a deity has great potency.³

The second step is kīrtana, which has already been mentioned several times in the course of this study. Kīrtana is the singing aloud of the names and the virtues and the events in the līlās of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Kīrtana is intended to fill the hearts of the bhaktas with the emotional experience of the various līlās which were performed by Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Although groups of bhaktas might gather anywhere for the singing of kīrtana in honour of Shrī Kṛṣṇa, the most famous kīrtanas in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya are those sung, together with musical accompaniment that is often excellent, before the divine svarūpa at the periods of darshana in the temples belonging to the Sampradāya. The subject of a kīrtana is, by

¹CATURVEDI, p. 280. The English translation is mine.

²PRABHU DAYĀLA MĪTALA, *Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Isthāsa* (Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968), pp. 311-314.

³Rūpa Gosvāmī, *Bhakti-Rasāmṛta-Sindhuh*, trans. by TRIDAŅDI SWĀMĪ ВНАКТІ НЯДАУА BON МАНĀRĀJ (3 vols.; Brindaban: Institute of Oriental Philosophy, 1965), I. 183–187. Reference is to verses 170–174 of the second *lahari* (wave).

tradition in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya, never sorrowful.¹

Smarana or smṛti, both of which mean "remembrance" or "the act of calling something to mind", is the next of the nine steps. Every bhakta is supposed to remember his god continually throughout his life and on the moment of his death.²

Pāda-sevana means, literally, "the act of honouring or worshipping the feet" and refers to the reverent service which the bhakta performs before the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. The worshipping of the feet of the svarūpa emphasizes the bhakta's humble attitude.

The next step is arcana, the act of worship of the svarūpa. Worship in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, as explained on pages 46-52 above, differs from the type of worship done in an ordinary Hindu temple.³

Vandana, the respectful paying of homage to the deity, is usually done with arcana. Vandana can include prayers to Kṛṣṇa.

The seventh of the nine steps is dāsya, servitude. Dāsya is the complete devotion of one's time and one's energy and all that one does to the benefit of Shrī Kṛṣṇa just as a servant in the ordinary world devotes himself to the service of his master. One who cultivates the attitude of bhakti called dāsya considers all of his activities to be done for his god.4

The eighth step is sakhya, companionship. According to Rūpa Gosvāmī, there are two kinds of sakhya: one is complete faith in Shrī Kṛṣṇa's willingness to give protection from the dangers of the world and the other is the feeling with which a bhakta may consider himself a friend of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and treat him with the kind of attachment that is, in ordinary life, reserved for very close friends.

The ninth and last step is the most difficult of the series, according to Rūpa Gosvāmī. This is the step of ātmanivedana, self-dedication. By self-dedication one gives himself up utterly to Shrī Kṛṣṇa and no longer takes any thought of his own welfare, for he has placed himself completely in Shrī Kṛṣṇa's protection. Rūpa Gosvāmī has the following to say about the difficulty of practising ātmanivedana:

As both Sakhya...and ātma-nivedana...are very rare and difficult, it is only a very few deserving and qualified persons with ever deepening faith that are found to possess them as results of their spiritual practices.⁶

Although Rūpa Gosvāmī belonged to the Caitanya Sampradāya and not

```
11bid., pp. 166-170, vol. I. Reference is to verses 145-148 of the second lahari.
```

²Ibid., I, pp. 187-188. Second lahari, verses 175-177.

³ Ibid., I, pp. 160-163. Second lahari, verses 137-139.

^{*}Ibid., I, pp. 192-198. Second lahari, verses 183-187.

⁵ Ibid., 1, pp. 198-203. Second lahari, verses 188-193.

⁶Ibid., 1, pp. 206. Second lahari, verse 198.

to Vallabhācārya's sect, the definitions of the nine steps in the practice of bhakti are general in the bhaktimarga and would be accepted by Vallabhācārya and most other Vaisnava bhaktas in India. These are the nine steps that Vallabhācārya says must be continually practised for freedom from the impurities that normally attach themselves to jivas. These nine steps are the general elements of the Pustimarga. There is only one point at which Vallabhācārya's attitude towards these nine steps differs markedly from that of Rupa Gosvāmī and other Vaisnava bhaktas: Vallabhācārya makes the ninth and most difficult step, the ātma-nivedana, the initial step in the Pustimarga. Vallabhacarya begins with that attitude of mind which is, for other bhaktas not in his Sampradaya, the very apex of devotional achievement and the result of careful cultivation of the other eight steps over a long period of time. Evidently, Vallabhācārya saw the first eight steps of the practice of bhakti as being parts of the ninth, which would be the whole. Such a visualization would require the attitude of complete self-dedication for the correct practice of the eight subsidiary steps. It is also, no doubt, true that Vallabhācārva intended his Pustimārga for the pusti jīvas who would already have powerful, though perhaps latent, aptitude for the practice of devotional religion.1

The ātmanivedana mantra, by which one receives final initiation into the Sampradāya (see p. 20 above), goes as follows:

Om. The God Krishna is my refuge [Shrīkṛṣṇa sharaṇam mama]. Distracted by the infinite pain and torment caused by the separation from Krishna, which has extended over a space of time measured by thousands of years, I now, to the holy Krishna, do dedicate [samarpa-yāmi] my bodily faculties, my life, my soul, and its belongings, with my wife, my house, my children, my whole substance, and my own self. O Krishna; I am thy servant [dāsa].²

By means of this mantra one enters into the attitude of total self-surrender that is mandatory for the practice of the *Pustimārga*.

Now that the central doctrine—that the jīva is impure by reason of the ignorance that has become its very nature—of Vallabhācārya's thought has been discussed along with the outline of the means by which the impurities may be removed—by the faithful practice of the nine steps of the bhaktimārga which culminate in the absolute self-surrender of the jīva to Shrī Kṛṣṇa, it will be possible to inquire into the relationship that should exist between the Puṣṭimārgī bhakta and Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

¹Bhai Manilal C. Parekh, Sri Vallabhacharya: Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), pp. 237-239.

²F. S. Growse, *Mathurā*: A District Memoir (Allāhābād: N. W. Provinces & Oudh Gov't Press, 1883), p. 287.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PUSTI IN VALLABHACARYA'S THOUGHT

In the tenth adhyāya of the second skandha of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa in the first line of shloka number four the following statement is made by Sukdeva, the narrator of the Purāṇa:

... POSANAM TADANUGRAHAH.1

This phrase, which means "the anugraha (grace) [of Shrī Kṛṣṇa] is poṣaṇa" was, for Vallabhācārva, a key scriptural passage. It was from this passage that Vallabhācārva took not just the name of the mārga to spiritual fulfillment that he taught but also the hope that would sustain those who should attempt that marga. The word posana, which signifies the act of nourishing, fostering, preserving, or supporting,² is derived from the Sanskrit verbal root pus which expresses the action of being nourished, well-fed, healthy and the action of thriving, increasing, growing larger, prospering. The basic idea expressed by the root pus is one of the arrival at a condition of physical prosperity and success; but, the root pus develops further in meaning until it also signifies the act of causing something to flourish, the act of giving strength or support to someone or something, the act of developing or promoting something.³ It is in the sense of the act of strengthening or supporting that the word posana is used both in the Bhāgavata Purāna and in Vallabhācārya's doctrines. though Vallabhācārya usually substitutes "pusti," which also comes from the root pus and has the same meaning as posana, for posana. It is, thus, the anugraha (grace) that is the support and the support is the anugraha. Vallabhācārya considers anugraha and pusti to be synonymous; both refer to the divine grace which is the only source of support for the jīva, the only source of strength for the jīva, and the only means by which the jīva can successfully reach the goal of the Puştimārga, eternal association with Shrī Kṛṣṇa in his līlā. The etymology of the word "anugraha" bears out Vallabhācārya's usage of it (following the Bhagavata Purana) as synonymous with pusti; anugraha, which refers to the act of showing kindness, of giving favours, of promoting, and of helping as well as to the concepts of favour and kindness, comes from the Sanskrit verbal root grah with the prefix anu—which together

¹VYĀSA, Shrimadbhāgavata-Mahāpurāng (2 vols.; Gorakhapura: Gītā Press, 1965), 1, p. 191.

²MONIER MONIER-WILLIAMS, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960), p. 650.

³¹bid., p. 638.

ignify the action of receiving, welcoming, upholding, and favouring.1 The Pustimarga is, thus, the way (marga means, literally, "a seeking or a search, a track, a path, a road, a way to or through something, a ourse, a method, a manner" and was used to denote the way pointed out by the Buddha for escape from the pain of existence) in which the only support and strength is the grace of Bhagavan Shri Krsna; it is also the way that goes to the grace of Shri Krsna, since only by the grace of Shri Krsna can one be taken into the eternal līlā. In other words, as has been pointed out already in connection with sevā in Chapter III of this study (see pp. 56-79 above), in Vallabhācārya's Pustimārga the sādhana (means) is the same as the phala (result, end). The anugraha or pusti of Bhagavan is the end towards which one follows the Pustimarga and the anugraha or pusti of Bhagavan is the only means by which one may reach the anugraha of Bhagavan. Only by the grace of Shri Kṛṣṇa does one receive the grace of Shrī Krsna; nothing that one may do can bring that grace. In terms of the actual relationship between the *iiva* and Shri Krsna. the absolute reliance upon grace to receive grace means that the jīva must abandon his self-centred attitudes and devote himself completely to the sevā (service) of Shrī Krsna. The ability to perform sevā wholeheartedly as the servant (dasa or sevaka) of Shrī Krsna is a sure sign that one has received the grace of Shri Krsna since only by the grace of Shri Krsna may one have the ability to perform true sevā. Since one who has received the grace of Shri Krsna performs the sevā of Shri Krsna, sevā is also called the goal of the Pustimarga as well as the sadhana (means, method) of the Pustimarga. At this point in the study of the Pustimarga. it would seem that the style of bhakta which Vallabhācārya encouraged must have been that of the devotion of the servant (sevaka or dāsa) to his master (svāmī). This is not, however, the case; there is a dimension of very great importance to the *Pustimārga* which remains to be discussed.

BHAVA

This dimension is that of sevā. The physical appearance of congregational sevā in the temples (havelī) of Vallabhācarya's Sampradāya has been described and something has been said about sevā as a vital part of the practice of the Puṣṭimārga, but the powerful influence of sevā in the transforming of the laukika into the alaukika has not yet been dealt with. Vallabhācārya, in agreement with the general opinion of the followers of the bhaktimārga, accepted the division of bhaktibhāva into four main

¹¹bid., p. 32.

²¹bid., p. 812.

bhāvas—dāsya bhāva, sakhya bhāva, vātsalya bhāva, and madhura bhāva—to which could be added, in imitation of the ninth sthāyī-bhāva of the rasa theory of classical Sanskrit literature, a fifth bhāva called shānta bhāva. Although sevā could be performed in the spirit of any one of these five bhaktibhāvas and still be done in accordance with the Puṣṭimārga, two of them—dāsya bhāva and shānta bhāva—have not had nearly so great an influence on the sevā of the Puṣṭimārga as have the other three and one of them—vātsalya bhāva—has had a place of such importance in the practice of the Puṣṭimārga that it has come to be considered the dominant bhakti-bhāva of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya. In the following paragraphs each of these bhaktibhāvas will be discussed with special attention paid to its position with regard to the sevā of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya's Pustimārga.

The dasva bhaktibhava is the devotional emotion expressed in the manner of a servant's attitude towards his master. The bhakta who expresses his devotion with the emotion of dasya experience keeps a wide gulf between himself and his god; he emphasizes the glory and power of the deity at the same time as he belittles and humbles himself. All bhaktas avoid any hint of pride as they perform their devotions and so all of them naturally avoid any sort of self-glorification; but the bhaktas who approach their god through the dasya bhava exceed by far the humility of other bhaktas. Since there is an element of dasva bhava in all the bhaktibhāvas—for one of the nine steps of the bhaktimārga is worship of the deity with dāsya bhāva, the followers of Vallabhācārya also occasionally experienced this bhāva; but, perhaps because the dāsva bhāva is cold. austere, and formal—as would be the relationship between a mighty king and an ordinary subject, which is one of the patterns for dasva bhava while bhakti is approached with more warmth and informality in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, the dāsva bhāva has never had great emphasis in the practice of the Pustimarga. The Astachapa poets who composed kirtana for the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhannāthajī sang padas in the dasva bhava only sparingly.

The sakhya bhāva, as it appears in the practice of the Puṣṭimārga, is that emotion by which one devotes himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa as if Shrī Kṛṣṇa were one's equal in age and in status. When the Aṣṭachāpa sang padas describing Shrī Kṛṣṇa as he took the cows out to graze or as he played games in the forest with the other cowherds (gopas) they were singing out of sakhya bhāva. Since the Aṣṭachāpa poets were also the Aṣṭasakhā (the eight companions of Shrī Kṛṣṇa), the sakhya bhāva is often the inspiration for their poems. The experience of sakhya bhāva was confined only to the most advanced of the bhaktas of the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya. That

¹Daniel H. H. Ingalls (trans.), Sanskrit Poetry from Vidyākara's "Treasury" (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1968), pp. 13-15.

bhakta who was able to feel sakhya bhāva was looked upon as having received special divine favour; in the four vārtās translated in the second part of this study there will from time to time be accounts of Shrī Govardhannāthajī's play with one of his bhaktas. Such play was, of course, alaukika and could not be witnessed or sensed in any way by ordinary people. While enjoying this play the bhakta and Shrī Kṛṣṇa would be absolutely equal and their relationship would be one of affectionate playfulness. The fear and awe which stand between the bhakta and Shrī Kṛṣṇa when the bhakta approaches him through dāṣya bhāva are completely lacking when the approach is through sakhya bhāva.¹

Vātsalya bhāva, devotion to Shrī Krsna as if the bhakta were the parent of Shrī Krsna, was the bhaktibhāva which Vallabhācārya himself cultivated and spread through his teachings. Yashoda and Nanda, the foster parents of Shrī Krsna while he was living in Braj, provide the pattern for this kind of bhava. In order that a bhakta may feel the sublime joy of caring for Shrī Krsna as if he were a little child, Shrī Krsna may allow that bhakta to feel vātsalva bhāva. Sūradāsa in particular has composed a large number of padas in the spirit of vātsalva bhakti; one of these poems on the vātsalva theme is quoted in full on page 4 of this study. In Braj today there are temples in which small images of Shrī Kṛṣṇa as a child are placed in cradles and bhaktas are allowed to rock those cradles. It is said in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāva that if a bhakta has been favoured with the divine grace allowing him to reach the highest pinnacle of bhaktibhāva. then Shrī Krsna, the omnipotent, the being within which all exists, will place himself in that bhakta's control. This generally takes place when the bhakta approaches Shri Krsna with vātsalva bhaktibhāva.

The last of the four main types of bhaktibhāva is madhura bhāva, the devotional emotion by which the bhakta thinks of himself as being one of the gopis (cowherd girls of Braj) who loved Shrī Kṛṣṇa and who enjoyed love-play with him in the nightly līlās in Braj. Vallabhācārya recognized madhura bhaktibhāva as being an effective bhāva for reaching the experience of love for Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Vallabhācārya even referred to Shrī Svāminījī, Shrī Kṛṣṇa's beloved—probably including the character of Kṛṣṇa's later wife Rukmiṇī as well as that of the foremost among the gopis Rādhā (whom Shrī Kṛṣṇa also married according to the followers of Vallabhācārya), in some of his writings. It was, however, Viṭṭhalanāthajī who evidently increased the place of Shrī Svāminījī and the madhura bhāva in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya. Viṭṭhalanāthajī supposedly encouraged the growing importance of madhura bhakti in the Sampradāya because

¹Malik Mohammad, Alavār Bhakton kā Tamil-Prabandham aur Hindī Kṛṣṇa-Kāvya (Āgra: Vinoda Pustaka Mandira, 1964), pp. 248-250.

of the influence of the Caitanya Sampradaya, in which madhura bhaktibhāva is the dominant bhāva. Because the gopis expressed pure love for Shrī Krsna they are accepted as the gurus of the Pustimārga and the bhaktas try to feel bhaktibhāva as close to the bhaktibhāva of the gopis as possible. The gonis are divided into three groups in the traditions of Vallabhācārva's Sampradāva and each of these three groups expresses a variation of the madhura bhava. One group of goods takes part in the bālā līlā (childhood līlā) of Shrī Krsna; in this group are the gopīs whom Krsna and his childhood companions (sakhā) made the objects of their mischievous pranks. The bhāva of this group of gopis is actually vātsalva bhāva rather than madhura bhāva since Shrī Krsna is perceived by this group as an immature child and the erotic feelings of madhura bhāva are entirely absent. The other two groups of gonis, however, portray pure madhura bhakti. One group of them is made up of unmarried gopis who desire to marry Shrī Krsna and whose love for him is, therefore, not outside the laws and conventions of society. Shrī Svāminījī is considered to be in this group of gopis. The other group of gopis has great symbolic value for the bhaktas on the Pustimarga for they express their love for Shri Krsna though that love is contrary to all social and religious laws. The gopis in this group are married, but they leave their husbands and go to the forest with Krsna. Their devotion demonstrates that love-filled devotion to Shri Krsna is more important than any laukika or Vedic concern: one who is absorbed in love of Shrī Krsna has left the ordinary world and its cares altogether. The poets of the Astachāpa sang padas inspired by the bhava of all three groups of gopis. Although the vatsalva bhāva is the chief bhāva of the Pustimārga, mādhura bhāva is also important there. The poets of the Astachāpa (Astasakhā) were able to enjoy mādhura bhāva in their sakhi forms which they assume at night. The gopis may be looked upon as representing human souls and, through their single-minded, love-filled devotion to Shri Krsna, would symbolize the yearning of the *jivas* for the divine. The gopis are also often considered to be fragments of the eternal shakti of Shri Krsna. In either case, though, the importance of the gopis for the followers of Vallabhācārya, as for the followers of the other Vaisnava bhakti sects of Vallabhācārya's time, is in the madhura bhava through which they realized their devotion to Shri Krsna. This bhava has become the goal for great numbers of Vaisnava bhaktas of India, Shrī Svāminījī (Rādhā) presents a meaning different from the meaning of the gopis. Shrī Svāminījī is never in the Vallabhācārya Sampradāya or in any other Vaisnava sect seen as a symbol of the iiva, for Shrī Svāminījī is the shakti of Shrī Krsna and is, therefore, entitled to worship in her own right. It is said in Vallabhacarya's Sampradāya that Shrī Svāminījī is Māvā, the shakti (power) by means of which Shri Krsna Parabrahman manifests the world for the playing of tis *lilā*. When Shrī Kṛṣṇa appeared in the earthly Braj, Shrī Svāmɪniji ppeared also as the *gopī* named *Rādhā* (*Rādhā* is not named in the *Bhāga-ata Purāṇa*, though members of Vallabhācārya's *Sampradāya* say that he is, nevertheless, in that *Purāṇa* in the form of the *gopī* upon whom shrī Kṛṣṇa showers special affection); later, when Kṛṣṇa went to Dvārakā¹ in modern Gujarāt state, Shrī Svāminījī appeared in the form of his chief wife Rukminījī.² The personification of the power of a god is the goddess who is his consort is not restricted to Vaiṣṇavas but is very common in all branches of Indian religious thought.³

The gopis have another very important function in the bhakti of /aisnava sects like the Vallabha Sampradāya: they express the heartending grief of viraha (separation) from Shri Krsna. The archetypal iraha is the viraha of the gopis when Krsna left them behind forever vith his life as a cowherd of Braj to go to Mathura to fulfil his destiny is a prince of the Yādava clan. The gopis were so deeply attached to Crsna that they suffered extreme misery in their viraha when he left hem. For Vallabhācārya this misery of viraha undergone by the gopis vas not to be avoided as a misfortune, but was to be desired as a proof of ardent devotion. In perfect bhakti—as in perfect secular love in classical ndian literature—both samvoga (union of lover and beloved) and vivoga viraha, separation of lover from beloved) must be experienced. In order o be sure of the sincerity of his love for Shrī Krsna, the bhakta-like he gonis—must suffer from periods of viraha as well as enjoy periods of samyoga. By the alternation of samyoga and viraha, the bhakta is lrawn away from laukika existence towards the alaukika plane of devotion o Shrī Krsna.

The importance of the *gopīs* and their *madhura bhāvabhakti* is summed up in the following *sūtras* from the *Nārada Bhakti Sūtras*:

But Nārada is of the opinion that the essential characteristics of *bhakti* are the consecration of all activities, by complete self-surrender, to him, and extreme anguish if he were to be forgotten. (19)

Examples do exist of such perfect expression of bhakti. (20)

¹For information on the shrines and temples of Dvārakā and for the history of that Vaisṇava pilgrimage centre see the following: Rājendra Kumāra Nijhāvana, Dvārakā Paricaya (Dvārakā: Lions Clubs of Dwarka, 1966), pp. 1-18; Zainuddin Dawood Ansari and Madhukar Shripad Mate, Excavations at Dwarka (Poona: Deccan College Postgraduate and Research Institute, 1966); R. K. Trivedi, Census of India 1961, vol. V: Gujarat, Part VII-B, Fairs and Festivals (Delhi: Central Government Publications, 1965), pp. 189-192.

²Sharanabihārī Goswāmī, *Kṛṣṇabhakti-Kāṇya meṇ Sakhibhāva* (Vārāṇasī: Caukhambā Vidyābhavana, 1966), pp. 79-80.

³Danielou, Alain, *Hindu Polytheism* (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), pp. 253-267.

Such indeed was the bhakti of the Gopis of Vraja. (21)1

The fifth bhāva of bhakti, the shānta (tranquil) bhāva, is free from all extremes of emotion; in it there is no passion, neither of love nor of hate. Shānta bhaktibhāva is devotion practised in peaceful contemplation away from all wordly distractions and free of all ordinary personal relationships.² This type of bhaktibhāva was not favoured by Vallabhācārya, who taught bhakti based on the strong emotional ties already present in human beings: that of the master-servant, husband-wife, king-subject, father and mother-children; that of friend-friend of the same sex; that of parent-child, adult-child; and that of lover-beloved. In Vallabhācārya's thought the ideal was the lifting of human emotion (bhāva) by means of sevā done in the spirit of one of the four main bhaktibhāvas from the laukika experience to the alaukika experience. Shānta bhāva was not suited to that ideal.

All of the bhaktibhāvas through which sevā is done in the Pustimārga are bhāvas of love (prema) and the Pustimārga is dedicated to pure love for Shrī Krsna. The bhakti of the Pustimarga has as its outstanding characteristic the absolute love for the divine.3 In the "Bhaktivardhini", one of Vallabhācārya's writings included in the Sodashagrantha the development of this pure love is outlined. One should begin by living in his own family and carrying on his ordinary business, but without attachment to either family or business since both would have been dedicated to Shrī Krsna. and by observing the nine steps of the bhaktimarga. Eventually, love for Shrī Krsna will begin to grow in the heart of such a bhakta until finally this love (prema) has so overshadowed worldly and family concerns that these concerns no longer distract the bhakta from complete absorption in devotion to Shrī Krsna. This complete absorption is called asakti. the state of being detached from worldly feelings. When the bhakta has thus become detached from worldy life he reaches the state of vyasana. Vyasana is a state marked by addiction to some vice or evil habit in the ordinary, laukika sense, but in the alaukika sense as it was used by Vallabhācārya vyasana refers to that condition of total love for Shrī Krsna that overwhelms the bhakta as completely as vice overhwelms a wicked person. This is the highest point of love that the bhakta can reach with the anugraha (pusti) of Bhagavan. In the state of vyasana the bhakta reaches one of the three rewards of perfect sevā: either eternal experience of the līlās of Shrī Krsna in Goloka as a sakhā of Shrī Krsna, or union

¹Swāmī Tyāgīshānanda, Aphorisms on the Gospel of Divine Love or Nārada Bhakti Sūtras (Mylapore, Madras: Sri Ramakrishna Math, 1955), pp. 6-7.

²Mohammad, pp. 268-271.

³MITALA, Astachapa-Paricava, p. 59.

⁴CATURVEDĪ, p. 305. "Bhaktivardhinī," verses 1-5.

into the divine being of Shrī Kṛṣṇa in Goloka to be manifested by him in his $lil\bar{a}$, or the ability to be a non-human or inanimate entity or a non-participating human being in Goloka and so to witness the eternal $lil\bar{a}$.¹

This is the *Pustimārga*, the way by which the human jīva, by relying on the grace of Shri Krsna can reach the true, alaukika, eternal world of delight in Goloka. The whole process is symbolized by the cow-cowherd theme that runs through the life of Shrī Krsna in Braj, both earthly and divine—for Goloka is Cow (go) World (loka). The symbolism goes as follows. Shrī Krsna Parabrahman is beyond all human senses and beyond all human powers of reason. Nevertheless. Shrī Krsna Parabrahman (since everything is the manifestation of Shrī Krsna except the jīva's imaginary interpretation of existence that is samsāra) can be perceived and he can be attained (since the attainer is only realizing himself in his true nature as a part of Shrī Krsna). Shrī Krsna in his partial manifestation as the jagat is called the gocara. Etymologically, gocara means a pasture for cattle and has come to mean in philosophical usage the field of the objects of sense perception or the objects of sense perception themselves.² The cattle (go) themselves are the human senses, unruly and ungovernable if not under control. The gocara, the field of the wandering senses, is not. however, left in anarchy, for there is Krsna Gopāla, the Protector (pāla) of the sense-cows (go), the "sense-herd". In the divine world of Goloka the senses are finally subdued and tamed and transformed from the laukika to the alaukika. The sakhā-sakhī who are constantly with Shrī Krsna and his shakti Svāminijī are the gopas and gopis, the male and female controllers of the sense-cows—those with their senses under control. To be a gopa or a gopi with the master herdsman Gopala in Goloka is the highest state which a human jīva can enjoy and the bhāva of the gopas and gopis is the highest emotion that he can feel.

In Part I of this study of the Sampradāya of Vallabhācārya the events of the early sectarian history and the nature of the sectarian doctrines have been examined; in Part II the actual life of the sect will be shown through the sectarian literature.

¹CIMMANALĀLA HARISHANKARAJĪ, Puṣṭimārgopadeshikā, trans. into Hindī by Shrī-MĀDHAVA SHARMĀ (Vārāṇasī: Shrīmādhava Sharmā, 1941), pp. 146-148.

²Monier-Williams, p. 364.

Four Vārtās from the Caurāsī Vaisņavan kī Vārtā

Introduction

BRAJ BHASA

ALTHOUGH IT WOULD not find a place on any roster of the major languages of modern India, as recently as the period between the sixteenth and nineteenth centuries, Brai Bhasa was the dominant vehicle for the vernacular literature produced in that region, lying within the great Gangā-Yamunā river system and extending from the Panjāb to the western borders of Bengal, which forms the heartland—in the cultural as well as in the geographical sense—of North India. The rise, period of full bloom, and decline of Brai Bhāsā as a literary language during that period of roughly four hundred years was not an isolated development, but was closely bound up with the phenomenal surge of interest in the Krsnabhakti variety of Vaisnavism which swept over North India during the same period. There are several reasons for the close connection between Krsna-bhakti and Braj Bhāsā, the bhāsā or vernacular language—as opposed to Sanskrit, the refined language of pan-Indian culture—of Braj, a region of western Uttar Pradesh and eastern Rajasthan. From the linguistic point of view, Braj covers considerable territory, but from the Vaisnava point of view, it consists primarily of the city of Mathura, where—according to the Bhagavata Purana—Krsna was born on earth, and the villages in the immediate vicinity of that city, where—according to the same source—Krsna played out his divine līlā in childhood and youth.

One of the reasons for the connection between Kṛṣṇa-bhakti and Braj Bhāsā revolves around the assumption made by the sixteenth-century devotees of Shrī Kṛṣṇa in North India that Shrī Kṛṣṇa, as a native

¹DHĪRENDRA VARMĀ (ed.), *Hindī Sāhitya Kosha* (2 vols.; Vārāṇasī: Jñānamaṇḍala Ltd., 1964), vol. I, pp. 565.

²DHĪRENDRA VARMĀ, *La Langue Braj* (Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve, 1935), pp. 38, 42. DHĪRENDRA VARMĀ, *Grāmiņa Hindi* (Allāhābād: Sāhitya Bhavana, 1957), pp. 17–18.

of Brai, spoke Brai Bhāsā; the Krsna-bhaktas naturally felt that the most appropriate language in which to praise Shri Krsna would be his own language, Braj Bhāsā. Secondly, Braj Bhāsā has a literary history extending back into at least the early fifteenth century—which is only now being explored—and so had already been used as a vehicle for literary expression when the first great Vaisnava poets began composing their devotional hymns, called kirtanas, praising Shri Krsna in Braj Bhāsā. In employing Braj Bhāsā, then, the Vaisnava poets were spared the difficulty of creating a new literary language. Thirdly, Brai Bhāsā, as a sister dialect of Panjābī, Avadhī, Bhojapurī, varieties of Rājasthānī, and the other Hindi dialects of the North Indian heartland, could be understood with a bit of effort and practice by people all over that vast region. This was especially important for the bhaktas of Shri Krsna who wanted the greatest spread possible for their movements. Fourthly, since the bhaktas who came to the holy land of Brai spoke many different languages and dialects—Vallabhācārya, for example, spoke Telegu and an eastern dialect of Hindi natively while the mother tongue of most of the followers of Caitanya was Bengāli--they needed a neutral language equally acceptable to all for the propagation and poetic expression of their bhakti. The natural choice was Brai Bhāsā. The fifth reason is somewhat more complex than the others. All of the famous sixteenth-century bhaktas of Shrī Krsna who established sampradā vas in Braj, though they differed on major and minor points of doctrine, agreed that the objective of a sincere bhakta should be the experience, expression, and transmission of bhava. the attainment of actual emotional and attitudinal identity with one of the prototype bhaktas: Krsna's parents, his friends, and the women he loved. The ability to experience such bhava was held to be alaukika and was considered to be acquired only through the grace of Shrī Krsna; it could not be limited by any of the artificial divisions—as, for example, those of caste, sex, nationality, or degree of education—of laukika existence. Each individual, as a part of Shrī Krsna, had the potential to feel the bhava of bhakti. Consequently, the Vaisnava bhaktas desired and encouraged the interest of people of all classes in their movements. They needed a language, through which the bhava of their bhakti might be expressed, understandable by, and familiar to, the ordinary masses of people as well as to those of higher social status; Braj Bhāsā served their requirements perfectly. Furthermore, bhāva, since it had to be genuine and spring directly from the heart of the bhakta, was to be expressed as

¹VARMĀ, La Langue Braj. pp. 25-29. The use of Braj Bhāṣā as the literary language best suited for kiriunas expressing bhakti to Shrī Kṛṣṇa spread all over North India: see: PRABHU Dayāl A Mītal A. Caitanva Maia aur Braj Sāhitya (Mathurā: Sāhitya Saṇsthāna, 1962), p. 129.

simply and clearly as possible with a maximum of emotional impact and a minimum of literary pride. While beauty of language and composition was very definitely desired and cultivated for the greater glory of Shri Krsna and for the delight of his bhaktas, the sixteenth-century Vaisnava poets made concern with the techniques of poetics subservient and secondary to the expression of the bhava of bhakti in their kirtanas. The Vaisnava poets wanted a hardy, supple living language, a mother tongue with strong roots in the soil of everyday life, which could convey with force and vigour the flavour of the *līlās* of home, village, and forest performed by Shrī Krsna the divine cowherd. They chose Braj Bhāsā. The sixth of the reasons for the close connection of Brai Bhāsā with Krsna-bhakti hinges on the wide-spread fame of the beautiful poetry created by Vaisnava poets in Braj Bhāsā. The brilliant galaxy of poets — within which Sūradāsa and some of the other poets of the Astachapa school founded by Vitthalanātha were stars of the very first magnitude—composing verses outstanding in poetic expression and full of sincere devotion to Shrī Krsna attracted other poets who were devotees of Shrī Krsna to employ Brai Bhāsā. Finally, Braj Bhāsā became the language considered most appropriate for hymns to Shrī Krsna. Braj Bhāsā acquired such prestige as the language of the devotional poetry centred on Shrī Krsna that the dialect of literary Bengālī mingled with elements borrowed from Brai Bhāsā which was used by many Bengālī Vaisnava poets was called "Brajabuli" -- the speech of Braj.

The high point of the literature in Braj Bhāsā inspired by Krsna-bhakti came during the reigns of the Mugal emperors Akbar and Jahangir which extended over the years from A.D. 1556 to 1630. But, by the middle of the seventeenth century, the situation had begun to change. No longer were bhaktas the most important poets of Braj Bhasa and no longer was bhakti the dominant theme of the literature produced in that language. From the latter part of the seventeenth century to the first decades of the nineteenth century, Braj Bhāsā continued to be one of the leading literary languages of North India, but the powerful religious fervour that had animated the Brai Bhasa poetry of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries was gone. Instead of the devotional passion of the bhaktas of Shri Krsna, scholarly concern with the correct employment of poetic principles, devices, and embellishments came to be the primary motivation for the composition of Brai Bhāsā literature—still mainly in verse, and bhakti sects like the Sampradāya of Vallabhācārya were replaced by wealthy landowners and minor princes as the most influential patrons of poets writing in Braj Bhāsā. In the history of the literature of the dialects of Hindi, this period marked by interest in the application of the doctrines of classical Sanskrit poetics to Braj Bhāsā literature is generally called the

Rīti Kāla (Stylistic Period). Although the predominant theme of Rīti Kāla poetry in Braj Bhāṣā was the love of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa, this love was no longer the divine love that it had been for the bhaktas; it had become no more than a secular love—a laukika love—by the description of which poets could demonstrate their skill in the production of poetry in accord with the classical literary conventions.

Even though during the latter half of the nineteenth century. Khadiboli Hindi, modern standard Hindi, began to supplant Braj Bhāsā as a literary language and as a cultural vehicle, poets continued to compose in Braj Bhāsā, For example, Bhāratendu Harishcandra (A.D. 1850–1885) whose family was associated with the Vallabha Sampradaya—was a Braj Bhāsā poet as well as one of the most outstanding early writers of modern Khadībolī Hindī literature. Nor was Bhāratendu Harishcandra alone in writing good Brai Bhāsā poetry in the late nineteenth century; Satya Nārāyana (A.D. 1879–1937) and Bābū Jai Shankara (A.D. 1889–1937) also composed poetry in Braj Bhāsā as well as literature in Khadībolī.² By the present day, Brai Bhasa has sunk through most of the area in which it is spoken to the level of a non-literary rural and household dialect completely overshadowed by Khadiboli Hindi, the official language not only of Uttar Pradesh and three other Indian states but of the whole Republic of India. Although attempts are periodically made to write novels and plays in Braj Bhāṣā, well-organized groups actively working for the restoration of Braj Bhāsā to a position of greater cultural influence are limited to the city of Mathura. To be sure, among the Vaisnavas of western and northern India, Braj Bhāsā still maintains its old prestige as the best language for the expression of bhakti to Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

THE CAURASI VAISNAVAN KI VARTA

The four vārtās which have been translated as the second part of this study are all taken from the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā, the most important prose text in the Braj Bhāṣā literature of the Sampradāya established by Vallabhācārya. By virtue of being written in Braj Bhāṣā—a language which is understandable to most members of the Sampradāya, the Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā has had a direct influence as a guide to the kind of attitudes to be fostered by followers of the teachings of Vallabhācārya

¹RAM AWADH DWIVEDI, A Critical Survey of Hindi Literature (Vārāṇasī: Motilal Banarsidass, 1966), pp. 88-94. For an interesting discussion of the different scholarly opinions on the relationship between the poetry inspired by the Vaiṣṇava bhakti movement and the poetry of the Rīti Kāla see the following: JAGADĪSHA GUPTA, Rītikālya-Saṇgraha (Allāhābād: Sāḥitya Bhavana, 1961), pp. 40-47.

²For Bhāratendu Harishcandra, see: Varmā, *Hindi Sāhitya Kosha*, vol. II, pp. 382-385. Dwivedi, pp. 154-155, 161-162. For Satya Nārāyana and Bābū Jai Shankara, see: Dwivedi, pp. 178, 188-190.

that has been much greater than that of the Subodhini. Anu Bhāsva. Sodashagrantha, and the other Sanskrit texts referred to in Part I above that were composed by Vallabhācārya himself. The technique of instruction employed in the Caurāsi Vaisnavan kī Vārta is that of the illustration of desirable qualities or ideals by episodes from the lives of the eightyfour (caurāsi) Vaisnavas who were initiated into the practice of true bhakti by Vallabhācārva. The order in which the episodes of the lives of each of those eighty-four Vaisnavas are arranged is not random, but is intended to trace carefully the progress of each of those Vaisnavas from the adhibhautika or laukika existence to entrance into relationship with Shrī Krsna through the Brahmasambandha initiation administered by Vallabhācārya and from that point on to the adhidaivika state of the alaukika lilā of Shrī Krsna in Goloka. The effect of the arrangement of the episodes (called prasangas) is reinforced by the bhāvaprakāsha commentaries added by Hariravaii. Thus, in the Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā, the follower of the teachings of Vallabhācārya has before him a guide to the transformation of the ever-changing, ignorant laukika state of mind to the permanent, changeless, knowing alaukika state of mind in which the bhāva of the lilā of Shrī Krsna can be experienced. For those who follow the teachings of Vallabhācārya, the Caurāsi Vaisnavan kī Vārtā has, consequently, a very high place; in it can be seen the application of the teachings given for the practice of bhakti by Vallabhācārya. The people who follow the doctrines of Vallabhācārya use readings, both private and congregational, from the Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā as an important means of increasing their own bhaktibhāva, for those eighty-four Vaisnavas who appear in the Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Varta expressed through their lives perfect bhava of devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. The most influential of these eighty-four Vaisnavas are, without doubt, the four poets-Sūradāsa, Kumbhanadāsa, Paramānandadāsa, and Krsnadāsa—who were the first four members of the Astachāpa school of Brai Bhāṣā poetry and of the Astasakhā, the eight eternal companions of Shri Krsna.

The four $v\bar{a}rt\bar{a}s$ that have been translated here are the $v\bar{a}rt\bar{a}s$ of these four poets.

The Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā is also important in the history of Braj Bhāṣā literature since it is one of the earliest known texts in prose in that literature. The Braj Bhāṣā prose commentary, which was made about A.D. 1600 by Indrajit of Orchā, to the Nītishataka of Bhartrhari (the Braj Bhāṣā text has recently been edited and linguistically described by R. S. McGregor) is probably a few decades older than the earliest

¹R. S. McGregor, *The Language of Indrajis of Orchā* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1968), pp. 17-239.

date that can be claimed for the Caurāsi Vaisņavan kī Vārtā, but the Caurāsi Vaisṇavan kī Vārtā is still the oldest known prose text in the devotional literature of Braj Bhāṣā. The style in which the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā is written is not difficult and is colloquial rather than literary in tone. Most of the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā is in the form of narration in the third person, but there are also a great many passages in which direct conversation is transcribed.

According to the traditions of the Vallabhācārva Sampradāva. Gokulanātha, the fourth son of Vitthalanātha, is the author of the Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā. Gokulanātha, who lived from A.D. 1552-1641, is supposed to have gathered up the traditions current in the Sompradaya about the eighty-four followers of his grandfather Vallabhācārva and about the two hundred and fifty-two followers of his father Vitthalanatha and to have used them as examples in the course of his oral teachings on the practice of the *Pustimārga*. Towards the latter part of Gokulanātha's life these traditions were written down in Braj Bhāsā, the language used in the Sampradaya for both spiritual instruction and the conduct of daily affairs. Later, Hariravaii (A.D. 1591-1716) arranged the traditions (vārtās) which had been used by Gokulanātha into the Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā (the vārtās dealing with the followers of Vallabhācārva) and the Dosau Bāvan Vaisnavan kī Vārtā (the vārtās dealing with the followers of Vitthalanatha) and added to these collections commentaries called "bhāvaprakāsha" (i.e., explanations of the internal condition or emotional state of being of an individual or event). In these bhāvaprakāshas, which Harirāyajī himself composed on the basis of traditions in the Sampradāya, is given information by which the reader or listener can understand the significance of a varta or portion of a varta. Many of the bhavaprakashas supply information about the adhibhautika lives of bhaktas before their initiation into Vallabhācārva's *Sampradāva* and about the *ādhidaivika* identities of the same bhaktas when they are in the divine lilā in Goloka. It is thus from the bhavaprakashas of Hariravaji that most of the biographical information about the bhaktas who followed Vallabhācārya and Vitthalanatha is obtained. Harirayaii also added more material. also from the sectarian traditions, to the vārtās themselves. The form of the Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā has not changed since the time of Harirāyajī. The Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā is arranged into eighty-four vārtās, each vārtā being devoted to a different bhakta. Each vārtā is then divided into a variable number of prasangas or episodes. The full title of the Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā when it contains the bhāvaprakāshas of Harirayaji is Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Varta (Tin Janma ki Lila Bhavanavāli]; by this full title it is known that the accounts of all three lives ādhibhautika, ādhyātmika, and ādhidaivika—of each Vaisnava are given. Harirāvaii's ordering of the Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā is supposed to have been completed about A.D. 1696, toward the end of his life.1

In addition to the Caurāsi Vaisnavan kī Vārtā, there are several other collections of vārtās preserved in Brai Bhāsā prose within Vallabhācārva's Sampradāva. The best known of these other collections of vārtās is the Dosau Bāvan Vaisnavan kī Vārtā in which the vārtās of the two hundred and fifty-two (dosau bāyan) followers of Vithalanātha are given. Although the Dosau Bāvan Vaisnavan kī Vārtā is usually held to be of the same age as the Caurasi Vaisnavan ki Varta-both works supposedly having been first composed from written and unwritten sectarian traditions by Gokulanātha, doubt has been cast on its antiquity on the basis of linguistic evidence.² The other collections of vārtās are as follows: the Nijavārtā and the Shrī Ācārvaji ke Prākatva kī Vārtā both give accounts of the events of Vallabhācārva's life, the Gharūvārtā contains accounts of Vitthalanātha's life, the Baithaka Caritra, describes the events associated with Vallabhācārya's trips around India, the Bhāvasindhu gives further information about some of the followers of Vallabhācārya and his son Vitthalanātha, and the Shrī Nāthajī kī Prākatya-Vārtā gives an account of events in the history of the Sampradaya from the appearance of the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī on Govardhana Hill until the removal of Shrī Govardhananāthajī from Govardhana to Nāthadvāra in Udaipur district, Rājasthān (A.D. 1672). In addition to these collections of vārtās, there are also Nijavārtā and Baithaka Caritra (though where there are eighty-four baithakas described for Vallabhācārya, there are only twentyeight for Vitthalanatha) for Vitthalanatha.

THE DATES OF SURADASA, KUMBHANADASA, PARAMANANADADASA, AND KRSNADASA

Sūradāsa was born at Sīṇhīn village in Gurgaon district of the modern state of Haryana, just south of Delhi, in A.D. 1479 (in the same month of the same year that Vallabhācārya was born). Sūradāsa was initiated by Vallabhācārya into the Sampradāya in 1511 at the age of thirty-two. He was the sakhā called Kṛṣṇa and the sakhī called Campakalatā. As a member of the Aṣṭachāpa, Sūradāsa sang kīrtana before Shrī Govardhananāthajī at the utthāpana darshana period (see p. 49 above); his particular interest was Shrī Kṛṣṇa's māna līlā (in which Rādhā's jealousy has made her angry at Shrī Kṛṣṇa's Sūradāsa died in 1584.

¹Dvārakādāsa Parīkha and Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, Sūra Nirnaya (Mathurā: Sāhitya Sansthāna, 1962), pp. 16-20. Premanārāyana Ṭaṇḍana (ed.), Sūradāsa ki Vārtā (Lucknow: Nandana Prakāshana, 1968), pp. 6-8.

²VARMĀ, La Langue Braj, p. 32.

3HARIHARANÂTHA TANDANA, Vārtā-Sāhitya (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1961), pp. 39-40.

Kumbhanadāsa was born in A.D. 1469 at Jamunāvatau (located near the town of Govardhan in Mathurā district, Uttar Pradesh); he was initiated by Vallabhācārya into the Sampradāya at the age of thirty-one in the year 1500. He was the sakhā Arjuna and the sakhī Vishākhā. He sang kīrtana at the rājabhoga period of darshana (see p. 48 his particular līlā was Shrī Kṛṣṇa's nikuñja līlā (in which Shrī Kṛṣṇa and Rādhā play together in the grove at night). Kumbhanadāsa died in 1584.

Paramānandadāsa was born in A.D. 1494 at Kannauj (Farrukhābād district, Uttar Pradesh) and was initiated by Vallabhācārya twenty-seven years later in 1521. He was Toṣa sakhā and Candrabhāgā sakhī. His darshana period was the mangalā (see p. 48 above) and he favoured hāla līlā (the līlā that Shrī Kṛṣṇa performed in his childhood). Paramānandadāsa died in 1585.

Kṛṣṇadāsa was born in the village of Cilotarā (Ahmadābād district, Gujarāt) in the year A.D. 1497 and received his initiation from Vallabhācārya in 1512 at the age of fifteen. Kṛṣṇadāsa was Rṣabha sakhā and Lalitā sakhī. He sang kīrtana at the shayana period of darshana (see p. 49 above) and the rāsa līlā (in which Shrī Kṛṣṇa dances with the gopīs in the forest) is his līlā. He managed the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. He died in 1580.1

The Vārtā of Sūradāsa

[The vārtā of Sūradāsa! opens with a bhāvaprakāsha in which Harirāyajī explains the ādhidaivika identity and ādhibhautika birth of Sūradāsa.]

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Now the bhava2 of the varta3 of Suradasaji4 will be described.

¹ Harirāyajī, Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā [Tīn Janma kī Līlā Bhāvanāvāli], ed. DVārakā-Dāsa Parīķha (Mathurā: Dvārakādāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 377-416.

²Bhāva is, for followers of the bhaktimārga, an emotional state, an inner orientation of attitude. Thus, Sūradāsa had within himself the bhāva of the sakhā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa who was called Kṛṣṇasākhā so that he actually became that sakhā. Sūradāsa also developed the bhāva of the sakhī Compakalatā so that he became that sakhī. In the same way, other bhaktas may incorporate into themselves the bhāva of devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa of a gopi in Braj or of Shrī Kṛṣṇa is foster mother Yashodā. Bhāva is also the inner emotional attitude expressed by a kirtana or vārtā which is meant to arouse bhakti to Shrī Kṛṣṇa in the hearts of readers or listeners. A very clear discussion of the concept of bhāva in Vaisnava thought is given in the following: EDWARD C. DIMONK, The Place of the Hidden Moon (Chicago: University of Chicago press, 1966), pp. 20-25.

The Braj Bhāsā word "vārtā" comes from the Sanskrit term "vārtā"; the basic meaning of varta is "business", "livelihood", or "occupation". The type of occupation denoted by vārtā was especially the ordinary, mundane agricultural and pastoral business of the Vaishyas -the common people who made up the lowest of the three Aryan varnas-and not the glorious occupations of the Brāhmaṇas and Kshatriyas. Consequently, the secondary meanings of varia also refer to the commonplace; varia, for example, has the meaning of "information about something" and of "the act of transmitting information" but the information is no more than news, reports, or accounts and the transmission is simple, unrefined conversation. A varta in the epic and puranic literature is simply a story that is told without poetic pretensions. The Braj Bhāsā vārtās the Caurusi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā are completely in accord with the spirit of the Sanskrit vārtā, for they are simple descriptions in colloquial prose and not in literary prose or verse of events in the lives of the followers of Vallabhācārya. The varias are intended to be only the vehicles by which the bhava of those followers can be portrayed; there is no place in them for literary polish. It is in the Braj Bhasa poetry of the Asiachāpa poets that literary polish has its important place. See the following for more information on the arta: Monier Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary

Sūradāsajī, who was a Sārasvata Brāhmaņa¹ from the village of Sīnhīn near Delhi,² was a sevaka³ of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu.⁴

Sūradāsajī is one of the eight companions (Aṣṭasakhā) of Shrī Ṭhākurajī in līlā and in līlā he is called "Kṛṣṇasakhā". Even though only sakhīs are allowed to take part in the nikuñja līlā (Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa's līlā of love at night in the grove) and the sakhās are forbidden to witness that līlā, Sūradasajī has sung of the nikuñja līlā in such a way that he must have actually experienced it. The problem may be solved by reference to the Bhāgavata Purāṇa where it is said that the sakhās always go with Shrī Ṭhākurajī when he takes the cows out to graze; the gopīs also experience this līlā of pasturing the cows and they sing of it while remaining in their houses. After the sakhās and Shrī Ṭhākurajī have returned home in the evening, Shrī Ṭhākurajī, as soon as night has fallen, goes out with the sakhīs to perform the nikuñja līlā. Then the sakhās, who are intimate friends of Shrī Ṭhākurajī, feel virahao and they sing songs of the nikuñja līlā and experience it. Each of the sakhīs in the grove has two forms:

(Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960), p. 945. HARIHARANĀTHA ŢAŅŅANA, Vārtā-Sāhitya, pp. 1-38.

⁴The honorific suffix "-ji" is added to the names of persons, divinities, cities, and geographical features that have special sanctity or are entitled to particular respect. The honorific prefix "shri" is also often added to the same kinds of names for the same reasons. In the translation, I have kept the honorific suffix and prefix with the names of persons, but not with the names of places.

ISūradāsa is also said to have been either a Jāṭa or Dhāḍhi by caste. See : Premanārāyaṇa Tandana, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, pp. 17-19.

²Sīṇhīṇ is also called "Sīṇhorā" and "Shergaḍha". The village of Runakatā in Āgrā: district, Uttar Pradesh, has also been said to be Sūradāsa's birthplace. Sec: Premanārāyaṇa Tandana, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, pp. 17-19.

³The word sevaka, which literally means "servant", is used in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya to mean "follower", "devotee", "disciple" either of a divinity or of a human guru.

4Vallabhācārya is usually referred to as "Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu" within the Sampradāya. The title "ācārya" (which designates one who teaches something or who gives initiation into a seat or state of being) was given to Vallabha after his victory in the shāstvārtha at Vijayanagara; the title "Mahāprabhu" (which was originally a royal title meaning "great master" or "great lord") seems to have been given to Vallabhācārya after his death by his son Vitthalanātha. Viṭthalanātha may have applied the title to his father the example of the members of the Caitanya Sampradāya who used the same title for Krsna Caitanya, the founder of their sect.

'Shrī Thākurajī, which means simply "the Lord" or "the Master", is the most common term by which Shrī Kṛṣṇa or any of his svarūpas are referred to in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya.

oViraha, which refers to separation and especially to the separation of lovers which causes pain in the hearts of the lovers, is felt by the sakhās whenever they are apart from Shri Kṛṣṇa. See p. 91 of Part I above for a discussion of the importance of viraha to a follower of the bhaktimārga. See also: S. M. PANDEY and NORMAN ZIDE, "Sūrdās and his Krishna-bhaktī", Krishna: Myths. Rites, and Attitudes, ed. MILTON SINGER (Honolulu: East-West Center Press, 1966), pp. 191-192.

one of these two forms is the sakhā form and in the sakhā form the masculine bhāva of the daytime līlā may be experienced; the other form is the sakhī form, in it the feminine bhāva of the nocturnal līlā may be experienced. Just as there are rcas (a kind of Vedic verse that is feminine in gender) in the Veda, so there are gopis (the terms "gopi" and "sakhi" are interchangeable) and just like the manuras (that part of the Vedic literature which contains the rcā verses; mantra is masculine in gender) in the Veda are the sakhās. The gopīs are not just women, though their husbands thought them to be no more than women. They are no longer of ordinary (laukika) substance just as rice that has been prepared as food can no longer be used as seed to sprout in the ground. The līlā that is rasa is always, everywhere one rasa and the intimate sakhās of Shrī Thākurajī are parts of Shrī Thākurajī.² By means of the two forms -sakhā form and sakhī form—Shrī Thākurajī performs the daytime and the nocturnal līlās and enjoys them. Sūradāsa is the manifestation of Krsnasakhā and Krsnasakhā's second form for the nikunia līlā is that of the sakhī Campakalatā. Thus, by the mercy of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu³ Sūradāsa would be able to experience all of the *līlās*.

One may wonder why, if Sūradāsa were in the divine $līl\bar{a}$, he could not at once experience that $l\bar{i}l\bar{a}$. In answer to this question of why Sūradāsa had to fall into delusion, it is said that when Shrī Ṭhākurajī became manifest on earth and performed $l\bar{i}l\bar{a}$ as if he were laukika in order to show his glory and so that the laukika $j\bar{i}vas$ might successfully reach the divine $l\bar{i}l\bar{a}$, then the bhaktas of Shrī Ṭhākurajī also demonstrated the alaukika by performing laukika $l\bar{i}l\bar{a}$ in the world (jagat). For example, although Shrī Rukminījī is the $svar\bar{u}pa$ (form) of the goddess Lakṣmī herself, she took birth and worshipped the goddess in order to get a husband. Furthermore, Shrī Rukminījī sent a Brāhmaṇa to Shrī Ṭhākurajī to propose marriage. This was done for the sake of the manifestation

¹Rasa is the inexpressible delight which a bhakta feels when he is in the presence of Shri Krsna.

²According to Vallabhācārya's *Shuddhādvaita*, all that really exists is part of Shri Kṛṣṇa Parabrahman.

Alt was by Vallabhācārya's mercy that Sūradāsa would be able to experience all of the lilūs because it was Vallabhācārya, who appeared to rescue the daivi jivas from worldly birth, who revealed to Sūradāsa Sūradāsa's true nature as a sakhū of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Furthermore, by administering the Brahmasambandha mantra to Sūradāsa, Vallabhācārya allowed him to resume his place beside Shrī Kṛṣṇa (in the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī). For the account of Vallabhācārya's role in rescuing the daivi jivas, see either of the following Harirāyajī, p. 1. Dvārakādāsa Puruṣottama Parikha (ed.), Prācina Vūrtā-Sāhitya (Kānkarolī Vidyāvibhāga, 1940; 3 volumes), vol. I, pp. 15-17.

⁴See the discussion of the terms *laukika* and *alaukika* on pp. 9-15 of Part I of this study.
⁵For the story of Rukmini and Kṛṣṇa see *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* X:52:25-36; an English translation of the passage is given in the following: VYASA, *The Srimad-Bhagavatam*, trans.
J. M. Sanyal (Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., 1952; 5 vols.), vol. IV, pp. 222-223.

of $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ in the world. Another example can be seen in Kālindījī, the daughter of the sun, who performed austerities in the Yamunā River and who told Arjuna that she would choose Shrī Thākurajī to be her husband. Later, she married Shrī Ṭhākurajī. This was only $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$; Kālindījī has always been and always will be Shrī Ṭhākurajī's beloved. In Braj Shrī Svāminījī and Shrī Ṭhākurajī are together one form, but in order to perform $Braj-l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$, Shrī Ṭhākurajī appeared in King Nanda's house and Shrī Svāminījī' appeared in Bṛṣabhānajī's house. By various means, the two then managed to meet both in the night and in the day. This was in order to manifest $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ in the world; they always perform the same rasa $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$.

In this way, Sūradāsa became the sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī and sang of the $l\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}$ of Bhagavān; in this way Shrī Ācāryajī's fame increased. Sūradāsa, who was blessed by Bhagavān, took refuge with Shrī Ācāryajī. Thus, Sūradāsa performed $l\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}$ in the world and showed the glory of Bhagavān. But before he was received by Bhagavān, Sūradāsa sang the songs of the laukika jīvas; for, when Sūradāsa appeared on earth, he did not know about $l\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}$.

Sūradāsajī was born eight miles from the city of Delhi in the village of Sīnhīn, which was located on the spot where King Parīkṣita's son Janmejaya performed his snake sacrifice. Sūradāsajī was the fourth son born in the house of a poor Sārasvata Brāhmaṇa; unfortunately, Sūradāsajī was born with no eyes at all. In fact, Sūradāsajī did not even have eyelids; above his eye sockets were only eyebrows. When Sūradāsajī's father saw that his new son had no eyes, he became very sad. He wondered to himself why the Creator who had made him so poor had not sent this blind son to be born in some other house. Who, Sūradāsajī's father wondered in his misery, would take care of the sightless boy and who would guide him?

Because Sūradāsa was blind and a son who was blind was considered useless, everyone in Sūradāsa's family disliked him and none of the people in the family would talk to him. This was the condition of Sūradāsa's life until he reached the age of six years. At that time, it happened that a wealthy Kṣatriya of the village gave Sūradāsa's father a gift of two gold coins. This gift made Sūradāsa's father's heart fill with joy and he hurried home to tell his wife, his children, and his relatives that he had received a valuable windfall. He showed them the money and

¹Kālindīji is the Yamunā River. See the following: Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, Braj kā Sānskṛtika Itihāsa (Delhi: Rājakamala Prakāshana, 1966), p. 30.

²Kṛṣṇa's beloved *gopi* Rādhā is called "Shrī Svāminījî" (which means "the Mistress") by the followers of Vallabhācārya.

³For the story of Janmejaya's snake sacrifice, see the following: Krishna-Dwaipayana Vyasa, *The Mahabharata*, trans. Pratap C. Roy (Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., undated, 12 volumes), vol. 1 (*Adi Parva*), section III, pp. 36-48.

said that he would take the gold coins on the following day and buy enough provisions to support the household for three or four months. Then he wrapped the coins up in a cloth and put them in a niche in the wall. During night, while the household was asleep, a rat came and picked up the coins and hid them in a hole in the roof of the house. When, in the morning, Sūradāsa's father went to get the coins, he discovered that they were missing. Sūradāsa's parents were struck with grief and they began to cry and beat their chests. They were unable either to eat or to drink all that day. Sūradāsajī heard his parents moaning in their sorrow and asked them why they were making such pitifiul lamentation. "Remember to worship Bhagavān," Sūradāsa told them, "and everything will be all right."

As soon as Sūradāsajī had said this, his parents began to berate him. "From the moment that you were born blind until this very day, we have known nothing but misfortune. In all that time we have never had a day of happiness and we have never had food with which to fill our stomachs. Shrī Bhagavān gave us two gold coins and now they are gone."

In reply to this, Sūradāsajī said, "If you promise not to keep me in this house, I will tell you where your gold coins are. But you must not keep me in this house and you must not follow me when I leave."

When Sūradāsajī's parents had heard this, they answered, "Of what use are you to us? Help us find our gold coins and you may go wherever you wish. We will not detain you."

Then Sūrdāsa said that the gold coins would be found in a hole in the roof of the house. Sūradāsa's father looked into the hole and found the coins. As soon as the coins had been found, Sūradāsajī prepared to leave the house. But Sūrdāsa's parents had realized that Sūradāsa's ability to find the coins so quickly showed that he had very valuable talents.

Sūradāsa's parents called out, "Sūradāsa! Why are you leaving home? The coins have been found and we can buy food. Stay and eat and then you can go wherever you want."

But Sūradāsa answered, "You must not try to make me stay home. If you force me to stay, then your money will vanish again and you will be sorry." Sūradāsajī's parents had no reply to this, so Sūradāsa took up his stick and left the house.

Sūradāsajī left Sīṇhīṇ and proceeded down the road for about eight miles until he came to a pond on the outskirts of a village. Sūradāsajī sat down in the shade of a pīpala tree on the edge of the pond and quenched his thirst with some water from the pond. Sūradāsajī had been sitting beside the pond for an hour or two when a Brāhmaṇa zamīndār (landlord) recognized him and came over to him. The zamīndār told Sūradāsa that ten of his cows had been lost for three days and that he intended to

reward anyone who could find the missing animals with a gift of two cows.

"What use would I have for cows?" said Sūradāsajī to the zamīndār. "But, since you have asked me, I will tell you where your cows are. About two miles from here there is another village. At night some henchmen of the zamīndār of that village came here and stole your cattle. That zamīndār has a house inside of which a second house has been constructed. The zamīndār keeps his horses tied up in the inner house and your cows are tied up with the horses."

The zamindar took ten of his men and went to the house of the other zamindar where he found his ten cows tied up with the horses. The zamindar then returned to Sūradāsa and said to him, "Sūradāsa! I have found my cows just where you said that I would find them, so now two of the cows are yours."

But Sūradāsajī answered, "I have left my home and taken refuge with Shrī Ṭhākurajī, how can I accept any cows from you?"

Then the zamindār began giving Sūradāsa words of advice as if Sūradāsa were only a child. "You are the son of a Sārasvata Brāhmaṇa," he said to him, "and you have no eyes. You don't even have a man with you to be your guide. Why did you leave your home and come here to sulk? You are blind, how will you survive?"

In reply, Sūradāsa said, "I did not leave home to rely upon you, I left home to rely upon Nārāyaṇa! and Nārāyaṇa, who protects the entire world, will watch over me. Whatever is destined to happen will happen."

The zamindar then said, "I am also a Brāhmana, let me bring you something to eat from my house." Sūradāsa, who had not eaten since he set out from his house, accepted the zamindar's offer. The zamindar went to his house and had $p\bar{u}r\bar{t}s^2$ prepared and sent to Sūradāsa along with milk and water. The zamindar told Sūradāsa that he would never suffer any want for he would share all the food that might come to him with him. The zamindar promised to bring food to Sūradāsa every day in the morning either at the pond or in the village wherever Sūradāsa should want it.

The next morning the zamindar came to Sūradāsa and asked him where he intended to dwell. Sūradāsa said that he had decided to remain for several days under the pīpala tree by the side of the pond. Then the zamīndār had a hut built at that place for Sūradāsa and sent a servant to wait on Sūradāsa. The zamīndār then announced before several people that Sūradāsa was very wise and had been able to tell him where to find his lost cows. The zamīndār told the people that Sūradāsa had the power of divination and that he had had a hut built under the pīpala tree at the

¹Nārāyana is one of the names that Vaisnavas use for the Supreme Being.

²A kind of unleavened whole-wheat bread that is deep-fried in cooking oil is called a pūri.

edge of the pond for his use, that he was giving him a servant, and that he was supplying him with $p\bar{u}r\bar{t}s$, yoghurt, and milk every day. The zamindār finished by inviting those in need of divination to go to Sūradāsa for help.

When the people heard about Sūradāsa, they all came out of the village to see him. Sūradāsa prophesied for anyone who requested his help. The crowds of people increased and Sūradāsa was treated with great reverence and was given many gifts of food and drink. After a few days, Sūradāsa was given a large house at the pond in place of the hut. People gave Sūradāsa clothing, money, and great wealth; they began to call him Svāmī and many men became his followers (sevaka). Sūradāsa used to recite padas of viraha to his followers; he assembled a large number of musical instruments to accompany the singing. In this way, Sūradāsa reached his eighteenth year under the pīpala tree by the pond.

One night, while Sūradāsajî was sleeping, a feeling of desire to renounce the world (vairāgya) came upon him. He thought to himself, "I left home and renounced the worldly life in order to find Shrī Bhagavān¹ but Māyā has seized me here.² Why should I increase my own fame? I should be trying to further the Lord's glory. In working for my own glory, I have really been hurting myself. In the morning I will leave all of this."

At dawn, Sūradāsa sent one of his disciples to summon his parents. When they came, he gave the house and everything that he had acquired to them. Then Sūradāsa dressed, took up his stick, and departed. Those of Sūradāsa's followers who were in the jungle of Māyā stayed behind entangled in samsāra³ and those who were not under the influence of samsāra went away with Sūradāsa. Sūradāsa decided that he would go to Braj since Braj was the homeland of Shrī Bhagavān. After a time, he entered Braj and arrived at the Vishrānta Ghāṭa⁴ in the city of Mathurā.⁵ But Sūradāsa decided that it would not be proper for him to remain in Mathurā for if he were to stay there, his fame would be bound to become great. All fame, however, should be Shrī Kṛṣṇaʾs in Shrī Kṛṣṇaʾs own city of Mathurā; besides, Sūradāsa realized that many people would come to him for help with the troubles of samsāra and the renown that would result for him would cause unhappiness for the Caube

Shri Bhagavan is a commonly-used title for Shri Kṛṣṇa.

² Māvā is Shrī Krsna's power of cosmic delusion.

³See pp. 70-71 of Part I above for a discussion of the concept of *samsāra* in Vallabhā-cārya's thought.

⁴For further information on Vishrānta Ghāta (also called Vishrāma Ghāta), the chief ghāta at Mathurā, see the following: Mītala. Braj kā Sānskrijka Itihāsa, pp. 149-151.

⁵In the text Mathurā, like all Vaiṣṇava holy places, is called "Shrī Mathurājī" and, in the same way, the Yamunā River is called "Shrī Yamunājī". Secular cities like Āgrā, however, are not given the honorific affixes. See also p. 106, n. 4 above.

Brāhmaṇas who lived by ministering to the spiritual and supernatural needs of the people of Mathurā. After careful consideration in this manner, Sūradāsa left Mathurā and went to Gaūghāṭa¹ on the Yamunā River midway between Mathurā and Āgrā and settled there.

Sūradāsa had a very beautiful voice and was highly skilled in musical matters and in divining. Many people came to Sūradāsa at Gaūghāta and many became his followers. Sūradāsa became very famous in the world.]

[At this point begin the prasangas of Sūradāsa's vārtā that were collected by Gokulanātha.]

Prasanga 1

Sūradāsa was living at Gaūghāta when, one day, Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu arrived from Adela² in Brai. After a few days, Shrī Ācārvaiī together with a large company of his disciples came to Gaughata and bathed there in the Yamuna. After they had bathed and performed the evening worship, Shri Ācāryaji and his followers began to cook their evening meal. At that time, one of Sūradāsa's followers saw the famous teacher and his group and went to Sūradāsa and gave him the news that Shrī Vallabhācāryajī, who had upheld the bhaktimārga and defeated the adherents of the Māvāvāda in South India and in Kāsī.3 had arrived. When Sūradāsa had heard this, he instructed one of his followers to inform him as soon as Shrī Vallabhācāryajī had eaten and had seated himself at leisure to grant audience so that he could go to have darshana4 of the famous teacher. Sūradāsa's follower then went to Shrī Ācāryajī's camp and sat down to watch from a distance. As soon as Shrī Ācāryajī had finished his supper and had seated himself in the midst of his disciples, Sūradāsa's follower went back and told him as he had been ordered to do. Then Sūradāsa took all of his followers and went to have darshana of Shrī Ācāryajī. Sūradāsa prostrated himself before Shri Ācāryajī.

Then, Shrī Ācāryajī said from his holy mouth, "Sūra! Describe some of the glory of Bhagavān."

In obedience to Shrī Ācāryajī's order, Sūradāsa sang two padas in which he humbled himself as a lowly sinner before Shrī Kṛṣṇa. When

¹Gaüghāṭa is located in present-day Āgrā district, Uttar Pradesh.

²Adela (also written "Adaila" or, in the English spelling, "Arail") was the village in which Vallabhācārya had his main house. Adela is located on the south bank of the Yamunā River, at its point of junction with the Gangā, across from the city of Allāhābād. For the exact location, see: The Imperial Gazetteer of India: Atlas (26 vols.; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1931), XXVI, plate 64.

Kāsī (or Kāshī) is another name for the city of Vārāṇasī. The defeat of the Māyāvādīs in South India mentioned here is probably a reference to the victory of Vallabhācārya at Vijayanagara which is described in pp. 43-46 of Part I above.

⁴ Darshana is the formal presentation of an outstanding person or of a divinity or sacred place to the view of an assembly of people. Darshana is also a formal audience granted by a famous person to one or more individuals. See: MONIER-WILLIAMS, pp. 470-471

Shrī Ācāryajī had heard these two padas, he asked Sūradāsa why he had depreciated himself so much and asked him to describe something of the $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ of Bhagavān.¹

[In a bhāvaprakāsha at this point in the text Harirāyajī explains that one who is separated from Bhagavān has become corrupted (which is the way that Sūradāsa had sung of himself in the padas) and can be made pure by singing of the līlā of Bhagavān; consequently, Shrī Ācāryajī asked Sūradāsa to sing of the divine līlā.]

But Sūradāsa answered, "Mahārāja! I don't know anything about the *līlā* of Bhagavān."

Then Shrī Ācāryajī told Sūradāsa to go and bathe in the Yamunā and then return and receive instruction in the divine *līlā*. With great joy Sūradāsa did so and, without allowing anyone to touch him, returned to Shrī Ācāryajī.³ Then Shrī Ācāryajī had mercy on Sūradāsa and told him the divine name and had him dedicate himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. After Sūradāsa had thus been initiated, Shrī Ācāryajī recited the *Bhāgavata-Purāna-Dashamaskandhānukramanikā* to Sūradāsa.⁴

[Bhāvaprakāsha

By telling the eight-syllabled mantra⁵ to Sūradāsa, Vallabhācāryajī caused the impurities (doṣa) that Sūradāsa had acquired through birth to be obliterated and brought him through the first seven steps of the practice of bhakti.⁶ When Shrī Ācāryajī then had Sūradāsa dedicate himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa by the rite of the Brahmasambandha, Sūradāsa reached the last two steps of the nine-fold bhakti by which he gave himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa and was accepted by Shrī Kṛṣṇa.⁷ There

¹For background information on the relationship between Sūradāsa's composition of these padas and his membership in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya, see: Premanārāyaṇa Taṇpana, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, pp. 31-32.

²Brāhmaņas and sādhus are addressed with the title "Mahārāja" in India.

³A candidate for sacred instruction and initiation must be pure and unpolluted.

4The Bhāgavata-Purāna-Dashamaskandhānukramanikā is a Sanskrit composition by Vallabhācārya on the tenth skandha of the Bhāgavata Purāna and is held to contain the essence of the tenth skandha. See: PREMANĀRĀYANA TANDANA, Sūradāsa kī Vārtā, p. 34,

5 When one receives the eight-syllabled mantra—Shri Kṛṣṇaḥ sharaṇam mama, he is said to have received the divine name and is admitted into the Vallabia Sampradāya. This is the Brahmasambandha initiation by which one's sins are destroyed. See pp. 18-20 of Part I above.

6The seven steps are: shravana, kirtana, smarana, pāda-sevana, arcana, vandana, and dāsya. For an explanation of each, see pp. 83-84 of Part I of this study.

⁷The last two steps are sakhya and ātmanivedana; by the first of these one is accepted by Shrī Kṛṣṇa as his sakhā (companion) and by the second one gives himself completely to Shrī Kṛṣṇa. One must be accepted by Shrī Kṛṣṇa before one can offer oneself to him, for everything must be done by the grace of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and not by any effort that one does himself. See pp. 84–85 of Part I of this study.

remained then only the bhakti characterized by pure love; Sūradāsa reached this bhakti of love (prema) when Shrī Ācāryajī recited the Bhāgavata-Purāṇa-Dashamaskandhānukramaṇikā to him. Then the entire līlā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa was firmly fixed in Sūradāsa's heart and he attained the bhakti of pure love.]

When Shri Acaryaji had implanted the knowledge of the entire Subodhinī² in Sūradāsa's heart, then Sūradāsa was able to describe the glory of the līlā of Bhagavān Shri Krsna. By means of the Bhagavata. Purāna-Dashamaskandhānukramanikā, the entire līlā was manifested in Sūradāsa's heart. That this was so was proven by Sūradāsa's ability to express in his Brai Bhāsā padas the spirit of Shrī Ācārvaii's Sanskrit verses from the Subodhini commentary on the tenth book of the Bhagavata Purāna. Shrī Ācāryajī became very pleased when he heard the padas that demonstrated the presence of all of the līlās contained in the Subodhinī in Sūradāsa's heart. Shrī Ācārvajī knew that Sūradāsa had an understanding of līlā. Shrī Ācāryajī then told Sūradāsa to sing some padas describing the lila that took place in the house of Shri Kṛṣṇa's foster father King Nanda. As Shri Ācārvaji listened, Sūradāsa sang beautiful verses describing the house of King Nanda. But, when Sūradāsa started to sing of the *līlā* that occurred in the houses of the *gopīs*, Shrī Ācāryajī made him stop.

[In a bhāvaprakāsha at this point in the text it is said that Shrī Acāryajī stopped Sūradāsa from singing about the gopis because such description may be heard only by those who have received proper initiation into the practice of bhakti (Sūradāsa himself had just received such initiation from Shrī Ācāryajī, but Sūradāsa's followers had not been initiated and so were ineligible to hear the description of the love of the gopis.³) According to the bhāvaprakāsha, Sūradāsa then became troubled about the followers whom he had gathered around himself, for they had not been taken into the spiritual protection of Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Ācāryajī allayed Sūradāsa's worries by quoting one of Sūradāsa's own lines of verse: "Listen Sūra! this is the (blissful) condition of all those who worship the feet of Hari." By this line Shrī Ācāryajī meant that all those who have devoted

¹The bhakti which is pure love (prema) is the highest bhakti that the jiva can express. Prema bhakti is completely selfless love for, and attachment to, Bhagavān.

²The Subodhini is the name of Vallabhācārya's famous commentary on the first, second, third, tenth, and-eleventh books (skandha) of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa. See: PREMANĀRĀYANA TANDANA, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, p. 34. Surendranath Dasgupia, A History of Indian Philosophy (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 5 volumes, 1961), vol. IV, p. 373.

³PREMANĀRĀYAŅA ŢAŅDANA, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, pp. 38-39.

^{*}Hari is one of the names of Shri Kṛṣṇa.

themselves to Shrī Kṛṣṇa have put themselves completely into Shrī Kṛṣṇa's power and no longer need suffer any anxiety.\[\]

Shrī Ācāryajī was so delighted by Sūradāsa's verses about the *līlā* in King Nanda's house that he said: "It seems as if Sūradāsa were actually present during the *līlā* that took place in Nanda's house." After Sūradāsa had finished reciting his verses, Shrī Ācāryajī gave oral instruction in the *Puruṣottama Sahasranāma* to Sūradāsa. Sūradāsa's heart was filled with all of the *līlā* of the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* and he composed *kīrtanas* describing the *dāna līlā* and the *māna līlā* and all the other *līlās* ontained in the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*, from the first *skandha* to the twelfth and last *skandha*.

During the three days that Shrī Ācāryajī spent at Gaūghāṭa, Sūradāsa had all of his own followers receive initiation from Shrī Ācāryajī. Then Shrī Ācāryajī left for Braj and Sūradāsa went with him. When Shrī Ācāryajī and Sūradāsa entered Braj, they went, first of all, to Gokula⁵ and when they reached Gokula Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu told Sūradāsa to have darshana of Gokula ⁶ Sūradāsa then threw himself on the ground in homage to the holy land of Gokula. While Sūradāsa was performing his obeisance to Gokula, his heart became full of the līlā that Shrī Kṛṣṇa

1See, for example, verse 8 of Vallabhācārya's "Navaratnam" (one of the treatises in the *Sodashagrantha*):

Whenever anxiety should arise in one's mind, then one must realize that everything that occurs is part of

the lilā of Hari and immediately abandon all such worry.

The Sanskrit text of the above verse may be found at: Sītārāma Caturvedī, Mahāprabhu Shrimadvallabhācārva aur Pusti-Mārga (Vārāṇasī: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kutīra, 1967), p. 295.

²The Purusottama Sahasranāma is a Sanskrit work by Vallabhācārya in which the thou sand names of Shri Kṛṣṇa in the Bhāgavata Purāna are listed. According to Premanārāyaṇa Taṇḍana, the Purusottama Sahasranāma was completed about A.D. 1524 while Sūradāsa was initiated into Vallabhācārya s Sampradāya in A.D. 1511; therefore, the work must have been transmitted to Sūradāsa at the time of his initiation in partial or in outline form. See: Premanārāyaṇa Taṇḍana, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, p. 39.

3Dāna lilā is the lilā in which the boy Kṛṣṇa forced the gopis to give him a share of their milk and butter as a "toll". Māna lilā (see p. 103 above) is the lilā in which Rādhā, because of jealousy, was angry at Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

⁴The collection of all of Sūradāsa's kīrtanas based on the Bhāgavata Purāṇa is the Sūrasāgara (see pp. 3-6 of Part 1 of this study).

⁵See note 2 on p. 17 in Part I above. Gokula here may be either the present village of Mahābana (Old Gokula), or the site of the present village of Gokula (which was founded by Vallabhācārya's son Viṭṭhalanātha as described on p. 54 in Part I), or to the general vicinity of Mahābana-Gokula where King Nanda's cowherds wandered with their cattle while Shrī Kṛṣṇa was in the earthly Braj.

Some editions of the Vārtā of Sūradāsa begin the second prasanga of the Vārtā here with the story of Sūradāsa's entry into Braj with Vallabhācārya. The edition of Dvārakādāsa Parīkha, which is the edition followed here, however, includes this story in the first prasanga.

had performed as a child in Gokula. As he was wondering how best to describe the *līlā* that had been acted out in Gokula, it occurred to Sūradāsa that Shrī Ācāryajī was deeply attached (āsakta)¹ to the divine svarūpa² called Shrī Navanītapriyajī³ and so he sang a kīrtana about Shrī Ķṛṣṇa's child-*līlā* (bāla-līlā) as Navanītapriyajī in Gokula. When Shrī Ācāryajī had heard these padas, he was very pleased with Sūradāsa.

Shrī Ācāryajī had already arranged for the construction of a temple for Shrī Govardhananāthajī⁴ and for a beautiful sevā⁵ for that svarūpa, but no define plan for a splendid programme of kirtana before the svarūpa had yet been made. It seemed to Shrī Ācāryajī that Sūradāsa should be kept by Shrī Nāthajī in order to sing the kirtanas describing all of the divine lilās. Shrī Ācāryajī felt that the Vaiṣṇavas would derive great spiritual benefit from singing the padas composed by Sūradāsa. With these thoughts in mind, Shrī Ācāryajī took Sūradāsa and went to Govardhana. First, Shrī Ācāryajī went up the sacred hill and had darshana of Shrī Nāthajī and then he told Sūradāsa to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and to sing kirtana before the svarūpa.

As soon as Sūradāsa had sung a pada of humble salutation before Shrī Nāthajī, Shrī Ācāryajī said: "Sūradāsa! Now your mind is free of all ignorance (avidyā), for Shrī Nāthajī has dispelled your former ignorance. Therefore, you must sing of the līlā of Bhagavān in which there is

¹The state of āsakti, which is explained in detail on p. 92 of Part I of this study, is an advanced level of loving attachment to Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

²A svarūpa in the Vallabha Sampradāya is an actual form of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. It has a material form but is a manifestation of the divine identity and not a lifeless image. See pp. 48-49 of Part I above.

3"Navanīta" means "fresh butter" in both Sanskrit and Braj Bhāṣā, and "priya" means "one who is fond of"; Navanītapriya thus means "one who is fond of fresh butter". As a child, Shrī Kṛṣṇa liked milk and butter very much and often stole those foods mischievously from the gopīs of Braj; Shrī Navanītapriyajī is the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa as he was as a child fond of butter. This svarūpa is one of the nine primary svarūpas of the Vallabha Sampradāya. It was given by Viṭṭhalanātha to his eldest son, Giridhara, and is today still in the hands of that son's descendants at Nāthadvāra in Udaipur district, Rājasthān. See: Mītala, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa, p. 276. A picture of Navanītapriyajī may be seen facing p. 100 in [Karsandas Muli], History of the Sect of Mahārājas or Vallabhāchāryas in Western India (London: Trubner and Co., 1865).

4Shrī Govardhananātha is the chief divine svarūpa of the Vallabha Sampradāya; it is kept by the descendants of Giridhara in a large temple at Nāthadvāra. It is the svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa in the act of supporting Govardhana Hill over the gopas and gopis of Braj as shelter from Indra's rains. The svarūpa is holding the hill up with its left hand and is holding lotus stalks in the clenched fist of its right hand behind its back (the hearts of Shrī Govardhanāthajī's devotees are said to be in that closed fist). Shrī Govardhananāthajī's name, which means "Lord of Govardhana" is often abbreviated to "Shrī Nāthajī"—the Lord. See pp. 21-22 of Part I above, and Mītala, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa. plate facing p. 236.

⁵See pp. 46-52 of Part I of this study.

love (sneha) filled with divine majesty (māhātmya).

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Those who belong to Bhagavān (Bhagavadiya)¹ speak of themselves very humbly as did Sūradāsa in his pada of salutation to Shrī Nāthajī; such humility is the trait of a Bhagavadiya. Anyone who speaks highly of himself and praises himself is one who has turned away from Bhagavān.]²

Shrī Ācāryajī was delighted by the kirtana in which divine majesty was joined with love which Sūradāsa sang before Shrī Govardhananāthajī. IIn a bhāvaprakāsha at this point. Harirāvaii explains the nature of love ioined with divine majesty. Sūradāsajī sang his kīrtana in accordance with the principles of the Pustimārga³ revealed by Shrī Ācāryajī. The jiva, at first, must, in order that fear of committing offenses against Shrī Bhagavān may not be lost, maintain an awareness of the divine majesty (māhātmya) as a part of his love for Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Shrī Bhagavān's majesty is, however, no barrier for the love of a perfect bhakta. For example, Shrī Kṛṣṇa placed himself (as a child) in the control of the bhaktas of Braj because of their complete absorption in love for him. It is only in love for Shrī Bhagavān that is as pure as that of the bhaktas of ancient Brai that awareness of the divine majesty does not enter; the ability to experience and to express such love is given only by divine grace. In the course of his Braj lila, Shri Krsna showed his awesome majesty many times—as when he destroyed terrible demons like Pūtanā and Bakāsura. But, Shrī Yashodājī and the bhaktas of Braj felt such perfect love for Shri Krsna that this display of divine majesty did not affect them; Shrī Krşna generally conceals his divine majesty from bhaktas like those out of the grace which he has had on them.

On the other hand, that individual who loves in the laukika (worldly) fashion his spouse, his children, his relatives, his wealth, and the welfare of his own body has forgotten the majesty of Bhagavan and is an offender

¹There is a distinction made in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya between Bhagavadiya Vaiṣṇavas and the ordinary sevakas of Vallabhācārya. The Bhagavadiyas, who are the most advanced bhaktas, act always with regard for the happiness and comfort of the guru while the sevakas obey the commands of the guru without concern for the comfort or discomfort of the guru. The distinction is really between the maryādā approach in which one acts in harmony with rules and laws and the pure Puṣṭimārgi approach—which is followed by the Bhagavadiyas—in which one acts in harmony with selfless devotion to the divine even when such action may be contrary to laws. See: Premanārāyana Ṭaṇpana, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, p. 42.

²See pp. 16-19 and 80-82 of Part I above for a discussion of the problem of human pride and the antidote which Vallabhācārya offered to cure such pride.

⁸See pp. 80-93 of Part I above.

against the Divine Being. Consequently, it is the rule of the *mārga* shown by Shrī Ācāryajī that one should do *sevā* in awe of Shrī Bhagavān and in keeping with the regulations of the *Veda* and of the sacred laws (*maryādā*). Such behaviour is love filled with divine majesty.]

Then Shri Ācāryajī said to Sūradāsa, "Sūra! the tenets of the *Puṣṭi-mārga* have borne fruit for you; you must remain here and produce *kīrtana* continually for Shrī Govardhanadhara."

At that time, the shayana food offering² had just been made; Sūradāsa sang a kīrtana of the māna-līlā. Afterwards, every day Sūradāsa composed kīrtanas for each of the periods of darshana from the awakening of Shrī Nāthajī in the early morning until the shayana darshana in the evening. Sūradāsa's kīrtanas numbered in the thousands.

Prasanga 23

Once, while walking along a road, Sūradāsa, in the company of six or seven Vaiṣṇavas, came across a group of several men intent upon a game of caupaḍa. With regard to these men who were so absorbed in playing their game that they took no notice of those who were passing to and fro on the road, Sūradāsa said to his companions: "See how these people squander their human births aimlessly. Although Shrī Bhagavān has given us human bodies so that we may worship him, so many of us struggle through life in vain. There is no laukika advantage from this game of caupaḍa, since it is considered a waste of time in the world, and, since it turns one's attention away from Bhagavān, no benefits may be reaped from it for the other world. But those to whom Bhagavān has given a human body have to play caupaḍa."

After he had spoken in this way to the Vaisnavas, Sūradāsa sang a pada on the subject of caupada. The Vaisnavas, however, did not understand the meaning of the pada and asked Sūradāsa to explain it. Sūradāsa's explanation was as follows:

"Three things are necessary for the playing of caupada: understanding, thought, and contemplation; these three things are also necessary in order to worship Bhagavān. Just as one must first understand the rules of caupada and only then be able to play the game, so does one have to know Bhagavān in order to worship him. Furthermore, in playing caupada one must think carefully about the various schemes by which he may win and, in the same way, the jiva has to carefully consider the nature of time

¹Shrī Govardhanadhara ("the Bearer of Govardhana Hill") is another name of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

²See p. 49 of Part I above.

³This is prasanga 3 in some editions of the Varta.

⁴Caupada. also called Causara, is a board game something like pachisi. Each player has four or five playing pieces, each player's pieces being of one colour, which he moves according to the numbers on three dice. Caupada players sometimes gamble on their games.

(kāla)¹ and then take refuge with Prabhu.² Finally, just as a caupada player contemplates before he moves his piece in order that he might avoid losing his piece to the tricks of his opponent, so a Vaiṣṇava must contemplate whether or not the action that he is doing is good or bad so that he may set aside wrong actions and behave in harmony with the righteous dharma. Therefore, I sang in my pada—O Mind, understanding, thought, and contemplation (are necessary)."

Sūradāsa continued his explanation of his verses in the following words: "Moreover, just as a caupada player who has been beaten by his opponent will cry out, so does one who relies upon scriptural study in the world say, as he recites aloud from the Veda and the Puranas. Bhagavan is very difficult to attain without bhakti and one must make hundreds of thousands of attempts.' That is what I meant in the second line of my poem when I said — One who recites from the scriptures says that without bhakti Bhagavan is very difficult to attain. And, just as when two caupada players meet they can play caupada, so when Bhagavadiya Vaisnavas gather together to celebrate devotion to Bhagavan then bhakti increases. Furthermore, a caupada player never forgets the wager that he has made because he is anxious to win it; in the same way, the jiva keeps himself blissfully intent on the vartas of Bhagavan and repeats again and again the essence of rasa (which is the divine name). And, when one has played well in *caupada* and one's piece has been able to move into the home circle, then there is no longer any danger that that piece will be captured; similarly, a human being who has successfully crossed over samsāra and obtained the goal of asylum with Bhagavān is free of samsāra.3 And, when I said—Leave the seventeen and listen to the eighteen. I was referring to the number of Puranas in the world (orthodox Hindus accept eighteen major Puranas) and to the fact that eighteen is a large bet in caupada: all eighteen Puranas are contained in one Purana, the Bhāgavata Purāna. By listening to the Bhāgavata Purāna, one obtains the benefit of listening to the other seventeen Puranas."

Sūradāsa finished his interpretation of his own poem in the following words: "In the scriptures it is said that, 'The moth is killed by the sense of sight which leads it into the flame, the elephant is killed through its sense of touch, the deer is slain through its sense of hearing, the wasp is killed through its sense of smell, and the fish is killed by its sense of taste; death comes through each of the senses and the man who serves the

¹Kāla is the primary means by which Shrī Kṛṣṇa's Māyā shakti manifests the jagat out of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. See p. 78 of Part I above. See also: MRUDULA I MARFATIA, The Philosophy of Vallabhācārya (Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1967), pp. 212-213.

²"Prabhu" which means "master", is another of the terms used for the Supreme Being in Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya.

³For a discussion of Vallabhācārya's concept of samsāra, see pp. 70-71 of Part I above.

five senses is certainly devoured by time (kāla).' So, the five senses must be subdued just as the five playing-pieces in caupada are captured. That is why I said in my poem—Subdue the five. In caupada there are three dice and the worst throw, which no one wants, is three aces; the three aces are like the three gunas of Māyā-tāmasa, rājasa, and sāttvikaland all of this samsāra is the caupada board on which the dice are craftily rolled. The craftiness lies in never looking at the dice after they are rolled; thus, the other players may be cheated since they will not notice and remember the values on the dice. Just in this way do lust, rage, the other elements of delusion, and the Māyā of Bhagavān which has a feminine form deceive the entire world. That is why I said in my pada—Think about the board and stay away from the three aces; forget lust, anger, passion, and greed and trick the cheating woman. Just as one who has lost at caupada beats his hands together in frustration and gets up to go so that man is also frustrated who has lost his body without having worshipped the lotus-feet of Shrī Thākurajī. That is what I meant by the last line of my poem, which goes: He who has not worshipped the feet of Hari beats his hands together in frustration."

The Vaiṣṇavaş were very pleased with Sūradāsa when they heard this explanation.

Prasanga 32

Whenever Shrī Ācāryajī saw Sūradāsa, he used to say to him, "Come here, Ocean-Sūra (Sūra-Sāgara)!" Shrī Ācāryajī called Sūradāsa an ocean because all things are in the ocean and Sūradāsa had composed thousands of padas³ in which were descriptions of knowledge and renunciation, of the different kinds of bhakti and of the various avatāras of Bhagavān, and of all the divine līlās. People everywhere learned Sūradāsa's padas and sang them.4

Tānasena⁵ learned one of the padas composed by Sūradāsa and sang it before the Emperor Akbar.⁶ When Akbar had heard the pada, he immediately asked how he could arrange a meeting with the outstanding bhakta who had composed it. Tānasena told the Emperor that the composer of the kirtana was named Sūradāsajī and that he lived in Braj.

The three gunas and Mava are discussed on pp. 67 and 78 of Part I above.

²Numbered prasanga 4 in some editions.

³According to Premanārāyaṇa Taṇḍana, some five thousand of Sūradāsa's padus have come to light so far. See: Premanārāyaṇa Taṇḍana, Sūradāsa kī Vārtā, p. 53.

⁴See pp. 8-11 of Part I above for a discussion of the importance of the popularity of Sūradāsa's padas for the Vallabha Sampradāya.

⁵Tānasena was an outstanding sixteenth century North Indian musician. See: S. M. IKRAM, *Muslim Civilization in India* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1964), p. 251.

^oSee the discussion of Akbar's relations with Vitthalanātha (Shrī Gusāṇiji) on p. 54 in Part I above.

When Akbar had received this information, he devised a plan by which he might meet Sūradāsa. While Akbar was on his way from Delhi to Āgrā, he sent a messenger to find the exact whereabouts of the poet Sūradāsajī who sang padas before Shrī Nāthajī in Braj. The messenger was instructed to make his report to Akbar in Mathurā without letting Sūradāsa know anything about the inquiry. The messenger went to the temple of Shrī Nāthajī on Govardhana Hill and learned there that Sūradāsa had gone to Mathurā. The messenger went to Mathurā, had a watch kept over Sūradāsa, and went and told the Emperor that the poet was in Mathurā. The Emperor Akbar then sent several men to bring Sūradāsa to him and this was done. Akbar paid great respect and veneration to Sūradāsa and then said to him, "Sūradāsajī, you have composed many padas in honour of Visnu; please recite some of them for me."

Süradāsa then sang a *pada* before the Emperor Akbar and the Emperor was very pleased when he had heard it.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

The pada which Sūradāsa sang before Akbar was one which, if it were kept well in mind, would lead one to clearness of thought and to the grace (anugraha) of Bhagavān. It was a pada which would cause one to attach his mind to the divine lotus-feet and to renounce samsāra; it was a pada by which one caught in fear of evil company could concentrate his mind on righteous company (satsanga). By this pada love of the body would be dispelled and attachment to the laukika would be severed. It was a pada of the alaukika love of Bhagavān and by it affection for Bhagavān would increase.]

Akbar then thought to himself that he would test Sūradāsa by asking the poet to sing of his royal glory; if Sūradāsa were really completely devoted to Bhagavān, then Akbar knew that he would not praise any earthly king. In accordance with his intention, Akbar said to Sūradāsa, "Shrī Bhagavān has given me the power to rule and every talented person has sung of my glory. You also are very gifted, so you ought to sing of my fame. If you have any desire in your heart, you need only ask for it."

Sūradāsa then sang a pada, but it was in praise of Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa and not of Akbar. When Akbar had heard the pada, he thought to himself, "Would Sūradāsajī sing of my fame? If he were at all greedy, then he would have sung of my majesty. But, since he is a man who belongs to Parameshvara² he will sing only of the fame of Parameshvara."

¹See the discussion given on pp. 40-41 of Part I above of the importance that Vallabhā-cārya, like almost all Vaiṣṇava leaders, placed on association with virtuous—in the *bhakti-mārgi* sense—people.

2"Parameshvara" means "the Highest God" or "the Supreme God"

In the last foot of his poem, Sūradāsa had sung, "Sūradāsa says that his eyes are dying of thirst for darshana (of Shrī Krsna)."

With this last foot in mind, the Emperor said to Sūradāsa, "Sūradāsa, you have no eyes, so how can you say that your eyes are dying of thirst?"

Sūradāsa answered, "What do you know about this matter? Anyone can have ordinary eyes, but only those eyes which are always with Bhagavān can thirst for darshana of Bhagavān. Every moment such eyes taste of the raza of the bliss of the divine form (svarūpa) and yet such eyes are always dying of thirst for sight of that form."

When Akbar had heard this, he said, "Such eyes that are near Parameshvara both see him and do not see him."

The Emperor Akbar wanted to conciliate Sūradāsa by giving him a couple of villages and great wealth, but Sūradāsa would accept nothing.

Then Akbar said to Sūradāsajī, "Bābā Sāhib! Give me a command."

Sūradāsa answered, "From now on, never again summon me and never try to meet me again."

| Bhāvaprakāsha

The Emperor Akbar was a man with great spiritual insight. He became a mleccha¹ after he had fallen from a spiritually advanced state. In a previous birth Akbar was a young man in the stage of Brahmacarya² whose name was Bālamukunda. One day, Bālamukunda drank some milk without straining it and a hair of a cow which was in the milk passed into his stomach. For this crime Bālamukunda became the mleccha Akbar.]

Then Akbar paid homage to Sūradāsa and allowed him to go.

Prasanga 43

Sūradāsa went back to the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Akbar went to Āgrā and made a search for padas composed by Sūradāsa and he bought padas by Sūradāsa for gold and silver coins. He read these padas after they had been translated into Persian. Out of greed for gold coins, Pandita Kavīshvara composed verses which he passed off as having been composed by Sūradāsa. When one of these padas was brought to Akbar, Akbar said, "This pada is not by Sūradāsa; it is a forgery made for money."

¹A mleccha is a non-Hindu.

²For a description of the Brahmacaryāshrama, see p. 31 of Part I above.

³ Prasanga 4, like prasangas 6-10 of Harirāyajī's version of Sūradāsa's Vārtā, was not in the original collection of prasangas about Sūradāsa made by Gokulanātha. Harirāyajī evidently recovered these prasangas from oral traditions in the Sampradāya, See: Premanārāyana Tandana, Sūradāsa kī Vārtā, pp. 60, 65, 71, 74, 84, 87.

Paṇḍita Kavīshvara said, "What makes you think that Sūradāsa did not compose this pada; it certainly is his pada."

The Emperor Akbar had one of Sūradāsa's padas written down on a piece of paper and then he took it and Pandita Kavīshvara's bogus pada and put them both into a jar of water. As he did so he said, "Ishvara will judge which one of these two padas is really by Sūradāsa."

When the two pieces of paper were taken out of the water, it was found that the one on which Sūradāsa's pada was written was not even damp while the paper on which the pada forged by Pandita Kavīshvara was written was soaked.

|Bhāvaprakāsha

Similarly, whoever sings the *padas* composed by those *Bhagavadiyas* who have found Bhagavān will get across *samsāra*, but whoever sings the poetry composed by clever, *laukika* men will drown in *samsāra*.]

Thus Pandita Kavīshvara was completely put to shame and had to hang down his head and slink off to his house. Sūradāsa was a *Bhagavadīya* who had received the entire mercy of Shrī Ācāryajī.

Prasanga 5

Sūradāsajī performed the sevā of singing kīrtana before Shrī Nāthajī for a long time. Whenever the time came for Kumbhanadāsajī or Paramānandadāsajī to sing kīrtana, then Sūradāsajī used to go to Gokula¹ to have darshana of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. One day, Sūradāsajī came to Gokula and began to sing many padas of bālalīlā.² When Shrī Gusāṇijī heard these padas, he became very happy. Then Shrī Gusāṇijī himself composed a kīrtana in Sanskrit on the subject of the cradle of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and taught it to Sūradāsa; when Shrī Navanītapriyajī was placed in his cradle, Sūradāsa sang the cradle-kīrtana composed by Shrī Gusāṇijī. Then Sūradāsa composed several padas in Braj Bhāṣā on the pattern of Shrī Gusāṇijī's pada and sang them. When Sūradāsajī had sung many padas on the theme of bālalīlā before Shrī Navanītapriyajī, Shrī Giri-

¹When the events narrated in this *prasanga* occurred, Vittalanāthajī had settled his family in Gokula on land granted to him by the Emperor Akbar.

²Bālalīlā is the lilā that Shrī Kṛṣṇa performed as a child (bāla) in Braj. Padas of the bālalīlā are especially suited to Shrī Navanitapriyajī, which is a svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa as a child The vātsalya bhāva in which the bhakta devotes himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa as if Shrī Kṛṣṇa were his child is the primary bhāva of the bhakti of the Vallabha Sampradāya. Bālalīlā is of utmost importance for the experiencing of the vātsalya bhāva.

3"Gusāṇiji" (which is the Braj Bhāṣā form of the Sanskrit title "Gosvāmī," which means "Master of Cows" or "Master of the Senses"—see p. 93 of Part I above) is the title taken by Vallabhācārya's younger son Viṭṭhalanātha. The title was also used in the Caitanya Sampradāya and may have been borrowed by Viṭṭalanātha from that sect.

dharajī, the other sons of Shrī Gusānjīj, and Shrī Gusānjīj himself said: "Sūradāsajī praises Shrī Navanītapriyajī in his kirtanas just as we adorn Shrī Navanītapriyajī with beautiful garments for the darshana periods." In this way, much favour was shown to Sūradāsa.

Prasanga 6

When Shrī Gusānījī left Gokula for the temple of Shrī Nathajī. Sūradāsa intended to go with him. But Shrī Giridharajī and the other sons of Shrī Gusānījī detained him by persuading him that he should stay and recite kirtana for Shrī Navanītapriyajī for at least two more days. Then, Shrī Govindarāyajī, Shrī Bālakṛṣṇajī, and Shrī Gokulanāthajī² all said to Shrī Giridharajī: "Sūradāsajī always describes just the kind of clothes and jewels that we give to Shrī Navanītapriyajī at the shṛṅgāra darshana period.3 Some day, we should perform a strange and unusual shṛṅgāra without letting Sūradāsa know what we intend to do; then we can see what kind of kirtana Sūradāsa will sing."

Giridharajī answered, "Sūradāsajī is a *Bhagavadīya* who experiences the bliss (ānanda) of the divine svarūpa in his heart. Sūradāsa will be able to compose and sing a kīrtana that will describe any shrngāra that you will be able to put on. You should not test a *Bhagavadīya* (like Sūradāsajī)."

Giridharajī's brothers said to him, "We realize all this; we don't intend to be insolent."

Then Giridharajī said, "In the morning when we perform the shringāra of Shrī Navanītapriyajī we will perform an extraordinary shringāra."

The next morning, Shrī Giridharajī and his three brothers went together to the temple of Shrī Navanītapriyajī and bathed in preparation for sevā; then, they awakened Shrī Navanītapriyajī and made the first food offering of the day. Then they bathed and began to perform the shrīngāra. The weather at that season was very hot, so they did not put any clothing on Shrī Navanītapriyajī. They put a band of pearls around his arm, two strands of pearls around his head, a belt of small bells around his waist, a necklace all of pearls on his neck, a tilaka mark on his forehead, a ring in his nose, an earring in his ear, and nothing else.

Meanwhile, Sūradāsajī had an experience of the divine in his heart that made him think to himself: "Today, a very wonderful shringāra has been prepared for Shrī Navanītapriyajī; it is a shringāra the like of which

^{*}Giridhara (A.D. 1541–1621) was the eldest son of Vitthalanātha. For details of his life, see: Mītala, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa, pp. 281–283.

²Govindarāya, Bālakṛṣṇa, and Gokulanātha were the second, third, and fourth sons, respectively, of Vittalanātha. See: Mītala, *Braj ke Dharma*..., pp. 285, 287, 288 - 292.

³Shṛṇgāra darshana, when the divine svarūpa is dressed, is the second darshana period of the day.

⁴This would be the mangala darshana period.

I have never seen nor heard. Shrī Navanītapriyajī is wearing only pearls and no clothes at all. Therefore, I must also sing wonderful *kirtanas* today."

Then the shṛṅgāra darshana began and Shrī Giridharajī called Sūradasajī to come to the temple to have darshana and to sing kirtana. Sūradāsajī then sang a kirtana to Shrī Navanītapriyajī that described him just as he was: Shrī Giridharajī and his brothers felt great delight when they had heard this kīrtana. They asked Sūradāsa how he had been able to compose such a kirtana and he answered that he had composed an extraordinary kirtana to suit the extraordinary shṛṅgāra. The four brothers were very pleased with Sūradāsa when they had heard his answer. Sūradāsajī was a Bhagavadīya who had received the full favour of Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Ācāryajī caused him to experience Shrī Ṭhākurajī continually in his heart.

When Giridharajī returned to the temple of Shrī Nāthajī on Govardhana Hill, he took Sūradāsajī with him. Shrī Giridharajī told Shrī Gusānījī how his younger brothers had wanted a very unusual *shṛṅgāra* of Shrī Navanītapriyajī to be performed and how Sūradāsajī had had divine experience in his heart and had sung a marvellous *kīrtana* at that *darshana*.

Shrī Gusānījī then said to Shrī Giridharajī: "Don't you know about Sūradāsajī? He is the ship of the *Puṣṭimārga*¹ and he experiences the *līlā* of Bhagavān every hour of the day. Sūradāsajī has received the mercy of Shrī Ācāryajī."

Prasanga 7

The son of a *Brajvāsī*² always stayed near Sūradāsa and did his work for him. The boy's name was Gopāla. One day, when he had sat down to take *prasāda*³ Sūradāsa told Gopāla to go and fill his water-pot with water. Gopāla assured Sūradāsajī that it would be all right for him to start eating the *prasāda* since he would be right back with the water. When Gopāla went to get some cow dung, before going to fill the water-pot, 4 he met a group of Vaisnavas and began talking with them. Soon,

'By calling Sūradāsa "the ship of the Puştimārga" Shrī Gusāṇiji meant that Sūradāsa was loaded with a great supply of knowledge of the doctrines of the Puştimārga, just as a ship may be loaded with a great cargo of merchandise. See: PRĒMANĀRĀYAŅA ṬAŅDANA, Sūradāsa ki Vārtā, p. 67.

A Brajvāsi is a native of the Braj region; a person who is called a Brajvāsi in the literature of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya is assumed to be a Vaisnava.

³Prasāda is the food which has been offered to a deity and is then eaten by the bhaktas of that deity. The taking of prasāda is a characteristic of Vaisnava worship. By eating the food which has been offered to one's deity, one shows his humility before that deity and his dependence upon that deity.

⁴Cow dung would be necessary to purify the area in which Sūradāsa was eating the prasāda. Cow dung is one of the purest substances for Vaiṣṇavas, as well as for most other orthodox Hindus.

he had forgotten all about Sūradāsajī's water. Meanwhile, Sūradāsa had begun to eat the *prasāda*. While eating, a piece of the food stuck in Sūradāsa's throat and he began groping around with his left hand for his water-pot. With the food in his throat stopping all speech and being unable to find his water-pot, Sūradāsa fell into panic. At just that moment, Shrī Nāthajī came to Sūradāsa and gave him his own water-pitcher. Sūradāsajī drank some water from the pitcher.

In the meantime, Gopāla had suddenly remembered that he had not gotten the water and quickly ran back to Sūradāsajī. When he discovered that Sūradāsajī had finished the food, he was astonished and said: "Sūradāsajī, where did you get the water to drink with the prasāda? I went to get some cow dung and got into a conversation with some Vaiṣṇavas and completely forgot about getting your water."

Sūradāsajī replied, "Why do you use the name 'Gopāla'? Gopāla is one of the names of Shrī Nāthajī. It was he who helped me today. A piece of food was caught in my throat, without water I could not speak, I began to get excited. Then, a pitcher of water came into my hand and, assuming that you had brought it, I drank from it. But now you say that you were not here. Therefore, it must have been the Gopāla who is in the temple [i.e., Shrī Nāthajī] who brought the pitcher of water to me. Now, go and see what the pitcher from which I drank looks like."

Gopāla the Brajvāsī went over to the place where Sūradāsajī had taken the prasāda and saw that the pitcher there was made of gold. He picked up the golden pitcher and went back to tell Sūradāsa about it. When Sūradāsa learned that the pitcher was made of gold, he said to Gopāla the Brajvāsī: "You have done something very bad; you have caused Shrī Ṭhākurajī to go to a lot of trouble. Take the pitcher and return it to Shrī Ṭhākurajī for me. Take good care of the pitcher. When Shrī Gusānījī has awakened from his nap, give the pitcher to him."

Gopāla did just as Sūradāsajī had commanded and went to Shrī Gusānījī with the pitcher and threw himself down before him.

When Shrī Gusānijī saw the golden pitcher, he said: "How did you get that pitcher? It belongs to Shrī Govardhanadhara."

Gopāla answered, "Mahārāja! I have not committed a crime." And then he told Shrī Gusānījī the whole story of the pitcher. When Shrī Gusānījī had heard the account, he immediately bathed, wrapped the pitcher in a cloth, took it to the temple, and offered a drink of water to Shrī Govardhanadhara. As soon as Shrī Gusānījī had done this, he said to Shrī Govardhanadhara: "Today, you have protected Sūradāsa. Without you how would the Vaisnavas be protected?"

In reply to this, Shrī Nāthajī said, "Sūradāsa was in a panic because of a piece of food caught in his throat, so I brought him a pitcher of water."

\Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Nāthajī said, "When Sūradāsa becomes excited, then I get excited too. Whoever is a *Bhagavadīva* is my svarūpa."]¹

l ater, when the doors of the temple were opened for the utthāpana darshana period,² Sūradāsajī came to have darshana. As soon as Shrī Gusāṇijī had made the food offering to Shrī Nāthajī, he said to Sūradāsa, "You have received great favour from Gopāla [i.e., from Shrī Nāthajī] today."

Then Sūradāsajī answered, "Mahārāja! It was by your mercy. What notice would Shrī Nāthajī take of a wicked person like me? But anyone can be accepted by Shrī Nāthajī through the intercession of Shrī Ācāryajī."

Then Shrī Gusānījī said, "You are a great *Bhagavadīya*; where but in a *Bhagavadīya* could such humbleness be found? Thus was Sūradāsajī the recipient of the favour of Shrī Ācārvajī.

Prasanga 8

In the village of Gopālapura [Gopālapura is today called "Jatīpura"],3 which is located below the temple of Shri Nathaji on Govardhana Hill, there lived a certain merchant who was so involved in avarice and the worldly life that he had never had darshana of Shri Nathaji and he had never sought the protection of Shri Gusaniii. Since this merchant's shop was right beneath Shrī Nāthajī's temple, it was passed by the Vaisnavas going to and coming from the darshana periods at Shrī Nāthajī's temple. Each day, before opening his shop, the merchant would ask the first Vaisnava to pass by on his way home from the darshana of Shri Nāthajī to describe the dress and adornment (shrhqāra) of Shrī Nāthajī on that day. Then the merchant would put on the Vaisnava necklace made of tulasi beads, apply the Vaisnava tilaka,5 make the Vaisnava marks on his body, and open his shop. Then, he would hail each group of Vaisnavas to pass by and glorify that day's darshana before them. He would eloquently discuss the shringara of Shri Nathaji with the Vaisnavas and tell them how alaukika the darshana had been. The merchant did all this as sham; in fact, he never had been to have darshana of Shrī Nāthajī. When he gave such accounts of the love of Shrī Nāthajī, the Vaisnavas were very pleased and considered the merchant to be a true Vaisnava.

At the highest level of *Puşţimārgi bhakti*. Shrī Kṛṣṇa is as devoted to his *bhaktas* as they are to him. This is the state enjoyed by those *bhaktas* who are companions of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. See p. 89 of Part I above.

²See p. 49 of Part I above.

3Mītala, Braj kā Sānskrtika Itihāsa, pp. 111-112.

4That is, he had never asked Shri Gusaniji to initiate him into the Vallabha Sampradāya.

⁵A tilaka is a mark, which varies in form according to sect, which religious Hindus put on the forehead. The tilaka used by members of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya consists of two long parallel lines of red that meet in a semi-circle at the root of the nose. A red dot is placed just above the semi-circle.

They used to buy things at his shop. In this way, the Vaisnavas were deceived by the merchant's hypocrisy. The merchant made a great deal of money, but he spent as little as possible. Acting in this way, the merchant reached the age of sixty.

One day, this merchant said to Sūradāsajī, "Sūradāsajī, see how beautiful the *shṛṇgāra* was today. But, you never buy anything from my shop; in fact, you never even come into my store. What sin have I done that keeps such a virtuous Vaiṣṇava as you from shopping in my store? This shop is yours. I am the servant of you Vaiṣṇavas; please have mercy on me."

While listening to the merchant talk on in this manner, Sūradāsa thought to himself, "Look how sweetly this shopkeeper talks. I must expose his greedy cunning to those who have been tricked by him. This merchant has never had darshana of Shrī Nāthajī, but I may be able to get him to the darshana. I may even be able to make a Vaiṣṇava of him."

Then Sūradāsa said aloud to the merchant, "In your entire lifetime you have never had *darshana* of Shrī Nāthajī and you are not a Vaiṣṇava. That is the reason I have never come to your shop. You ought to tell the truth and admit that you have never had *darshana* of Shrī Nāthajī."

The shopkeeper became quite abashed when he had heard the words of Sūradāsa; he replied, "Sūradāsajī, please don't say such things in front of anyone. I have never had darshana because, if I were to close my shop to go to darshana, the Vaiṣṇavas who are my customers would go to other shops to do business. If that should happen, how would I be able to eat? Furthermore, I have no man who will come and tell me when the darshana is about to begin so that I might run up the hill to have darshana."

"If I come and tell you when the darshana is about to begin," said Sūradāsa, "then will you come?"

The merchant answered, "Yes, if you will come and tell me when it is time for darshana, I will come. I want very much to have darshana."

Sūradāsa said that he would come to get the merchant at the utthāpana darshana period. When the hour of the utthāpana darshana had arrived and the temple conch had sounded, Sūradāsa came to the merchant and told him that it was time for him to come to darshana. The merchant, however, told Sūradāsa that he could not come right then because it was time for the village people to come to shop. He asked Sūradāsa to tell him when the temple doors were opened for the next darshana period, the period of the bhoga darshana. Sūradāsa went up to the temple and had darshana and sang kīrtanas. At the time of the bhoga darshana Sūradāsa again went down to get the merchant. But the merchant said that he could not go at that time either because it was at that time that the cows were driven in from the pasture. If he were not in his shop, he said, the cows would come and eat all of the grain he had for sale. He asked

Sūradāsa to come back for him at the time of the sandhvāratī darshana.

When Sūradāsa came to tell the merchant that it was time for the sandhyāratī darshana, the merchant said, "Sūradāsajī, you have gone to a great deal of trouble for me today; however, it is now time to light the household lamps. They say that Lakṣmī¹ comes around at this time of evening; she will not come to a house in which there is no lighted lamp. Besides, what if someone should steal grain from my shop because it is unlighted. I will have darshana early tomorrow morning at the mangalā darshana period. Please come and get me then; I will wait until after I have had darshana to open my store. I have caused you to run back and forth a good deal today."

Sūradāsajī then went back up to have darshana of Shrī Nāthajī. Later, when it was time for the shayana darshana--the last darshana period of the day--Sūradāsa sang kirtana before Shrī Nāthajī. At dawn on the next day, Sūradāsa got up, bathed, and went down to tell the merchant that the time for darshana had come. But the merchant said that he must sweep the store and prepare to open. For, he said, if, at the time when the first sale of the day should be made, any customer should go away without buying, then there would be no business the rest of the day. He asked Sūradāsa to come back at the time of the shringāra darshana and he promised that he would close his shop and go to that darshana. Sūradāsajī went to the mangalā darshana. When the time came for the shrngāra darshana, Sūradāsajī went back to the merchant's shop. The merchant then said to him: "I have not yet made a good sale today and the cattle are roaming around in the street. However, I will definitely come to the rājabhoga darshana. Since vesterday, you have put yourself to great inconvenience on my account. You are a great Bhagavadiva."

Sūradāsajī went and had darshana of the shṛṇgāra of Shrī Nāthajī and sang kirtanas. At the time of the rājabhoga darshana Sūradāsa went to the merchant and asked him to come. But the merchant said, "How can I leave my shop at this time? This is the time of day for meeting people and making sales. The Vaiṣṇavas will be coming down from the hill after the rājabhoga and all of them will want to buy something. I am too old to rush down the hill back to my shop right after the darshana. I will go to the utthāpana darshana."

In this way, Sūradāsajī wasted three days on the shopkeeper. The merchant was far too greedy to leave his shop to go to darshana. On the fourth day, Sūradāsa bathed and went to the mangalā darshana in the early morning. Sūradāsa considered, on his way to the mangalā darshana,

¹Laksmi, the wife of the god Viṣṇu, is the Hindu goddess who grants material wealth and prosperity. See: ALAIN DANIELOU, *Hindu Polytheism* (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), pp. 261-262.

how the merchant had procrastinated for three days and had not once had darshana in all that time. Sūradāsa decided that he would have to use fear to make the merchant go to the darshana. Sūradāsajī had, by then, reached the merchant's shop. He stopped and said to the merchant, "I have spent three days going back and forth between your shop and the temple, but you have never come to the darshana. Now you must come."

The merchant answered, "After I have made my first sale for today, I will come to the shringāra darshana."

Sūradāsa said to the merchant, "I will tell all of the Vaiṣṇavas that you are a fraud, that you have never had darshana, and that you are most certainly not a Vaiṣṇava. And if some Vaiṣṇavas still do business with you, I will compose verses about your perversity and I will sing them to the Vaiṣṇavas." Then, Sūradāsa sang a sample of the kind of verse he would sing about the merchant in front of the Vaiṣṇavas.

When the merchant had heard this sample verse, he became greatly afraid and fell at Sūradāsa's feet and begged him not to lampoon him in his poetry. He even promised to go at once to darshana with Sūradāsa. The two went together to the temple on Govardhana Hill. When the doors were opened for the mangalā darshana, Sūradāsajī said to Shrī Nāthajī: "Mahārāja! This shopkeeper is a daivi jīva; please charm his heart now and grant him deliverance (uddhāra). He lives under your banner."

Shrī Nāthajī answered, "If he lives near me, does he know me? One who has the favour of all *Bhagavadīyas* can find me."

| Bhāvaprakāsha

There are great numbers of *jivas* living in the Gangā and Yamunā Rivers, but are they all spiritually successful? All of the flies, mosquitos, and insects are *jivas* belonging to Shrī Prabhu, but are

For discussion of the place of the *daivi jivas* in Vallabhācārya's thought, see Part I above, pp. 71-73.

²See p. 61 of Part I above.

³A banner is flown over Vaisṇava tamples that contain a divine image or svarūpa. Since the merchant's shop was located right below Shrī Nāthajī's temple, it was under Shrī Nāthajī's banner (dhvajā).

⁴According to the doctrines of Vallabhācārya's Puṣṭimārga, Shrī Kṛṣṇa - as Shrī Nāthajī or any of the other divine svarūpas—feels a love for his perfect bhaktas that is as strong as the love that they feel for him. As a result, Shrī Kṛṣṇa and his bhaktas need each other equally and are in each other's control; these perfect bhaktas are, thus, able to talk with Shrī Kṛṣṇa and are able to engage in activities with him. All of this direct speech and action with the divine takes place on the alaukika plane and is imperceptible to those who are still bound by worldly life. See prasaṅga 7 on pp. 125-127 above. See also: Premanārā-Yaṇa Ṭaṇana Asara Kāra p. 72. Bhai Manilal C. Parekh, Sri Vallabhacharya Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), pp. 320-321.

all of them successful? Whoever associates with *Bhagavadiyas* will find spiritual satisfaction. He will reach Shrī Prabhu. *Dāsabhāva*, which leads to the divine favour, arises from association with *Bhagavadīyas*.]¹

The Shrī Nāthajī gave that shopkeeper such a wonderful darshana that his heart was enraptured. When the darshana period was over, the merchant seized Sūradāsajī's feet and begged, "Mahārāja! I have spent my whole life in vain piling up wealth. Now I want to spend the money that I have hoarded on whatever you command me to buy. I want to become a Vaisṇava; help me to become a sevaka of Shrī Gusāṇijī."

Sūradāsajī had the merchant go and bathe and then come back—without touching anyone—and sit down. When Shrī Gusānījī had finished the shrngāra, Sūradāsa asked him to take the merchant into his protection.

Shrī Gusānījī said, "Sūradāsajī, you have won over a sixty-year old fool. If it were not for you, this shopkeeper would have spent his whole life uselessly."

Then Shrī Gusāṇijī summoned the merchant and seated him in the presence of Shrī Nāthajī. Then he gave him the divine name and initiated him by means of the *Brahmasambandha* rite. In this manner, that merchant's thought became pure and, ever after, he attended all of the *darshana* periods. He gave many presents to Shrī Gusāṇijī for Shrī Nāthajī and he had clothing, jewellery, and food purchased for Shrī Nāthajī. One day, the merchant said to Sūradāsajī, "Sūradāsajī, it was by your favour that I obtained *darshana* of Shrī Govardhananā hajī and became a Vaiṣṇava. Please have mercy on me again so that trabi. might accept me in my present life and that the happiness and sorrow of *samsāra* might no longer vex me."

Sūradāsajī composed a pada and taught it to the merchant. That merchant became completely absorbed in bhakti. He set aside all fondness for laukika things. Knowledge, freedom from worldly desires (vairāgya), and, above all, bhakti came to him. He was steadfastly attached (āsakta) to the lotus-feet of Shrī Nāthajī and he had experience of the bliss of the divine svarūpa. He was drowned in rasa. Thus, even a greedy merchant, through association with Sūradāsajī, found spiritual success. Sūradāsajī was an outstanding Bhagavadīya.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

This merchant was originally a daivi jiva. He was actually a sakhi (female companion) of Shrī Lalitājī named "Virajā" in the eternal līlā. He experienced līlā because of his association with Sūradāsa. Association with Bhagavadīyas is of primary importance.]

¹For discussion of dāsabhāva, see p. 88 of Part I above.

Prasanga 9

One time, Paramānandadāsa and ten or fifteen other Vaiṣṇavas all came to Govardhana Hill from Gokula in order to visit Sūradāsajī and to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. After they had attended the shayana darshana, they all went to see Sūradāsajī. Sūradāsajī showed great respect and veneration to all of those Vaiṣṇavas and sang a kirtana for them. Then, Sūradāsajī asked the Vaiṣṇavas to favour him by asking him to do something for them. The Vaiṣṇavas requested him to sing about the nature of love, about the affection of Shrī Ṭhākurajī, and about the final essence of being, yoga, and knowledge. Sūradāsa sang a kirtana about these things and, in this way, he instructed the Vaiṣṇavas. All of the Vaiṣṇavas were delighted; they realized that the divine grace had fallen upon Sūradāsajī. When morning came, they had darshana of Shrī Nāthajī and, after taking leave of Sūradāsa, returned to Gokula. Sūradāsajī was a Bhagavadīva who had received great favour from Shrī Ācāryajī.

Prasanga 10

In this way, Sūradāsajī did sevā for Bhagavān for many days. Eventually, the time came when Sūradāsajī knew that Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa, by his own divine will $(icch\bar{a})$, had summoned him [to leave the earth and enter the eternal $lil\bar{a}$].

[Bhāvaprakāsha

It is the sacred rule of Prabhu that, when he himself has decided to leave Vaikuntha: and manifest himself on earth, he first of all manifests on earth his own bhaktas who dwell in Vaikuntha. Then, when he has become manifest on earth, he can perform lilā with his bhaktas. After a time, he causes these bhaktas to become unmanifest (tiro-dhāna) on earth (jagat) and to return to the performance of lilā in Vaikuntha. In just this way banda, Yashodā, the gopis, the sakhās, Vasudeva, Devakī, and the Yādavas+ all became manifest on earth before Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa himself. Then Bhagavān himself became manifest. After Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa had finished his lilā on earth, he made the laukika (worldly) lilā of the Yādavas--by means of the iron mace-- unmanifest. Shrī Kṛṣṇa did not, however, show the

⁴Sec pp. 61, 70 of Part I above.

Here "Vaikuntha" means all of the heavenly plane including Goloka on which Shri Kṛṣṇa dwells with his *bhak tax*. See p. 79 of Part I above.

[&]quot;Tirodhāna" is used for "tirobhāva," see p. 66 above in Part I.

⁴The Yādavas were the members of the lineage group or tribe to which Shri Kṛṣṇa belonged during his earthly *lilā*.

⁵ The Yādavas and the earthly body of Shrī Kṛṣṇa were destroyed by an iron mace that had come into being because of a Brāhmaṇa's curse. For the full story see *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* XI 1 24 and XI:30:1 50, translated into English in Vyasa, V. pp. 139-141 and 264-269.

concealment (i.e., the finishing) of his laukika lilā to King Nanda, Yashodā, or the gopis. Both Shrī Ācāryajī and Shrī Gusānījī are manifestations of Shrī Kṛṣṇa the Supreme Being. Shrī Kṛṣṇa made manifest the Vaiṣṇavas who are associated with līlā. [At the time when Sūradāsajī felt the call of Bhagavān to leave the worldly līlā], Shrī Ācāryajī had already made his līlā unmanifest and Shrī Gusānījī was going to do the same shortly. Before Shrī Gusānījī could leave the earthly līlā, the Bhagavadīyas had to be established in the eternal [i.e., alaukika] līlā. Shrī Gusānījī would not show the concealment of his laukika līlā to the Bhagavadīyas. That is why Shrī Gusānījī, so that he could finish his earthly līlā unobserved, told his follower Cācā Harivaṇsajī to go to Gujarāt. It was by the icchā of Shrī Govardhanadhara that Sūradāsajī be summoned into the eternal līlā.]

Sūradāsajī thought to himself, "I have vowed to produce 125,000 kirtanas, but I have actually finished only 100,000 kirtanas; before I can leave this earthly body, I must, with the help of Bhagavān, compose 25,000 more kirtanas."

At just that moment, Shrī Govardhananāthajī appeared and gave darshana to Sūradāsa and said: "Sūradāsajī! You have desired to compose 125,000 kīrtanas. Let your desire be fulfilled. I have completed 25,000 kīrtanas for you. Look in the collection of your kīrtanas."

Then Sūradāsa asked a Vaisṇava to look at his collected kirtanas. The Vaiṣṇava looked at the collected kirtanas and said that, in the midst of the kīrtanas, there were 25,000 kirtanas, composed about all of the divine $lil\bar{a}s$, which carried the signature line "Sūrashyāma" and that when he had looked at the collection of kirtanas on the previous day, there had been no kirtanas with the signature Sūrashyāma.

Sūradāsa went immediately and fell before Shrī Nāthajī, saying: "All of my wishes have been granted by your mercy. Now you must command me to do something for you."

Shrī Govardhananāthajī said to Sūradāsa, "Enter into my *līlā* and feel the *rasa* of *līlā*." As soon as Shrī Nāthajī had given this order, he vanished.

Then, Sūradāsajī prostrated himself before Shrī Nāthajī and felt very glad in his heart. Two ordinary Vaiṣṇavas who were standing near Sūradāsa were completely unaware that Shrī Ṭhākurajī had appeared

The passage means that Shrī Ācāryajī had already died and Shrī Gusānījī was to die in the near future.

⁻Cācā Harivansaji was an important follower of Shrī Gusāniji who was one of the managers of the temples belonging to Shrī Gusāniji in Gokula. See: Mītata, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa, p. 274.

^{3&}quot;Shyāma" (the Dark One) is one of the names of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

before Sūradāsajī and given him a command. One can certainly not experience the svarūpa of Shrī Thākurajī unless he is a Bhagavadiya.

Prasanga 11

Sūradāsajī thought to himself about the divine summons to enter the eternal lilā and went to Parāsolī.¹ Parāsolī is an alaukika place; it was at Parāsolī that Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa performed the entire rāsa līlā—all the līlās of which are described in the "Rāsapañcādhyāī"²—during the period of night called "Brahmarātra" and it was from the lake called "Candrasarovara", which is at the village of Parāsolī, that the moon was manifested.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

There are eight sakhās of Shrī Krsna and there are also eight gateways into Giriraia (i.e., into Govardhana Hill); each of the sakhās is in charge of one of these gates. When the time has come for each of these sakhās to leave the earthly līlā, then each abandons his earthly (i.e., laukika) body at his own gateway and assumes there his alaukika body and enters into the eternal lila.3 The first of these gateways is at Govinda Kunda ("kunda" means pool), which faces Parāsolī-Candrasarovara; Sūradāsajī is in charge of the sevā there. The second gateway is at Apsarā Kunda; Chītasvāmī is in charge of sevā there.4 The third gateway is at Surabhī Kunda; Paramānandadāsa is in charge of sevā there. The fourth gateway is near the Kadamakhandī of Govindasvāmī; Govindasvāmī⁵ is in charge of sevā there. The fifth is near Rudra Kunda; Caturbhujadāsa6 is in charge of sevā there. The sixth gateway is at Bilachū, a forest on the road to the place of the rasa lila; Krsnadasa the Adhikari is in charge of the seva there. The seventh gateway is near the Mānasī Gangā: Nandadāsa⁷ is in charge of the sevā there. The eighth gateway faces Anyora, where the village of Jamunāvatau is located; Kumbhanadāsa is in charge of sevā there. Thus, the eternal nocturnal līlā of the grove [nikunja līlā]*

¹For more information on the village of Parāsolī see: Mītala, Braj kā Sānskṛtika Itihāsa, pp. 109-110. F. S. Growse, Mathurā: A District Memoir (Allāhābād: N. W. Provinces and Oudh Govt. Press, 1883), p. 83.

²The "Rāsapañcādhyāi" is the name given to five chapters (from 29 to 33) of the tenth skandha of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa: in these five chapters Shrī Kṛṣṇa's rāsa lilā with the gopis of Braj is described. See: YYASA, IV, pp. 119-139.

³CIMMAŅALĀLA HARISHAŅKARAJĪ, *Puṣṭimārgopadeshikā*, trans. into Hindī by Shrī-MĀDHAVA SHARMĀ (Vārāṇasi: Shrimādhava Sharmā, 1941), pp. 146-147.

```
4See pp. 34 -35 in Part I above.
```

⁵¹bid.

^{6/}hid.

^{7/}bid.

⁸See p. 12 in Part I above.

is inside of Girirāja. There are eight gateways to the nikunja līlā and at each gateway there is a sakhā. Each sakhā has a sakhī form and so is able to experience the līlā and perform sevā continually. Therefore, Sūradāsa had his residence at Parāsolī.]

Sūradāsajī fell down in homage to the banner of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, faced towards the banner, and slept. Then these thoughts came into his mind: "Shrī Ācāryajī and Shrī Gusānījī have shown great favour to me and have caused me to experience in this earthly body the *līlā* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. It would be very fortunate for me if Shrī Gusānījī would have mercy on me and grant me darshana. Shrī Gusānījī's name is "Great River of Mercy" and so he satisfies the desire of a bhakta and will always do so."

While Sūradāsa was thinking about Shrī Gusānījī in the above manner, Shrī Gusānījī was conducting the shṛṅgāra of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. That day, Shrī Gusānījī noticed that Sūradāsa was not sitting in his usual place singing kīrtana. When Shrī Gusānījī asked the sevakas where Sūradāsajī was, one of them said that Sūradāsajī, as soon as he had had darshana at the maṅgalā darshana period and had bid the sevakas to remember Bhagavān, had gone off towards Parāsolī. Then Shrī Gusānījī knew that the icchā of Bhagavān had called Sūradāsajī to Parāsolī. Shrī Gusānījī commanded all the Vaiṣṇavas: "'The ship of the Puṣṭimārga' is departing; whoever needs something from that ship should go now for it. Go there to Parāsolī to see Sūradāsajī." Shrī Gusānījī continued to say that he himself would go to see Sūradāsajī after the rājabhoga darshana. Then all of the Vaisnavas went to Sūradāsajī.

|Bhāvaprakāsha

The meaning of "ship" (when Shrī Gusānījī called Sūradāsajī the Ship of the *Puṣṭimārga*) is as follows: Just as a ship is loaded with many kinds of merchandise, so Sūradāsajī's heart is filled with all kinds of alaukika things.]²

Sūradāsajī, meanwhile, had given up speech in order to fix all of his attention on Shrī Gusānjīj and on Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Shrī Gusānjīj sent fifteen Brajvāsīs to bring back news of Sūradāsajī. The Brajvāsīs came back and reported to him that Sūradasajī was not talking to anyone. As soon as the rājabhoga darshana was over, Shrī Gusānjīj went to Parāsolī to see Sūradāsajī; with Shrī Gusānjīj were Rāmadāsa, Kumbhanadāsa, Govindasvāmī, Caturbhujadāsa, and other Vaiṣnavas. Shrī Gusānjīj saw that Sūradāsajī was unconscious and was not aware of

¹See pp. 106-107 above.

²See p. 125 above.

anybody. Shrī Gusāṇijī took Sūradāsa's hand and said "Sūradāsajī! Howare you?"

Sūradāsajī immediately got up and then threw himself down before Shrī Gusānījī and said: "Bābā! Have you come? I did not see you. You have given me darshana out of your great mercy. I was thinking of you." Then Sūradāsajī sang padas before Shrī Gusānījī.

Shrī Gusānījī said: "Shrī Ṭhākurajī gives humbleness like that expressed in Sūradāsajī's padas to his Bhagavadīyas. Such a humble Bhagavadīya is the recipient of the rasa of humility and has received the supreme divine favour."

Caturbhujadāsa, who was standing with all the other Vaiṣṇavas beside Shrī Gusāṇijī, said: "Sūradāsajī is the foremost *Bhagavadīya* and he has composed at least a hundred thousand *padas* for Shrī Ṭhākurajī. But Sūradāsajī has never described the glory of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahā-prabhu."

When Sūradāsajī had heard this, he said: "I have described all the glory of Shrī Ācāryajī; if I see something that is separate, then I make it separate (i.e., Sūradāsajī did not consider Shrī Ācāryajī's glory to be different from the glory of Bhagavān). But, since you asked me, I will compose a kīrtāna for you which contains all kīrtānas in its essence." Then, Sūradāsajī sang the following kīrtāna:

Without firm trust in Vallabhācārya's feet! which have toenails with moon-like splendour, everything in the world becomes dark;

There is no other means of deliverance (sādhana) fit to be chosen in this Kali Yuga, so Sūra says, 'I am an unsalaried servant who is blind to any distinction.'

| Bhāvaprakāsha

In the above kīrtana Sūradāsajī explains the bhāva that is in his heart. By "firm trust" Sūradāsajī means that the jīva must firmly believe in the protection of the feet of Vallabhācāry a just as he himself is firm in his reliance on those feet. He goes on to say that all ten toenails on Shrī Ācāryajī's feet, which are like lotuses, have a light like toenails that are like alaukika jewels; without these toenails everything in all three worlds (the heavenly worlds, earth, and the lower worlds) would seem dark. Furthermore, Sūradāsajī says that, except for refuge in the feet of Shrī Ācāryajī, there is no effective means for escape from this Kali Yuga. Therefore, why, asks Sūradāsajī,

^{&#}x27;The Braj Bhāṣā phrase is: caranan kerau Shrī Vallabha, "the feet of Shrī Vallabha"; "Shrī Vallabha" is both the name of Vallabhācārya and one of the titles of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. Sec. Harirāyajī, p. 412.

should I see any difference between Shri Govardhanadhara and Shrī Ācārvaiī? Only an ignorant person sees any difference between Shrī Krsna and Shrī Svāminījī; similarly, only an ignorant person sees any difference between Shri Govardhanadhara and Shri Ācāryaji. Sūradāsajī next savs that he is Shrī Ācārvajī's unpaid servant. By "unpaid servant" he means that he serves only out of bhava and not for material benefit. Besides, in the Bhagavata Purana the gopis are called "unsalaried servants". An unsalaried servant is, of course, an alaukika servant since all laukika (wordly) servants demand money. Whoever does devotion to Prabhu in expectation of divinely-granted rewards is a salaried servant and does not practise the best bhakti. Bhakti that is done without desire for reward is the best bhakti and one who does this kind of *bhakti* is called an *alaukika* servant. So it was that Shrī Bhagavān said in the Bhāgavata Purāna: "Let your worship always be done without desire for receiving anything in return from me; then I will always remain your debtor." This kind of attitude is characteristic of unsalaried servants.² So Sūradāsaiī sang that pada. In this one kīrtana is the essence of all of Sūradāsaii's hundred-thousand kīrtanas.]

Then Caturbhujadāsa was very pleased and Shrī Gusānījī and all of the Vaiṣṇavas said that Sūradāsajī had great alaukika bhāva in his heart. It was for that reason that Shrī Ācāryajī called Sūradāsajī "Ocean". Just as the ocean is unfathomable, so is the heart of Sūradāsajī unfathomable. Then, Caturbhujadāsa said: "Sūradāsajī! No one but you can express alaukika bhāva. Now, please summarize for us the nature of Shrī Ācāryajī's Puṣṭibhaktimārga and tell us in what manner the rasa of the Puṣṭimārga may be experienced. Sūradāsajī then sang a pada in answer to the request of Caturbhujadāsa.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

In the pada which he sang for Caturbhujadāsa and the other Vaiṣṇavas, Sūradāsa gave the following instruction: One should worship Prabhu with the bhāva that was expressed by the gopīs; this bhāva felt by the gopīs is a variety of sakhī bhāva⁴ through which one may worship Shrī Govardhanadhara. The proficiency (adhikāra) of the sakhīs is in nikuñja līlā. Even ten million kinds of Vedic methods (for achieving spiritual success) are not worth a single kind of sevā.

¹See pp. 90-91 of Part I above.

²See Bhāgavata Purāna X:31:1-9, translated into English in: Vyasa, IV, 129.

³See p. 120 above.

^{*}See pp. 89-90 of Part I above.

⁵See p. 134 above and p. 12 of Part I above.

For example, when the sixteen thousand sages (rsis) were enraptured with delight when they beheld the form of Rāmacandrajī¹ in the Daṇḍaka Forest and wanted Rāmacandrajī to remain there with them. Rāmacandrajī told them that their desires would be satisfied completely when, in future births, they would be born as gopīs in Braj and would fall in love with the divine Kṛṣṇa.

Sūradāsa, in his pada, goes on to say that the proficiency of women is not in the performance of Vedic rites and sacrifices; the primary proficiency (adhikāra) of sakhī bhāva is in the līlā of Shrī Krsna. The rule of the bhaktimārga is opposite to the rule of the Veda. In the Bhagavata Purana the Braibhaktas at one point wore clothes and iewellery that were contrary to the clothes and iewellery that they were supposed to wear. In the eyes of the world, those Braibhaktas would have been considered insane, but, in terms of love and devotion to the divine, they were supreme. Through their love, they had forgotten the world and were called ignorant in the world; in the līlā of Prabhu, however, they were very wise. In the highest bhaktirasa there is no rule of Vedic injunctions. When one has such high love, he will find that Shrī Thākurajī becomes intensely devoted to him in just the same way that Shrī Thākurajī devoted himself to the gopis to the extent that he put himself in their control. True wisdom is the realization that the only control is the control of love and that all else is to be forgotten. This is the bhakti of the Pustimarga and this is the nature of the Pustimarga. This is how Sūradasajī responded to Caturbhujadāsa.]

Then Sūradāsajī fell silent and Caturbhujadāsa and all of the other Vaiṣṇavas gave great thanks to him. They said that Sūradāsajī had received great divine grace. Then, Shrī Gusānījī asked, "Sūradāsajī! Where are your thoughts at this moment?"

In reply, Sūradāsa sang two padas on the subject of the love of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa. Then Sūradāsajī, while meditating on the union of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa, left his laukika body and entered into the eternal līlā. Shrī Gusāṇijī went to Gopālapura. The Vaiṣṇavas gathered together and committed Sūradāsajī's corpse to the flames. Then, they rejoined Shrī Gusāṇijī.

¹Rāmacandrajī was an avatāra of the god Visņu who was on earth before Kṛṣṇa's earthly lifetime. Rāmacandrajī's story is given in the Rāmāyaṇa of Vālmīki. Rāmacandrajī embodies the ideals of established orthodox order and of absolute obedience to social and religious law; consequently, he is nearly always approached through dāsya bhāva only and very rarely through sakhya bhāva, madhura bhāva, or vātsalya bhāva. See: Danielou, pp. 172-175.

²Bhāgavata Purāna X:30: 11-30. See Vyasa, vol. IV, pp. 126-127.

{Bhāvaprakāsha

Sūradāsajī had four names (any one of which may appear in the signature line of a poem composed by him). Shrī Ācāryajī called him "Sūra". Just as a hero (sūra) charges into the front ranks in battle and does not lag behind, so did the bhakti of Sūradāsajī daily rise to greater and greater heights. Therefore, Shri Ācāryajī called him "Sūra". Shrī Gusānījī called him "Sūradāsa". Sūradāsajī never failed in his dasa-bhava. Whenever his experience of divine favour would increase, then his humbleness would also increase. Sūradāsajī never fell into the delusion of egoism. That is why Shrī Gusānījī called him "Sūradāsa" (dāsa means "slave" or "servant").1 The third name applied to Sūradāsajī is "Sūrajadāsa". Sūradāsajī composed seven thousand padas about Shrī Svāminījī (i.e., Rādhā) and in them he described alaukika bhāva. Shrī Svāminījī called him 'Sūraja' (sūraja means "sun") because he illuminated the svarūpa of the divine just as the sun gives light to the world. For that reason, Sūradāsajī was called "Sūrajadāsa." The name "Sūrashyāma" appears in the 25,000 kīrtanas which Shrī Govardhananāthajī composed for Sūradāsajī.² Thus, Sūradāsajī had four names and each of them appeared in his kirtanas.]

Sūradāsajī was always absorbed in mental (mānasī) sevā; therefore, Shrī Ācāryajī did not depend upon him in the establishment of the divine sevā. For Sūradāsajī the experience of mental sevā was the reward of mental sevā. He was always absorbed in līlārasa. The fundamental and most important doctrine of the vārtā of Sūradāsajī is that there is nothing equal to humility and there is no virtue equal to the devotion of oneself to the service of others. Sūradāsajī went to great difficulty for the merchant and it was through Sūradāsajī's efforts that the merchant was accepted by Bhagavān and delivered from worldly ignorance.

Shrī Ācāryajī, Shrī Gusānijī, and all of the Vaiṣṇavas were very pleased with Sūradāsajī. Sūradāsajī had answered those who had come to him with questions; he had given instruction in the way (mārga) of humbleness; he had fixed the minds of all of the Vaiṣṇavas on Prabhu. It is very difficult to become a Bhagavadīya equal to Sūradāsajī. He was the recipient of the grace of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu. There can be no end to the vārtā told about him.

¹See p. 88, Part I above.

²See p. 133 above.

³See p. 51 in Part I above.

¹See pp. 127-131, prasanga 8, above.

The Vārtā of Paramānandadāsa

[The vārtā of Paramānandadāsa¹ opens with a bhāvaprakāsha in which Harirāyajī explains the ādhidaivika identity and the ādhibhautika birth of Paramānandadāsa.]

Now the *bhāva* of the *vārtā* of Paramānandadāsa will be described. Paramānanda Svāmī,² who was a Kanaujiyā Brāhmaṇa from the city of Kanauja,³ was a *sevaka* of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and a member of the *Astachāpa* school of poets.

In līlā, Paramānandadāsajī is the sakhā called Toka, who is one of the eight sakhās of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. In order to participate in the nikunja (grove) līlā, Toka assumes the form of the sakhī named Candrabhāgā. Paramānadadāsajī, as Tokasakhā, is in charge of the gate into Girirāja that is over by Surabhīkuṇḍa.4

Paramānandadāsa was born into a family of Kanaujiyā Brāhmaņas living in the city of Kanauja. On the same day that Paramānandadāsa was born, a prosperous merchant gave a large financial present to Paramānandadāsa's father. This moved Paramānandadāsa's father to say: "Shrī Thākurajī has given me both money and my first son; he has been very generous to me today. My new son is a bringer of wonderful fortune and his birth has brought me great joy; therefore, I will bestow upon him the name 'Paramānandadāsa'." 5 When Paramānandadāsa's father

¹ Harirāyajī, Caurāsī Vaisnavan kī Vārtā [Tin Janma kī Līlā Bhāvanāvālī], ed. Dvārakā-Dāsa parīkha (Mathurā: Dvārkādāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 416-447.

²Paramānandadāsa's name was originally either "Paramānanda" or "Paramānandadāsa"; later, when he began to acquire followers, people called him "Paramānanda Svāmī." For metrical reasons Paramānandadāsa has called himself by the following names in the signature lines of his poems: Paramānandadāsa, Paramānanda, Paramānanda Svāmī, and Dāsaparamānanda. See: Govardhana Nātha Shukla, Kavivara Paramānandadāsa aur Vallabha Sampradāya (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1964), p. 55.

³Kanauja is the ancient Kānyakubja; Kanauja (sometimes written "Kannauja") is about 50 miles to the north-west of Kānpur in Utter Pradesh.

⁴See pp. 134-135 above.

⁵Paramānanda means "supreme joy"; it is also a title used for Bhagavān Shrī Krsna.

had decided upon Paramānandadāsa as the name for his first-born son, the Brāhmaṇas who had been invited to come and explain the significance of the time of the new child's birth announced that the name Paramānandadāsa occurred in the infant's horoscope. This news made Paramānandadāsa's father still happier. Paramānandadāsa's father had the proper birth ceremony performed and gave away gifts lavishly. As Paramānandadāsa grew older, his father continued to have all of the correct ceremonies - such as that of the investiture of the sacred thread of the Aryan varņas provided, always with much celebration, for him.

Paramānandadāsa was a great Bhagavadīya who had received divine favour and who was an intimate sakhā of Shrī Thākurajī and a participant in Shrî Thākurajī's *lilā*. At the same time that Shrī Ācāryajī appeared, by the command of Shri Govardhananāthajī, on earth in order to bring about the deliverance (uddhāra) of the daivi jīvas, Shrī Thākurajī (i.e., Shrī Govardhananāthajī) himself and all of his retinue also appeared on earth. It was just as Gopāladāsajī said in his poem entitled Vallabhākhvana: "Many persons appeared in the various regions of India in order to bring down divine grace...." Paramānandadāsa, who passed a very happy childhood in Kanauja, was a member of the retinue of Shrī Thakuraii that became manifest on earth. By the time that Paramanandadasa had grown up, he had composed and sung a great number of padas. People began to call him Svāmī and many men became his sevakas. His great skill as a poet attracted more and more men to him until a large following—within which were several very talented persons had gathered around him. At about this time, a great catastrophe befell Kanauja: the governor of the city suddenly went berserk and ravaged all the villages under his authority. In the course of this upheaval, Paramānandadāsa's father was looted of all of his wealth. Paramānandadasa's parents sorrowfully had to tell him that, since they had lost all of their money, they could not afford to get him a wife and, furthermore, that he would have to start making his own way in life because they could no longer support him. They assured him, however, that, since he was a virtuous youth, he would soon be able to acquire enough wealth to allow them to arrange a marriage for him. But Paramanandadasa answered his mother and father in these words: "Don't worry about making a marriage for me. I don't feel that there is any use in making a great exertion for the sake of acquiring money. Wealth always eventually vanishes.

'Gopāladāsa, who wrote the Vallabhākhyāna in Gujarātī, was a follower of Shrī Gusānī Viţtalanātha. For more information about him, see: Niranījanadeva Sharmā (ed.), Dosau Bāvana Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā (Mathurā: Shrī Govardhana Granthamālā Kāryālaya, 1966), pp. 76-77. Bhal Manilal C. Parekh, Sri Vallabhacharya Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), p. 322.

The only profit gained through the acquisition of wealth is the ability to give food to Vaiṣṇavas and Brāhmaṇas. I will never try to obtain money. You will always have food to eat just as I do, so, now that you have been freed of your riches, give up the seductive delusion of wealth; concentrate only on the name of Shrī Ṭhākurajī."

In reply to Paramānandadāsa, Paramānandadāsa's father said: "You can think like this because you have become a vairāgī² and your friends are all vairāgīs, but I am a householder. How could my family survive if I did not replenish my wealth? I need money to spend for my family and my relatives."

Later, Paramānandadāsa's father went to the east in search of a new fortune and, when he was not successful there, he turned towards the south. He had better luck in the south and moved his household to that part of the country. Meanwhile, Paramānandadāsa, who had an extraordinary talent for singing, continued to compose $k\bar{\imath}$ tranas. He became famous in all of the surrounding villages.

At this point begin the prasangas of Paramananadadasa's vārtā.

Prasanga 1

Once, Paramānandadāsa left Kanauja and went to settle in Prayāga.³ Many people used to go to the place where he was staying in Prayāga in order to hear the kīrtanas which he was continually composing. At that particular time, Shrī Ācāryajī was living across the Yamunā River from Prayāga in the village of Adela. When people from Adela went into Prayāga on business and happened to hear Paramānandadāsa singing his kīrtanas, they were very favourably impressed and, when they returned to Adela, they told Shrī Ācāryajī that a man named Paramānandadāsa who was from Kanauja had been building up an excellent reputation as a kīrtana singer in Prayāga. When Shrī Ācāryajī had received these reports about Paramānandadāsa, he explained that Paramānandadāsa was a highly talented and virtuous daivī jīva.⁴

Among the sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī was a Kṣatriya named Kapūra, who was very fond of music, who had the duty of attending to the filling of Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water-jug. Kapūra Kṣatriya also heard about Paramānandadāsa and thought to himself. "If I try to slip away without Shrī Ācāryajī's knowledge to go hear Paramānanda Svāmī, Shrī Ācāryajī

¹A common form of Hindu worship is the continual meditation on the name of one's deity.

²A vairāgi is an individual who has completely given up all worldly concerns; see Part I, pp. 31-34 and the following: SHUKLA, p. 58.

³Prayāga, located at the conflux of the Gangā and Yamunā rivers, is an ancient holy city; it is today called Allāhābād.

^{*}See Part I, pp. 71-72.

⁵See note 3 on p. 116 above.

may hear me and angrily tell me that I cannot leave my sevā to go to Prayāga." But, even such thoughts did not dampen Kapūra Kṣatriya's desire to hear Paramānandadāsa sing kīrtanas.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

In līlā, as has already been stated above, Paramānandadāsa is the sakhī named Candrabhāgā. Kapūra Ksatriya, who wanted so badly to hear Paramānandadāsa's singing, was in līlā a sakhī of Candrabhāgā; that is why he wanted so much to hear Paramanandadasa. Kapūra Ksatriva's name in *līlā* was Sonajuhī. Kapūra Ksatriva was born on earth in the village of Sudāmāpurī in the house of a Ksatriya who was a notorious rake. Kapūra Ksatriya's father used to enjoy pursuing the wives of other men. Although Kapūra Ksatriva's father had at one time been quite rich, it was not long before he had completely dissipated his wealth through his lustful ways. When the local ruler, as has already been mentioned in connection with Paramānandadāsa's youth, went on the rampage, Kapūra's parents lost all of their property along with everyone else in Sudāmāpurī, but, in addition to the material loss, Kapūra and his parents were also thrown into prison. Kapūra Ksatriya's father was somehow able to bribe one of th prison guards to allow him to escape with his family and, one night, Kapūra and his mother and father all fled from the jail. After Kapura and his parents had been travelling for several days, they entered a wild forest. In the forest, a tiger killed Kapūra's mother and father but spared Kapūra himself. Kapūra Ksatriya was soon too grief-stricken and too hungry and thirsty to go on any further and so he sat down there in the forest and began to weep. At just that moment, Shri Ācārvaji, who had entered that dense forest while in the course of one of his pilgrimages around India.1 came upon Kapūra Ksatriya and asked him who he was and why he was sitting alone in the forest crying. The Kapūra Ksatriya threw himself before Shrī Ācāryajī and told him his whole story. When Shrī Ācāryajī had heard Kapūra's story, he had Krsnadāsa Meghana, one of his followers, quickly bring some prasada and some water for the boy to eat and drink, When these things had been brought, Shrī Ācāryajī washed the boy and then fed him prasāda and gave him water to drink. Thus, Shri Ācāryajī saved Kapūra Ksatriya's life. When Kapūra had recovered somewhat, he begged Shrī Ācāryajī to take him along with him and he vowed that he would be Shri Ācāryajī's slave for life. Shrī Ācāryajī told Kapūra not to worry and promised that he would take care of him. At the time when these events occurred. Kapūra was only fourteen years old. That is how Kapūra Ksatriva came to join Shrī Ācārvajī. Later. Shrī Ācārvaiī administered the Brahmasambandha rite to Kapūra and gave him the sevā of drawing water and carrying it wherever it might be needed. After some time, when Shri Acaryaji and his party reached Adela, Kapūra Ksatriva had darshana of Shrī Navanītaprivaiī and felt deep inner satisfaction. After he had had darshana, he said, "I used to be without any god, but Shrī Ācāryajī had mercy on me. took me into his care, and kept me with him; now I have had darshana of Shrī Navanītapriyajī snug in the lap of Shrī Yashodā." Then was Kapūra Ksatriya's mind firmly fixed on the svarūpa of Shrī Navanītaprivaji and then Kapūra thought to himself, "I want to perform some kind of sevā for Shrī Navanītapriyajī; I want to do his sevā forever and I want to have darshana of him constantly." Now, Shri Ācāryajī is the manifestation of the Divine Being, so he knew what was passing through the mind of Kapūra Ksatriya. Therefore, he summoned Kapūra and said to him, "I know that you want to do sevā and that you have been divinely blest; so. I am going to give you the sevā of keeping Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water-jug full." Upon hearing this, Kapūra was overjoyed and thanked Shrī Ācāryajī again and again for having fulfilled his desire to do sevā for Shrī Navanītapriyajī. After that, that Ksatriya Vaisnava affectionately kept Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water fresh. He became a familiar of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. But his sevā gave him no free time to go to hear Paramananda Svami sing kirtanas.]

On the eleventh day of one month, la Vaiṣṇava from Prayāga who was a follower of Shrī Ācāryajī came to Adela for darshana of Shrī Ācāryajī. When Kapūra Kṣatriya, Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water-carrier, asked that Vaiṣṇava for news of Paramānanda Svāmī, that Vaiṣṇava said that a group of listeners always gathered around Paramānanda Svāmī at about eight o'clock at night and, furthermore, that, since that day was the eleventh of the month, Paramānanda Svāmī would stay awake and sing all night long. When Kapūra heard this, he decided that he would take advantage of this opportunity to go over to Prayāga to hear Paramā-

¹Each Indian month is divided into two sections (pakṣas) of 15 days each. Since ancient times the eleventh day of each pakṣa of each month has been observed as a day of fasting by people from all of the castes, classes, and religious sects of Hindu India. There is no single explanation why the eleventh day should be a fastday; some say that the custom started for reasons of health, others that the observance has an astrological basis, and still others find reasons for the fast in Hindu mythology. The fast of the eleventh day—called ekādashi—is given great importance by both Vaiṣṇavas and Shaivas. As illustrated by this prasanga, the fast of ekādashi included for many an all-night vigil from the evening of the eleventh day to the dawn of the twelfth day. See: Rāmapratāpa Tripāṭhī, Hinduon ke Vrata, Parva, aur Tyauhāra (Allāhābād: Lokabhāratī Prakāshana, 1966), pp. 347-352.

nanda Svāmī sing kīrtana that night while Shrī Ācārvaiī was asleep. Consequently, when night fell, after Kapūra had finished his duties to Shrī Navanītaprivaiī and after he had listened in the evening to teachings from Shrī Ācāryajī's holy mouth, he set out from Adela towards the ghāta from which he could cross the river to Pravāga. Kapūra realized that he would have to swim across the river since at that hour no boat would be available at the ghāta; fortunately, he was a very good swimmer. Since the day had been hot. Kapūra was wearing nothing but a small dhoti' which he could tie around his head for the swim across the river. When Kapūra reached the other side of the Yamuna, he made his way to the place where Paramananda Svami was to sing his kirtanas. Since Kapūra had never before met Paramānanda Svāmī, he sat down at a distance from the poet. Soon, the Prayaga Vaisnava—who was sitting right beside Paramananda Svami-with whom Kapura had talked about the kīrtana meeting earlier in the day noticed Kapūra and invited him to come and sit down with him. After some other poets had sung kirtanas for a while, Paramānanda Svāmī himself began to sing. He sang on the theme of viraha.2

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Paramānanda Svāmī sang of viraha because, at this time, he was still separated from līlā. He had not yet had darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Ācāryajī. As soon as Shrī Ācāryajī has had Paramānanda Svāmī have darshana of Shrī Nāthajī. Paramānanda Svāmī will know about līlā and not before. This is one of the doctrines of Shrī Ācāryajī's Pustimārga: Shrī Thākurajī will have mercy on one who associates with Bhagavadīyas. Therefore, in order that he might show his grace to Paramananda Svami, Shri Ācarvaji caused Kapūra, a Bhagavadīva whom he had favoured, to go to Paramānanda Svāmī (though Kapūra did not realize that Shrī Ācārvajī had sent him to Paramānanda Svāmī). Kapūra Ksatriva the water-carrier for Shrī Navanītapriyajī was such a perfect bhakta that Shrī Thākurajī would not leave his side even for a moment and stayed with him always. It is just as Sūradāsajī sang in the line from one of his padas that goes: "(Shrī Krsna), pitifully afraid of separation from his devotee, has begun to run (after his devotee)..." and as was stated in Jagannātha Josī's vārtā:3 "When the Rajput drew his sword, Shrī Thākurajī himself appeared behind the Rajpūt and seized his sword-arm with such a mighty grip that it could not move ...", furthermore, there are many places in the Bhagavata Purana

¹A dhoti is a single, unsewn piece of cloth worn around the waist by Indian men.

²For a discussion of viraha see p. 91 above, Part I.

³HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 167-171.

where Shrī Ṭhākurajī is described as being in the company of *Bhagava-diya* Vaiṣṇavas. Paramānandadāsa was suffering from separation from Shrī Ṭhākurajī, so he always sang *kīrtanas* on the theme of *viraha*.]

All through the night, Paramānandadāsa sang kīrtanas. Finally, at an hour before dawn, the kīrtana singing stopped and each person returned to his own house. Kapūra saluted Paramānanda Svāmī in the name of Bhagavān and got up to leave. Kapūra was still delightedly thinking of the kīrtanas that Paramānanda Svāmī had composed and of the wonderful skill with which he had sung them as he swam across the Yamunā to the Adela shore. Still pure and untouched by anyone after his swim in the sacred river, he went to have darshana of Shrī Ācāryajī, who had just got up, and then returned to his sevā of filling Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water-jug.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Kapūra Kṣatriya went to hear Paramānanda Svāmī's kīrtanas in order to bring divine mercy to Paramānanda Svāmī. Why else would a Bhagavadīya who is the familiar of Shrī Thākurajī go to visit another person? It was destined that the divine mercy should come to Paramānanda Svāmī; so, Shrī Navanītapriyajī had turned Kapūra's mind towards Paramānanda Svāmī and then had gone with him to Prayāga and had sat in his lap listening to Paramānanda Svāmī's kīrtanas.]

At the same time that Kapūra was returning to Adela from Prayāga after the night spent listening to Paramānanda Svāmī sing kīrtanas. Paramānanda Svāmī himself, exhausted from the night's singing, had lain down to sleep.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Some may wonder why Paramānanda Svāmī went to sleep just before the end of the night and did not stay up an hour longer so that he could obtain the benefits that come to one only if one can stay awake for the entire night on the eleventh day of each half of the month. Paramānanda Svāmī was certainly wise and well-informed; he knew very well that his going to sleep before the end of the night meant that he would not obtain the benefits that come to those who stay awake all night on the eleventh days of each month. In dispelling the doubt that may be felt on this point, it is necessary to remember that Paramānanda Svāmī was a pusti-jīva¹ with a place

in *lilā*. As a *puṣṭi-jīvā*, Paramānanda Svāmī desired only Shrī Ṭhākurajī; he had no desire for the benefits of ritual observances. Paramānanda Svāmī used the custom of observing the eleventh days of each month by staying awake all night as nothing more than a pretext to stay awake most of the night to glorify the divine name with *kīrtanas*. But he knew that he could not stay awake for the entire night if he wanted to avoid being sleepy on the following night when he wanted to glorify Shrī Ṭhākurajī with at least five hours of *kīrtana* singing. Paramānanda Svāmī would much rather lose the benefits—for which he had no desire—gained by completion of a rite than lose the chance to sing *kīrtanas* for Shrī Ṭhākurajī. That is why Paramānanda Svāmī went to sleep an hour before the end of the night.]

As Paramānanda Svāmī was sleeping, he dreamt. In his dream he saw a Kṣatriya sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī sitting awake in the night. He also saw Shrī Navanītapriyajī sitting in that Kṣatriya's lap. In the dream, Paramānandadāsa saw Shrī Navanītapriyajī smile and heard him say, "I have heard your kīrtanas tonight. Kapūra Kṣatriya, a sevaka who has received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī, came to you tonight and stayed awake all night. I came with him. Out of all the possible nights that I could have come, I chose this night to hear your kīrtanas."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Since Shrī Ṭhākurajī hears everything and is present everywhere, some people may wonder why Shrī Navanītapriyajī said, "I have heard your kīrtanas tonight." Shrī Ṭhākurajī, through his form as the antaryāmin,¹ had heard that, after many days had passed, the right time for Paramānanda Svāmī's divine acceptance had come. Paramānanda Svāmī had been accepted and had been given divine favour. That is why Shrī Navanītapriyajī said to him, "I have heard your kīrtanas tonight." Shrī Navanītapriyajī had given Paramānanda Svāmī full favour and he wanted Paramānanda Svāmī to come to him immediately.]

Even after Paramānanda Svāmī had awakened from his sleep, the svarūpa of Shrī Navanītapriyajī—who is more radiant than ten million Kāmadevas²—which he had seen in his dream-darshana still filled his eyes. So it was that true knowledge appeared in his eyes and in his heart. Paramānanda Svāmī's mind was agitated and he felt perplexed. He

¹See pp. 76-78 in Part I above.

²Kāmadeva is the Hindu god of love. See Alain Danielou, *Hindu Polytheism* (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), pp. 312-313.

thought to himself, "When can I have darshana of Shri Navanitapriyaji? I have many times passed the night awake singing kirtanas, but I have never experienced darshana like the darshana that I received today. Kāpūra Ksatriya, who is Shrī Navanītapriyajī's water-carrier and Shrī Ācārvajī's sevaka, came and Shrī Navanītaprivajī was seated in his lap: obviously I will not be able to have darshana of Shri Navanitaprivaji without the help of Kapūra Ksatriya. I will go to him and, by association with him, my desires will be fulfilled." With these thoughts in his mind, Paramānanda Svāmī jumped up and set off towards Adela. It was just at dawn and Paramananda Svami reached the riverbank just as the first ferry of the day was ready to cross. He got into the boat and so went across the river. As Paramananda Svami reached the Adela shore, he saw Shrī Ācāryajī, who had just finished bathing in the holy river, performing his morning devotions. Paramānanda Svāmī's darshana of Shrī Ācārvaiī was extraordinarily alaukika, for to Paramānanda Svāmī Shrī Ācārvajī appeared as the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna. It was just as Shrī Gusānījī wrote in his Vallabhāstaka: "(Shrī Vallabhācāryajī) is, indeed, actually Krsna." Upon receiving this marvellous darshana, Paramananda Svāmī was completely dumbstruck and could say absolutely nothing. In his mind he'thought, "Did not Shrī Navanītapriyajī sit in Kapūra Ksatriya's lap in order to listen to my kirtanas? With Kapūra Ksatriya's help, I may be blest with the company of Shri Ācāryaji. Although I am most unworthy, I, too, will try to become Shri Acarvaji's sevaka. I will beg to be accepted as his sevaka. I must find Kapūra Ksatriva again and tell him all of my hopes and ask him to help me become Shrī Ācāryajī's sevaka." As Paramānanda Svāmī was thinking these thoughts, Shrī Ācāryajī suddenly commanded: "Paramānandadāsa! Sing about the lila of Bhagavan." When Paramanandadasaji had received the order given by Shrī Ācāryajī, he prostrated himself in homage and sang three padas on the theme of viraha. When Shri Ācārvaji had heard the three padas, he said, "Paramānandadāsa! Sing a pada on the theme of the līlā of the child Krsna (bālalīlā)" To this request, Paramānandadāsa could only fold his hands humbly and say, "Mahārāja! I don't know anything about the childhood lilā of Shrī Krsna."

Upon receiving this reply, Shrī Ācāryajī said, "Go and bathe in the Yamunā and then come back here. I will give you instruction."

Paramānandadāsa then respectfully inquired, "Mahārāja! Where is your sevaka Kapūra Kṣatriya?"

Shrī Ācāryajī answered, "He is probably attending to the duties of his seva."

Viţţhalanātha's Shrivallabhāşṭakam, verse 8; see: Shrimādhava Sharmā (ed.), Puşṭi-mārgiya Stotraratnamālā (2 vols.; Vārāṇasī: Paramānanda Sharmā, 1963), vol. I, p. 108.

At that time, Shrī Ācāryajī had to go quickly to the temple to conduct the sevā. Meanwhile, Paramānandadāsa went down to the Yamunā to bathe. Just as he reached the river, Kapūra Ksatriva also arrived at the riverbank in order to fill Shrī Navanītapriyajī's pitcher with water from the Yamuna, When Paramananda Svami saw Kapura Ksatriva, he felt a surge of joy, folded his hands and greeted Kapūra Ksatriva in the name of Bhagavan, and said: "Last night when you showed favour to me and came to the place where I was singing. Shrī Navanītaprivaiī sat in your lap to hear my kīrtanas. Then, when I lay down and slept, Shrī Navanītaprivaii gave me darshana and told me that he had heard my kirtanas that night. You have brought me this wonderful favour and now I have received darshana of you. Now, please take pity on me and tell me how I may take shelter with Shrī Ācārvaiī and receive unending darshana of Shrī Thākurajī. Furthermore, Shrī Ācārvajī has favoured me by granting me darshana of himself as the svarūpa of Shrī Krsna. All of this has come to me through association with you."

In reply, Kapūra Kṣatriya said, "You received such a wonderful darshana because you are being favoured by Shrī Ācāryajī. Now he wants you to seek shelter with him, so go and bathe quickly and then go to him without letting anyone touch you. When, through Shrī Ācāryajī, you have received Prabhu's favour and taken refuge with him, all of your hopes will be fulfilled. But don't tell Shrī Ācāryajī that I went to hear you sing kīrtana last night; Shrī Ācāryajī would be very angry with me if he should find out that I left my sevā here and went to Prayāga."

As soon as Paramānandadāsa had bathed and Kapūra Kṣatriya had filled his pitcher with Yamunā water for Shrī Navanītapriyajī, the two of them—Paramānandadāsa following Kapūra Kṣatriya and keeping himself unpolluted by contact with other people—started back to meet Shrī Ācāryajī. At that time, Shrī Ācāryajī had just dressed Shrī Navanītapriyajī for the day and was in the process of offering him food. When Paramānandadāsa arrived, Shrī Ācāryajī told him to sit down and wait for a short while. After the food offering had been completed, Shrī Ācāryajī summoned Paramānandadāsa into the presence of Shrī Navanītapriyajī and there gave him the divine name and had him take the Brahmasambandha rite. After Paramānandadāsa had been initiated into Shrī Ācāryajī's Sampradāya, Shrī Ācāryajī gave him instruction in his Anukramanikā on the tenth skandha of the Bhāgavata Purāna.

|Bhāvaprakāsha

When Shrī Ācāryajī asked Paramānandadāsa to sing a pada describing one of the līlās performed by Bhagavān Shrī Krsna, Para-

¹The full title of Vallabhācārya's Anukramaņikā is: Bhāgavata-Purāņa-Dashamaskan-dhānukramaņikā. See note 4 on p. 113 above.

manandadasa complied by singing a pada on the theme of viraha. Shrī Ācārvaiī then asked Paramānandadāsa to sing about the childhood lilā of Shrī Krsna. The childhood līlā is the līlā which Shrī Krsna performed as a boy living in the house of his foster-father King Nanda. The rasa of the childhood līlā is that of samvoga (union with Shrī Krsna) and not of vivoga (separation from Shrī Krsna). The result of the experience of samvoga is viraha.² For example, in the "Rāsapañcādhyāi" Shrī Krsna summoned the Brajbhaktas (i.e., the gopis) for the performance of the rāsa līlā; but, after a time, Shrī Kṛṣṇa vanished and the gopis were left to experience the grief of viraha. For the gopis, viraha was the result of the samyoga of the rasa lila. In the same vein, Bhagavan said: "Consider true wealth to be in the loss of acquired riches." But why did Shrī Ācārvajī ask Paramānandadāsa to sing about the childhood līlā? He did so because when one has experienced the childhood lilā—in which is samyoga rasa, then one will immediately understand viraha. When Paramanandadasa answered that he knew nothing about Shrī Kṛṣṇa's childhood līlā, he meant that he had not experienced the rasa of samvoga. Since Paramānandadāsa had been separated from the divine līlā, he had forgotten his role as a participant in that lilā; nevertheless, he was still a daivi jiva and had, therefore, been experiencing viraha from the moment of his birth.4 As soon as Shrī Ācāryajī gave Paramānandadāsa the mantra of the divine name and caused him to dedicate himself by means of the Brahmasambandha rite to Shri Krsna, the ignorance that had been hindering Paramanandadasa was dissipated. When Shrī Ācārvajī gave Paramānandadāsa instruction in his Anukramanikā on the tenth skandha of the Bhagavata Purana, all of the lilas of the tenth skandha were experienced by Paramanandadasa in the presence of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. The Bhāgavata Purāna is the greatest of all

¹See p. 91 of Part I above.

²In order to experience and express the highest degree of love (prema) for Shrī Kṛṣṇa, the bhakta following the Puṣṭimārga taught by Vallabhācārya wants to feel both the bliss of union (samyoga) with Shrī Kṛṣṇa and the pain of separation (viraha or viyoga) from Shrī Kṛṣṇa. The prema of a bhakta cannot be complete without experience of both union and separation. Clearly, the experience of separation (viraha) cannot be fully appreciated and thoroughly experienced unless it has been preceded by union (samyoga), nor can samyoga be perfectly enjoyed without the experience of the viraha that follows it. Thus, samyoga must eventually be replaced by viraha and viraha by samyoga. That is why Harirāyajī says in this bhāvaprakāsha that the result of samyoga is viraha. See: Shukla, pp. 245-246.

³See note 2 on p. 134 above.

⁴Even though Paramānandadāsa, in appearing on earth, had forgotten his union with Shrī Κṛṣṇa as Shrī Kṛṣṇa's sakhā in the efernal līlā, he could never forget the sorrow of separation (viraha) from Shrī Kṛṣṇa. He was, as Harirāyajī says in his bhāvaprakāsha, born suffering from viraha.

books and is a veritable ocean of the nectar of immortality; by means of his Anukramanikā, Shrī Ācāryajī established that Bhāgavata Purāṇa ocean in the heart of Paramānandadāsa in just the same way that he had a few years before fixed it in the heart of Sūradāsa. Many Vaiṣṇavas received Shrī Ācāryajī's favour, but only two—Sūradāsa and Paramānandadāsa—became "oceans". The kīrtanas composed by Sūradāsa and Paramānandadāsa are numberless, so both those poets were called "Ocean" (sāgara). After Shrī Ācāryajī had caused Paramānandadāsa's ignorance to be dispelled, Paramānandadāsa became able to experience samyoga rasa and so could sing of the childhood līlā (bālalīlā)

Then Paramānandadāsa gave Shrī Ācāryajī great pleasure by singing several padas for him on the theme of the childhood līlā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. After his initiation, Paramānandadāsa lived in Adela so that he could be close to Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Ācāryajī assigned Paramānandadāsa the sevā of singing padas before Shrī Navanītapriyajī at each darshana period; the assignment was to be permanent. During the periods when Shrī Navanītapriyajī's shrine was closed,³ Paramānandadāsa used to sit before Shrī Ācāryajī and compose kīrtanas about Brajlīlā while Shrī Ācāryajī discussed the līlās of Shrī Kṛṣṇa as he had explained them in his Subodhinī.⁴ Paramānandadāsa composed kīrtanas on the basis of Vallabhācārya's explanations of the līlās.

Prasanga 2

One time, when Shrī Ācāryajī had been discussing the splendour of the lotus-like feet of Shrī Thākurajī, Paramānandadāsa composed a kīrtana describing the splendour of the lotus-like feet of Shrī Thākurajī and sang it before Shrī Ācāryajī. In this way, Paramānandadāsa put Shrī Ācāryajī's teachings into padas. On another occasion, Paramānandadāsa sang a pada on the theme of a prayer for darshana of the holy land of Braj; when Shrī Ācāryajī had heard this pada, he understood that Paramānandadāsa felt a deep craving for darshana of Braj. Moved by Paramānandadāsa's desire, Shrī Ācāryajī began preparing for a journey to Brāj. After a time all was ready and Shrī Ācāryajī set out from Adela for Braj, taking Parmānandadāsa, Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī. Kṛṣṇadāsa Meghana, 6

¹See pp. 113-114 above.

²See p. 120 above.

³The havelis (temples) of the Vallabha Sampradāya are open to the bhaktas only during the eight darshana periods of the day. During the intervals between darshana periods—the intervals are called in Braj Bhāṣā anosara and are all, with the exception of the four or five hour anosara between the rājabhoga and utthāpana darshanas, about one hour long—the havelis are kept closed and no one is allowed to disturb the divine svarūpa.

⁴See note 2 on p. 114.

⁵HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 2–13.

⁶HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 13-21.

Yādavendradāsa, and several other Vaisnavas. On the way to Brai, the party passed through Kanauja where Paramanandadasa still maintained a house. Paramānandadāsa asked Shrī Ācāryajī if the group might stop at his house for a while and, when Shri Ācārvaji accepted the invitation. led the party to his house. After Paramanandadasa had gotten food and other provisions from the bazar, Shrī Ācāryajī saw to the cooking of the food. When the food was ready, he offered it to Shrī Thākurajī and then took some for himself. Then he distributed the rest of the food offering as prasāda to Paramānandadāsa and the other Vaisnavas. After everyone had received prasāda and Shrī Ācāryajī had been seated in the place of honour, Shrī Ācāryajī asked Paramānandadāsa to sing of the glory of Bhagavan. When Paramanandadasa had been told to begin singing, he thought to himself, "Since Shri Acarvaii's mind is probably dwelling on Brajlīlā with Shrī Govardhananāthajī, I will sing a pada of viraha in which a moment will pass like a kalpa." Upon hearing the first few words of Paramānandadāsa's kirtana—"Hari! I have remembered your līlā..."— Shrī Ācārvaiī was plunged into trancelike absorption in *līlā*.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Ācāryajī became completely absorbed in *līlā* when he heard Paramānandadāsa's *pada*, because his nature is so thoroughly infused with *līlā*. In his *Shrīvallabhāṣṭaka*, Shrī Gusāṇījī described Shrī Ācāryajī as being full of the ocean of the *rāsa* and the other *līlās* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa; and in his *Sarvottama* Shrī Gusāṇījī described Shrī Ācāryajī as being the source for the true meaning of the *rāsa līlā*. 1

For three days, Shrī Ācāryajī sat unconscious, with his eyes closed, and with no trace of life in his body. Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī and the other Vaiṣṇavas who were familiar with Shrī Ācāryajī's nature understood that he was drowned in the experience of *līlā*. All of the Vaiṣṇavas sat still and silent, saying nothing, enjoying darshana of Shrī Ācāryajī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Ācāryajī is the Supreme Being himself and so he was not subject to the rule of bodily needs and limitations. He took up a human body in order to perform human actions on earth, but his body had no power over him. Accordingly, each of his *sevakas* waited patiently through the three days that he was lost in $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$.]

¹Harirāyajī, pp. 144-146.

²A kalpa is the period, lasting several millions of years, of one cosmic cycle from manifestation to concealment of the universe.

³Shrī Gusānī Vitthalanātha's Shrivallabhāṣtakam, verse 1; see: Sharmā, vol. I, p. 105.

⁴Shrī Gusānī Viţṭhalanātha's Sarvottama Stotram, verse 17; see: Sharmā, vol. I, p. 100.

All of the Vaiṣṇavas rejoiced when, on the fourth day, Shrī Ācāryajī awakened and opened his eyes.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Some may wonder why Shrī Ācāryajī remained absorbed in rāsa lilā and the other līlās for three days. Three places are of primary importance in the performance of Shrī Ṭhākurajī's līlā: Govardhana Hill, Bṛndābana, and the Yamunā River. On the first day, he experienced those līlās—the various games played in caves—that are connected with Govardhana Hill; on the second day, he experienced the līlā associated with Bṛndābana—the līlās that took place in the groves (nikuñja līlā) which were full of rasa; and, on the third day, he experienced the līlās that took place in the Yamunā River—the water play and the rāsa līlā (which was performed in the sands along the Yamunā). Thus, Shrī Ācāryajī experienced all three kinds of rasa on the three days. On the fourth day, because he still had to bring experience of the rasa of līlā to the various jīvas that he had taken into his protection and for whom he had brought the bhaktimārga (i.e., the Pustimārga) into being, Shrī Ācāryajī opened his eyes and awakened.]

This experience so frightened Paramānandadāsa that he vowed never again to sing a pada like the pada that had caused Shrī Ācāryajī to become immersed in $lil\bar{a}$ for three days.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Paramānandadāsa was afraid that Shrī Ācāryajī might become so thoroughly absorbed in *līlā* that he would not return to earth; and if Shrī Ācāryajī should not return to earth, there would be no one able to rescue the *daivi jīvas* on earth. Therefore, Paramānandadāsa decided that he would never again sing a *pada* on the theme of *viraha* before Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Ācāryajī is by nature filled with the experience of *viraha*. In his *Sarvottama*, for example, Shrī Gusānījī said that Shrī Ācāryajī is "he who teaches that everything is to be given up for the experience of *viraha*." In the state of the experience of *viraha*, there is absolutely no remembrance of *laukika* or Vedicaffairs.

Then Shrī Ācāryajī took some food and began to regain his strength. Paramānandadāsa and all of the other Vaiṣṇavas took some *prasāda* and Paramānandadāsa sang several *padas* for Shrī Ācāryajī.

When Shrī Ācāryajī told the Vaisnavas that it was time for them to resume their journey to Braj, Paramānandadāsa had all of those men

whom he had, before he entered Shrī Ācāryajī's sect, made his sevakas come before Shrī Ācāryajī. When all of these men had assembled, Paramānandadāsa humbly asked Shrī Ācāryajī to accept them into his following.

But, Shrī Ācāryajī said, in answer to Paramānandadāsa's request, "Paramānandadāsa, you have already initiated these men as your sevakas; why should I now have them leave you and become my sevakas?"

Paramānandadāsa replied, "Mahārāja! Formerly, I saw myself as a spiritual master (svāmī) and so I accepted sevakas, but now I am your servant. The title of "svāmī" is excellent for one who is a svāmī, but a servant who calls himself "svāmī" is a fool. When I was lost in ignorance I accepted sevakas, but now I beg you to take those sevakas into your protection and bring them deliverance (uddhāra)."

At that, Shrī Ācāryajī initiated all of the former sevakas of Paramānandadāsa into his Sampradāya as his own sevakas. Then Shrī Ācārvaii's party left Kanauja; after a few days, the group entered Braj and made its way to Gokula. When Shrī Ācāryaiī reached Gokula. he immediately went to Govinda Ghāta to bathe in the Yamunā: 1 after he had bathed. Shrī Ācārvaiī sat down beneath a large tree where he was accustomed to sit whenever he came to Gokula. At night, he slept in a small house in Gokula which stands near Shri Dvārakānāthajī's temple. Both of these places—the one where Shri Acaryaji sat during the day and the one where he slept at night—are marked and are places of pilgrimage (baithaka) for followers of the teachings of Shrī Ācāryajī. As is described in the vārtā of Gajjanadhāvana, 2 Shrī Ācāryajī and his party celebrated Janmāstamī³ with the throwing of yoghurt and turmeric⁴ and with the rocking of Shrī Navanītaprivaiī in his cradle. Later, when Shrī Ācārvaiī had bathed and sat down beneath the tree in his usual place, Paramānandadāsa and the other Vaisnavas also bathed and went to sit

¹It was at Govinda Ghāţa at Gokula where Vāllabhācārya, on his first tour of Braj, received the *Brahmasambandha* from Shrī Kṛṣṇa. See: Kaṇṭhamaṇi Shāstrī "Visharada", Kāṇkarolī kā Itihāsa (Kāṇkarolī: Shrīvidyā-Vibhāga, 1940), vol. II, p. 65.

²HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 100–102.

³Janmāṣṭamī (which is celebrated in some places in India on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of Bhādrapada—August/September and at other places on the eighth day of the dark half of the month of Shrāvaṇa—July/August) is the anniversary of the day on which Kṛṣṇa was born in Mathurā. Janmāṣṭamī is one of the most important holidays for the members of the Vallabhā Sampradāya (see p. 49 above). For descriptions of the celebration of Janmāṣṭamī by Hindus in general, see: Tripāṭhī, pp. 152-154. R. K. Trivedi, Census of India 1961, Vol. V: Gujarat, Part VII-B, Fairs and Festivals (Delhi: Central Government Publications, 1965), pp. 100-101.

4TRIPĀŢHĪ, p. 153.

Shrī Navanītapriyajī had travelled from Adela to Gokula with Shrī Ācāryajī and his party of sevakas. Today, it is still usual for the svarūpas of the Sampradāya to be taken on important pilgrimages.

at his feet. On that occasion, Shrī Ācārvajī gave Paramānandadāsa instruction in the spiritual importance of the Yamunā River; Shrī Ācārvajī based his teaching on his own Sanskrit poem in praise of the Yamuna entitled "Shrīyamunāstakam".1 When Paramānandadāsa heard Shrī Ācārvajī's teachings on the Yamunā, his own heart was so filled with love for the Yamuna that he composed three padas describing the glory of the Yamuna. Paramanandadasa gave such great delight to Shri Ācaryaji by singing these padas there on the very bank of the Yamuna that Shri Ācāryajī caused him to experience darshana of Shrī Krsna's childhood līlā (hālalīlā) as it was performed in Gokula. This wonderful darshana, in which the Braibhaktas (i.e., the gopis and gopas who performed the Brajlīlā with Shrī Krsna) frolicked in the waters of the Yamunā as Shrī Thākurajī entertained them with a wide variety of games, was given just for Paramānandadāsa. Such a marvellous and vivid darshana inspired Paramānandadāsa to sing pada after pada to Shrī Ācāryajī describing the darshana of the divine bāla līlā in Gokula which he had enjoyed. This darshana caused Paramānandadāsa's heart to be so completely enthralled (āsakta) by Gokula that he sang a pada in which he pleaded with Shrī Ācārvajī to keep him forever in Gokula at his lotus-feet so that he might eternally have darshana of Shrī Thākurajī and experience of the divine līlā. At this, Shrī Ācāryajī was most pleased with Paramānandadāsa.

Prasanga 3

After a time, Shrī Ācāryajī gathered together Paramānandadāsa and the other Vaiṣṇavas and left Gokula for Govardhana Hill. The group reached Govardhana Hill just in time for the utthāpana darshana; Shrī Ācāryajī bathed at once and went up the hill and entered Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple. Paramānandadāsa also bathed, then prostrated himself before the sacred hill, and finally ascended the hill to the temple. He entered the temple and attended the utthāpana darshana; he was captivated (āsakta) by the splendour of the darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

At that darshana, Shrī Ācāryajī told Paramānandadāsa to sing some kīrtanas of the divine līlā for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Then, Paramānandadāsa thought to himself, "What can I sing? I have only one tongue, while the nature of Shrī Govardhananāthajī is infinite and so is the līlā that he performed. Furthermore, my mind is held rapt whenever I

Vallabhācārya's "Shrīyamunāṣṭakam" is one of the short works included in the Şoda-shagrantha. See: Sītārāma Caturvedi, Mahāprabhu Shrīmadvallabhācārya aur Puṣṭi-Mūrga (Vārāṇasī: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kuṭira, 1967), pp. 273-277. For a general discussion of the importance of the Yamunā River in the bhakti of the Pustimārga, see: SHUKLA, pp. 216-218.

²For examples of Paramānandadāsa's bālalilā padas, see: GOVARDHANA NĀTHA SHUKLA, Paramānanda Sāgara (Pada-Sangraha) (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1958), pp. 25-32.

think of any one of his *līlās*. Nevertheless. I must obey Shrī Ācārvaiī's command and try to sing. I will sing first of the *līla* of Shrī Thākuraiī's assumption of an earthly birth, then I will sing of his nikunja līlā, then I will offer worship to his lotus-like feet, then I will describe his form, and, finally. I will sing of his *lilā* and of his majesty." Paramānandadāsa then sang a pada containing all of the things of which he had thought. Later, when Shri Ācāryaji was performing the final ceremonies before Shri Govardhananāthajī was put to bed at the conclusion of the shavana darshana period, Paramānandadāsa sang still more kirtanas. Shrī Ācāryajī was very pleased with the padas that Paramanandadasa sang.

As soon as Shrī Ācāryajī had put Shrī Govardhananāthajī to bed and closed the temple, he went down the hill and told Ramadasa the Bhitariyā² to have some milk-prasāda sent to Paramānandadāsa. Rāmadāsa had the milk-prasāda sent to Paramānandadāsa. As Paramānandadāsa began to sip the milk-prasāda, he noticed that it was warm. After he had cooled it, he drank it. Later, when Paramanandadasa came and sat down before Shrī Ācāryajī at the evening assembly for religious instruction. Shrī Ācārvaiī asked him how he had liked the milk-prasāda. When Paramanandadasa mentioned that the milk-prasada had been warm when it was brought to him, Shri Ācāryajī asked the bhitariyās why they had effered warm milk to Shri Govardhananāthaii. He reminded them that the milk to be offered was supposed to be cooled so that it would be refreshing. The bhitariyās promised that in the future they would be sure to cool the milk.3

| Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Ācārvajī had the milk-prasāda given to Paramānandadāsa in order to find out whether or not Shrī Thākurajī found the milkoffering well-prepared and rich in flavour. Since Shrī Thākurajī is passionately fond of milk, giving milk as prasāda to a Vaisņava sevaka who has received Shrī Thākurajī's favour is just like giving him the ecstasy (rasa) of union with Shrī Thākurajī in the nikunja līla. If the Vaisnava praises the taste of the milk-prasāda, then it may be taken as certain that Shrī Thākurajī is indicating, through that Vaisnava, that he enjoyed the milk.]

Since Paramānandadāsa drank some of the saliva from Shrī Thākurajī's See note 1 on p. 12 in Part I above.

²See the final bhāvaprakāsha of the second prasanga of the vārtā of Krsnadāsa below.

3Since, according to the doctrines of Vallabhācārya, the divine svarūpas are actually Shri Kṛṣṇa himself and not mere representations, they must be served with concern for their comfort. See p. 48 in Part I above and: R. KALADHARA BHATIA, Shrimad-Vallabhāeārya ke Dārshanika-Ācāra ki Paramparā (Ahmadābād: Shrī Nāgaradāsa K. Bānbhanīyā, 1965), p. 35.

lips along with the milk, he was plunged into experience of the rasa of all of the nocturnal lilās. Out of his experience of the nocturnal lilās he composed several padas on the theme of the play of Rādhā, Shrī Kṛṣṇa, and the gopīs.

At dawn on the next day, Paramānandadāsa sang padas while Shrī Ācāryajī awakened Shrī Govardhananāthajī for the mangalā darshana. It was then that Shrī Ācāryajī entrusted to Paramānandadāsa the sevā of singing kirtanas for Shrī Govardhananāthajī in his temple. Paramānandadāsa was continually composing new padas and singing them before Shrī Nāthajī.

Prasanga 4

One day, a king who was a sevaka of Shrī Ācārvaiī arrived with his queen at Govardhana in the course of a pilgrimage to the holy places of Braj. When the king had had darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, he hurried back to his tent and told his queen that she must go to Girirāja and enjoy the wondrous darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. The queen answered that she would go only if she could remain in purdah while having darshana, for, she reminded her husband, it was an ironbound tradition with their people that aristocratic ladies like herself always remain in purdah. In reply to this, the king said: "Shrī Thākurajī is the lord of Braj; how can you be concerned about purdah in his darshana? No one can seclude himself from Shrī Thākurajī." But, in spite of all of the king's arguments, the queen refused to go to darshana unless she could go in purdah. Finally, the king went to Shrī Ācāryajī and humbly said: "Mahārāja, I have tried to get the queen to come to Shrī Govardhananāthajī's darshana, but she will not agree to come unless she can come in purdah."

Shrī Ācāryajī then said to the king: "Let your wife come in purdah. I will have special darshana given for her before it is given for the rest of the people."

As planned, the queen arrived at Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple and began to have private darshana in purdah. As the queen was having darshana, Shrī Nāthajī assumed his invisible form (which is called Bhaktoddhāraka, the Deliverer of bhaktas) and opened the main gates to his temple. The moment the gates were opened, a great throng of people surged in and burst upon the queen. At that very moment, all of the queen's clothes fell from her body without warning and she was lest naked and mortified with shame in the view of all of the people. Later, when the queen told the king what had happened to her, he said: "I

¹Since any prasāda is food or drink that has been ingested by the deity to whom it was offered and then given to the devotees of that deity, fhe prasāda-milk that Paramānandadāsa drank naturally contained some of Shrī Thākuraji's saliva.

THE BHAKTI SECT OF VALLABHACARYA

told you before that Shrī Nāthajī is the lord of Braj and that no one can seclude himself from him."

Paramānandadāsa began to compose a pada about this incident and recited the first line of it to Shrī Ācāryajī. The first line of the pada that Paramānandadāsa was composing went like this:

Whose play is as marvellous as the play
Of Krsna the beguiler who won't let anyone be shy?

When Shrī Ācāryajī had heard this first line, he said to Paramānandadāsa, "Don't say it like that; change it to 'Most marvellous is the splendid play of Kṛṣṇa...'."

Paramānandadāsa made the change suggested by Shrī Ācāryajī and finished the poem.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

At first, Paramānandadāsa expressed his devotion to Shrī Kṛṣṇa only through dāsya bhāva,¹ but, later, through the favour of Prabhu, he experienced his devotion with sakhya bhāva.² With divine favour, any bhāva may easily be expressed; without divine favour, all attempts to express any bhāva will fail. Shrī Ācāryajī centred all of his love on Shrī Govardhananāthajī, for he considered the best approach to the divine to be through love. That is why he did not want Paramānandadāsa to say "Whose play is as marvellous..." Instead, he told Paramānandadāsa to say, "Most marvellous is the splendid play..." The line that Shrī Ācāryajī suggested expresses the love-filled praise of the Brajbhaktas, while the line that Paramānandadāsa had originally used did not express such affection.]

Prasanga 5

One day, Sūradāsajī, Kumbhanadāsajī, Rāmadāsa, and several other Bhagavadīya Vaiṣṇavas all gathered together and went to visit Paramānandadāsa at his house. When Paramānandadāsa saw all of those Bhagavadīyas coming towards his house, he felt very happy and fortunate to receive such visitors. In his heart Paramānandadāsa rejoiced, saying, "All of these Bhagavadīyas have shown me great favour in coming to see me today and Shrī Govardhananāthajī has, at the same time, also favoured me since Bhagavadīyas are themselves the svarūpas of Shrī Govardhananāthajī."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Paramānandadāsa said that each of the Bhagavadīyas coming to

¹See Part I above.

²See Part I above.

his house was a svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī because he knew that Shrī Ṭhākurajī is seated in the heart of every Bhagavadīya. Paramānandadāsa felt that all of his desires had been fulfilled when the Bhagavadīyas favoured him with their visit. Then he had to make some offering to the Bhagavadīyas; what kind of offering could he make?

Paramānandadāsa seated the *Bhagavadīyas* in high places of honour and then sang them a *pada*. Then Paramānandadāsa told the *Bhagavadīyas* that they must ask him to do something for them so that he might repay them for the honour they had shown him in coming to visit him. Then Rāmadāsajī asked, "Paramānandadāsa! All of the *Brajbhaktas*—King Nandajī, the *gopīs*, the cowherds, and the *sakhās*—express love for Shrī Krsna, but which of these *Brajbhaktas* expresses the best love?"

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Rāmadāsajī knew that Paramānandadāsa, who was a *Bhagavadīya* who had received favour, concentrated his attention on the divine *bālalīlā* and on descriptions of the *līlā* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's infancy in the house of King Nanda; on the other hand, Rāmādāsajī also knew that Shrī Ācāryajī emphasized the deep sincerity of the love of the *gopīs*. Rāmadāsajī wanted Paramānandadāsa to say which love was better; that of the *gopīs* or that shown to Shrī Kṛṣṇa as an infant and as a child. By the love of the *gopīs*, Rāmadasajī meant the erotic love expressed by the *gopīs* for Kṛṣṇa the youth.]

In reply to Rāmadāsa's question, Paramānandadāsa sang two padas in praise of the love of the gopīs for Shrī Kṛṣṇa. When the Vaiṣṇavas had heard Paramānandadāsa's padas, they all cried out, "Paramānandadāsa! You have been divinely blessed!" In this way, the delighted Vaiṣṇavas praised Paramānandadāsa and then went back to their own houses. Paramānandadāsa performed the sevā of singing kīrtanas before Shrī Govardhananāthajī for a very long time.

Prasanga 6

One day, Paramānandadāsa went to Gokula in order to have darshana of Shrī Gusānījī and of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. Paramānandadāsa stayed at Gokula all that day and decided to stay there overnight. At dawn on the next day, Shrī Gusānījī bathed and entered the temple of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. After a time, he summoned Paramānandadāsa and, when Paramānandadāsa had arrived, he said to him: "All of the Brajlīlā is very dear to Shrī Thākurajī; but, if you should want to recite all of this eternal līlā to Shrī Thākurajī, you would find your desire impossible

to attain because there is no end to that $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$. Since not a single one of the $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}s$ that make up that wonderful $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ has an end, how could anyone ever sing of the entire $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ completely? However, I have composed one $k\bar{l}rtana$ which contains the experience of all of the $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$ of Braj. I intend to give this $k\bar{l}rtana$ to you; you must always sing it at the proper time."

When Paramānandadāsa heard these words of Shrī Gusānījī, he said, "Mahārāja! Please have mercy on me and tell me this pada."

Then Shrī Gusāṇijī sang his pada to Paramānandadāsa. Since Shrī Gusāṇijī was the guide to the Puṣṭimārga, his kīrtana was in Sanskrit rather than in a vernacular language. After Paramānandadāsa had heard Shrī Gusāṇijī's pada, which was a song of praise of Braj and all of the līlā performed there, he repeated it in Sanskrit until he had learned it by heart. Then, he composed several padas in Braj Bhāṣā based on Shrī Gusāṇijī's pada. After Shrī Gusāṇijī had taught his pada to Paramānandadāsa, he began the performance of the maṅgalā darshana sevā. Later, Paramānandadāsa returned to Govardhana Hill. There, he introduced Shrī Gusāṇijī's Sanskrit pada as an invocation to be recited at the beginning of the maṅgalā darshana, the first darshana of the day, in Shrī Nāthajī's temple. The singing of that pada at that time became a firm tradition in the temples of Shrī Govardhanadhara. Paramānandadāsa was a Bhagavadīya who had received special favour. Prasaṅga 7

On the morning of the festival of Janmāṣṭamī,¹ one year, Shrī Gusāṇījī began making preparations for the holiday in the two temples belonging to him in Braj. First, Shrī Gusāṇījī had the svarūpa of Shrī Navanītapriyajī bathed with pañcāmṛta² and then had the svarūpa dressed. Next, after seeing to arrangements for the midnight celebration of the divine birth, he set out for Govardhana Hill. There, he had Shrī Govardhananāthajī dressed and saw that the cradle was prepared for Shrī Nāthajī. After the rājabhoga darshana, Shrī Gusāṇījī, taking Paramānandadāsa with him, returned to Gokula. There, while Paramānandadāsa sang padas of joyous praise, Shrī Gusāṇījī had Shrī Navanītapriyajī anointed with oil and then dressed in special festival clothing and, finally, he himself applied the tilaka³ to Shrī Navanītapriyajī's forehead.

When midnight came, Shrī Gusānījī had the birth of Shrī Kṛṣṇa enacted. Shrī Navanītapriyajī was placed in his cradle and Vaiṣṇavas acted the roles of King Nandajī, Yashodājī, the gopīs, and the cowherds; all the while Paramānandadāsa sang padas celebrating the birth of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

¹See note 3 on p. 154 above.

²See note 2 on p. 223 below.

³See note 5 on p.127 above.

| Bhāvaprakāsha

The following phrase appears in one of the padas that Paramānandadāsa sang on this festive occasion: "There will be ten who will bring happiness to everyone..." The words of a Bhagavadīya are never false: ten svarūpas of the divine were manifested—the seven sons of Shrī Gusānjīj, Shrī Gusānjīj, Shrī Ācāryajī, and Shrī Govardhananāthajī—for the delight of everyone. By "everyone" Paramānandadāsa meant all the daivī jīvas who followed the Pustimārga.]

Then all of the Vaisnavas, including those playing King Nandajī, the gopīs and the cowherds, all began throwing yoghurt and turmeric on each other. Paramānandadāsa was completely transported with bliss and began to dance while singing padas. Paramānandadāsa was so totally absorbed in love that even the order of the rāgas¹ slipped out of his mind and, though it was night, he sang a pada in a daytime rāga. Then Paramānandadāsa swooned from the love that filled his being and fell to carth. Immediately, Shrī Gusānjijī lifted Paramānandadāsa up with his lotus-like hands and had water sprinkled over him while he himself recited a mantra from the Veda to revive him. Slowly the poet who had been overwhelmed with passion began to come to his senses. That is how Paramānandadāsa experienced all līlās that night and sang beautiful padas. Shrī Gusānjij showed great favour to Paramānandadāsa.

That same night, after Shrī Gusānījī had put his own son Giridharajī next to Shrī Navanītapriyajī and then thrown the auspicious yoghurt and turmeric on him, he took Paramānandadāsa, who was still deeply absorbed in the ecstasy brought on by the throwing of yoghurt and turmeric, with him to Govardhana Hill to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

When Shrī Gusāniji came out of the temple after arranging the rājahhoga food offering for Shrī Nāthajī, he noticed Paramānandadāsa's alaukika state of mind and said, "Just as Kumbhanadāsa was enraptured by kishoralīlā," so has Paramānandadāsa been captivated by bālalīlā." Then, Paramānandadāsa prostrated himself before Shrī Gusānijī and

 $^{\dagger}R\bar{a}gas$ are musical modes for both vocal and instrumental music (all of the padas composed by the astachāpa poets are in one of the rāgas); the rāgas are arranged into male and female and primary and secondary groups. Each rāga is to be sung or played at a particular time of the day or night.

²The kishoralīlā is the līlā performed by Shrī Kṛṣṇa as a youth in Braj. The erotic play of Kṛṣṇa with Rādhā and the gopis is included with the kishoralīlā. Kṛṣṇa's Brajlīlā is divided into three periods: bālalīlā, the līlās performed by Kṛṣṇa from his birth until he reached the age of five: paugandalīlā, the līlās performed by Kṛṣṇa from age five to age seven or eight: kishoralīlā, the līlās performed by Kṛṣṇa from age seven or eight up to his departure from King Nanda's tribe of cowherds to go to Mathurā. See: Shukla, Kavivara Paramānandadāsa...., pp. 195-196.

went down the hill to the bottom, where he turned and prostrated himself to the banner of Shrī Govardhananāthaji.¹ When he arose, he went straight to his cottage at Surabhīkuṇḍa without speaking a single word to anyone: he was deeply engrossed in the rasa of the celebration of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's birth in Nanda's house. It was at this time that Paramānandadāsa decided to abandon his earthly body. He lay down to sleep at Surabhīkunda.

Meanwhile, during the interval between the rājabhoga darshana and the utthāpana darshana at Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple, Shrī Gusānījī asked his sevakas, "I did not see Paramānandadāsa today at the rājabhoga darshana; where has he gone?"

In reply, a Vaisnava said, "Mahārāja, today, Paramanandadāsa seemed very restless and would talk to no one; now he is asleep down at Surabhīkunda."

When Shrī Gusānījī had heard this, he took that Vaiṣṇava with him and went to Surabhīkuṇḍa. Shrī Gusānījī saw Paramānandadāsa sleeping there and went over to him and laid his holy hand on his brow, saying: "I am aware of your intention, Paramānandadāsa; today it has been very difficult to have darshana of you." When Paramānandadāsa heard the words of Shrī Gusānījī, he awakened and threw himself on the ground before Shrī Gusānījī. Then he composed a pada of supplication to Shrī Gusānījī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Harirāyajī describes the *pada* that Paramānandadāsa sang as beginning with an entreaty to Shrī Gusānījī to show his favour to those who love him and then continuing with a series of praises of Shrī Gusānījī as the giver of refuge, of knowledge of the *Veda* and of the *Bhāgavata Purāna*, and of the divine *līlā* of Brai.]

At that time, the Vaiṣṇava who had come to Paramānandadāsa with Shrī Gusāṇījī asked, "Paramānandadāsa, please tell me what I must do in order that Shrī Ṭhākurajī might be pleased with me and show his favour to me; I will do whatever you tell me to do."

When Paramānandadāsa heard this question, he was delighted with the Vaiṣṇava and said in reply, "Listen attentively to what I am going to say; I am going to give you a simple and easy course of action. If you listen carefully to what I say, you will be able to attain the goal that you desire." After Paramānandadāsa had in this manner prepared the Vaiṣṇava, he sang the following pada to him in which he answered his question:

¹Vaisnava temples that are in use fly a banner.

Immediately upon awakening in the morning, sing in praise of Shrī Vallabha, the son of Shrī Lakṣmaṇa,¹ who bestows the gift of bhakti;

And praise Shrī Vitthalanātha who has the radiant form of Shrī Vallabha Mahāprabhu, and also Shrī Giridhara who shines with splendour;

Sing out in praise of Shrī Govinda who is called "the Cloud of Bliss" and of Shrī Bālakṛṣṇa who embodies the wonderful play of Kṛṣṇa the child;

And of Shrī Gokulanāthajī who has explained the Puṣṭimārga, and of Shrī Raghunātha who puts the god of love to shame;

And of Shrī Yadunātha who is permeated with Bhagavān, and of Shrī Ghanashyāma² who is filled with learning;

Praise Vitthalanātha who is master of the Veda, Paramānandadāsa says that the Sun in his chariot is worn out from watching this endless *līlā*.

When Shrī Gusānijī and the other Vaiṣṇavas who had gathered around Paramānandadāsa heard this *pada*, their hearts were filled with pleasure. Then Shrī Gusānijī asked Paramānandadāsa what he was thinking about at that moment and Paramānandadāsa answered by singing a *pada* about the *līlā* of Rādhā and Kṛṣṇa in union. As soon as he had sung this *pada*, he abandoned his earthly body and entered the divine *līlā*.

Shrī Gusānījī bathed and had the utthāpana darshana sevā performed in Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple; after the utthāpana darshana had been completed, Shrī Gusānījī had the other darshana periods persented until the shayana darshana was finished and the temple was closed for the night. Shrī Gusānījī left the temple and went down to Gopālapura at the foot of the hill and sat in the place of honour reserved for him there. Meanwhile, all of the Vaiṣṇavas had cremated Paramānandadāsa's corpse and were just entering Gopālapura when Shrī Gusānījī arrived. The Vaiṣṇavas gathered around Shrī Gusānījī and glorified Paramānandadāsa. Then Shrī Gusānījī praised Paramānandadāsa before all of the Vaiṣṇavas in these words: "Two oceans appeared in this Puṣṭimārga, one was Sūradāsa and the other was Paramānandadāsa. The hearts of those two are where the jewels of the līlā of Bhagavān are piled up in unfathomable rasa."

¹Shrī Lakşmana is Lakşmana Bhatta, Vallabhācārya's father. See pp. 23-26 in Part I above and: Shāstrī, II, pp. 6-13.

²Giridhara, Govinda, Bālakṛṣṇa, Gokulanātha, Raghunātha, Yadunātha, and Ghanashyāma were the seven sons of Shrī Gusāṇji Vitthalanātha. See pp. 42, 54-58 of Part I above and: Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, *Braj ke Dharmu-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa* (Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968), pp. 281-295.

THE BHAKTI SECT OF VALLABHACARYA

Paramānandadāsajī was a *Bhagavadiya* who had received the blessing of Shrī Ācāryajī and with whom Shrī Govardhananāthajī was always pleased. There is no limit to the extent of Paramānandadāsa's $v\bar{a}rt\bar{a}$; his $v\bar{a}rt\bar{a}$ can never be fully told.

The Vārtā of Kumbhanadāsa

[The vārtā of Kumbhanadāsa¹ opens with a bhāvaprakāsha in which Harirāyajī explains the ādhidaivika identity and the ādhibhautika birth of Kumbhanadāsa.]

Now the bhāva of the vārtā of Kumbhanadāsa will be described. Kumbhanadāsa, who was a Goravā Kṣatriya living at Jamunāvatā was a sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and a member of the Aṣṭachāpa school of poets.

In the daytime $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$, Kumbhanadāsajī is the close $sakh\bar{a}$ of Shrī Thākurajī whose name is Arjuna while, in the nocturnal $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$, he is Visākhā—one of Shrī Svāminījī's $sakh\bar{i}s$. Besides having the form of Kumbhanadāsa, Visākhājī also has the form of Kṛṣṇadāsa Meghana;² just as Kumbhanadāsa never allowed himself to be separated from Shrī Govardhananāthajī, so did Kṛṣṇadāsa Meghana always stay by Shrī Ācāryajī's side through all of Shrī Ācāryajī's travels around India. Kumbhanadāsa, then, has, in $l\bar{i}l\bar{a}$, the $sakh\bar{a}$ -form called Arjuna and the $sakh\bar{i}$ -form called Visākhā. There are eight gates into Girirāja; one of these eight gates³ is near Ānyora and Kumbhanadāsa is the master of the $sev\bar{a}$ at that gate.

Kumbhanadāsa was a native of the village of Jamunāvatā. At the time of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's divine *līlā* on earth in Braj, the sacred Yamunā River had two different channels. One of these channels was the present-day bed of the Yamunā River which runs by Cīraghāta and Gokula;

¹Накікāyajī Caurāsī Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā [Tīn Janma kī Līlā Bhāvanāvālī], ed. Dvārakā-Dāsa Parīkha (Mathurā: Dvārakādāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 447-494.

²See p. 151 above.

³See pp. 134-135 above.

⁴Jamunāvatā (or, Jamunāvatau) is a small village near Parāsolī in the vicinity of Govardhana Hill. See: Praвно Dayāla Mītala, *Braj kā Sānskṛtika Itihāsa* (Delhi: Rājakamala Prakāshana, 1966), p. 110.

the other channel, which no longer exists for laukika people, left the main channel at Cîraghāṭa and went by Govardhana Hill to the Candrasarovara at Parāsolī then to the village of Jamunāvatā and, finally, rejoined the main channel to pass on to Āgrā. At that time, of course, Āgrā and many other cities, towns, and villages did not exist.

It was at the Candrasarovara, by the second channel of the Yamuna, that the wonderful rāsa līlā and the other events narrated in the "Rāsapancādhyāi" of the Bhāgavata Purāna took place. It was there at the Candrasarovara that Krsna and Rādhā vanished from the other gopis; it was there that the gopis, miserable because Krsna had abandoned them without satisfying their desire for union, asked all of the trees and vines where Krsna had gone; it was at Candrasarovara that the distraught gopis discovered the prints of the lotus-like feet of Shri Krsna and followed them up to Apsarākunda where they saw that Shrī Krsna had paused to braid Rādhā's hair and decorate it with flowers and vermilion powder. When the gopis followed the divine footprints on that occasion, from Apsarākunda to Rudrakunda, they found Rādhā weeping inconsolably. It is from the weeping of Rādhā that the place came to be called "Rudrakunda". There, Rādhā tearfully explained to the gopis that, becoming swollen with pride at being chosen by Shri Krsna, she had told Krsna that she could no longer walk and would have to be carried; Krsna had asked her to climb up onto his shoulders and, as she had been preparing to do so, he had suddenly vanished. Then all of the *Brajbhakta-gopis* continued searching for Krsna and singing sad songs of lament until they came to Jamunavata and the sandy shore of the Yamuna: there they all sat down and wept. As the gopis sat there in sorrow, Krsna suddenly reappeared and took all of the gopīs back to Candrasarovara at Parāsolī and there they all performed the mind-dazzling rāsa-līlā. After they had been exhausted from the rāsa-līlā, they all refreshed themselves by playing in the water of the Yamuna. That was the way that the rasa-līlā described in the "Rāsapancādhyāi" was performed in the vicinity of Govardhana Hill.

Many reminders of the *līlā* described in the "Rāsapancādhyāi" can be seen at the thick, dark forest called Shyāmadhāka³ near Jatīpurā (Gopālapura). The *rāsa-līlā*, according to some, was also performed between Kālīdaha Ghāṭa⁴ and Bansīvaṭa⁵ at Bṛndābana; however, though there may be two places where *rāsa-līlā* was performed, the primary place was at Parāsolī by Govardhana Hill. A *rāsa-līlā* in the

¹See note number 2 on p. 134 above.

²In Sanskrit the word "rudra" means "crying" or "howling". A kunda is a spring or small pool of water. See: Monier Monier-Williams, A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960), pp. 289-883.

³Mītala, p. 113.

⁴*Ihid.*, p. 133.

⁵¹bid., p. 134.

spring also took place at Keshī Ghāṭa¹ below Baṇsīvaṭa. Between Nandagāṇva² and Barasānā³ there is a place called Saṇketa;⁴ the second channel of the Yamunā flowed by Saṇketa towards Jamunāvātā. People today lack firm belief in these holy matters; if they would see the sacred places described above and listen to tales about them, then their belief would be strengthened and they would be moved spiritually.

[At this point begin the prasangas of Kumbhanadāsa's vārtā.]

Prasanga 1

Kumbhanadāsa used to live at Jamunāvatā and farm his ancestral fields at Parāsolī on the edge of the Candrasarovara. From childhood, Kumbhanadāsa had led the upright life of a true Brajvāsī; he did not lie and he did nothing sinful. From his earliest childhood also, Kumbhanadāsa never felt attracted to the life of the family man. When Kumbhanadāsa grew up, he was married to an ordinary girl who had no connection with the divine *līlā*; nevertheless, since intimate association with a *Bhagavadīya* Vaiṣnava like Kumbhanadāsa can never be in vain, Kumbhanadāsa's bride would eventually be worthy of deliverance (uddhāra). The marriage took place in the village of Jeta⁵ which is near Bahulābana⁶ in the neighbourhood of Mathurā. At this time, Shrī Govardhananāthajī had not yet appeared on Govardhana Hill and Shrī Ācāryajī had not yet arrived to summon Kumbhanadāsa to Shrī Govardhananāthajī to take refuge with him; consequently, Kumbhanadāsa had not yet become a famous *Bhagavadīya*.

Meanwhile, Shrī Ācāryajī, while he was at Jhārakhaṇḍa in South India in the course of his first pilgrimage around India, had had a dream in which Shrī Govardhananāthajī had appeared and said: "I have appeared out of Govardhana Hill; reveal me there and establish sevā for me in the world." As soon as Shrī Ācāryajī had received this divine message, he suspended his tour and hurried north to Braj. With Shrī Ācāryajī were five of his sevakas: Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī, Kṛṣṇadāsa Meghana, Mādhava Bhaṭṭa, Rāmadāsa Sikandarapuravālā, and Nārā-yanadāsa. When Shrī Ācāryajī and his sevakas reached Braj, they went straight to Govardhana Hill. At Govardhana Hill, they went to the village of Ānyora—which is right at the base of the hill—and called at the house of Sadū Pāṇḍe where Shrī Ācāryajī asked about the appearance of the divine svarūpa on Govardhana Hill. The events of the revelation

¹*Ibid.*, p. 133.

²During his youth in Braj, Shrī Kṛṣṇa lived at Nandagānva. See: ibid., p. 122.

³Rādhā lived at Barasānā. See: ibid., pp. 118-119.

⁴Sanketa, midway between Nandagānva and Barasānā, is the place where Rādhā and Krsna used to meet secretly. See: *ibid.*, p. 122.

^{5/}bid., p. 130.

⁶lbid., pp. 102-103.

of that svarūpa as Shrī Govardhananāthajī have been related in the vārtā of Sadū Pāṇḍe.¹ Sadū Pāṇḍe, his brother Māṇikacanda Pāṇḍe, his daughter Naro, and his daughter-in-law Bhavānī all became sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Ācāryajī entrusted the conduct of the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī to another of his new sevakas, Rāmadāsa Cauhāna, who used to live in a cave over near Pūcharī.² A great number of Brajvāsīs became sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī and Shrī Govardhananathajī at that time.

Not far away, in Jamunāvatā, Kurnbhanadāsa had got news that an outstanding person had come to Ānyora, that a divine svarūpa called Shrī Ṭhākura Govardhananāthajī had been revealed on Govardhana Hill, and that Sadū Pāṇḍe and many other Brajvāsīs had become sevakas of that outstanding person, who was called Shrī Ācāryajī. When Kumbhanadāsa had heard this news, he said to his wife, "Let us go to Ānyora and become sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī, for with the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī Shrī Ṭhākurajī will show his grace to us."

In reply, Kumbhanadāsa's wife said, "All right, I will go. I have no son; perhaps Shrī Ācāryajī can use his spiritual power to help me get one."

When Kumbhanadāsa and his wife had come before Shrī Ācāryajī and greeted him reverently, Shrī Ācāryajī asked. "Kumbhanadāsa! Why have you come here?"

Kumbhanadāsa, who was a daivī jīva and so was able to perceive Shrī Ācāryajī's extraordinary nature, answered, "Mahārāja, I have been living a spiritually empty life for many years, now please have mercy on me."

When Shrī Ācāryajī had heard the words of Kumbhanadāsa, he said, "Both you and your wife must go and bathe and then return to me."

After Kumbhanadāsa and his wife had bathed in Sankarṣaṇakuṇḍa,³ they went back to Shrī Ācāryajī and he initiated them with the divine name. As soon as she had received the initiation, Kumbhanadāsa's wife said to Shrī Ācāryajī, "Mahārāja! You are great and mighty. I have no son; please take pity on me and grant me one."

When Shrī Ācāryajī heard this, he was pleased and said, "Do not worry. You will have seven sons."

These words delighted Kumbhanadāsa's wife. But, Kumbhanadāsa said to her, "Why did you make that request of Shrī Ācāryajī? If you had asked him for Shrī Ṭhākurajī, then he would have given you Shrī Ṭhākurajī."

But Kumbhanadāsa's wife answered, "I asked for what I desired:

¹Harirāyajī, pp. 336-343; see also pp. 28-29 in Part I above.

²Mîtala, p. 111.

³¹bid., p. 110.

you should ask for whatever you desire." Kumbhanadāsa had no reply to his wife's answer and could only keep silent.

After a short time. Shrī Ācārvajī had a small shrine erected for Shrī Govardhanadhara; when the shrine was ready, he had Shrī Govardhanadhara installed within it and appointed Rāmadāsa Cauhāna to maintain the sevā at the shrine. Sadū Pānde and the other Brajvāsīs were to bring pure food—like milk, voghurt, and butter—to Rāmadāsa to be offered to Shri Govardhanadhara and Rāmadāsa was to keep the prasāda for his own livelihood. On the occasion of the dedication of the shrine. Shrī Ācārvajī gave the following instructions to Kumbhanadāsa and the other Braivāsī bhaktas of Shrī Govardhananāthajī: "Shrī Govardhananāthaiī is everything to us; therefore, you must always be devoted to his sevā and you must never take any of the prasāda until you have first had darshana of him." In these words, Shrī Ācāryajī commanded his sevakas to perform the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī carefully. Since Kumbhanadāsa had a beautiful voice and could compose excellent kīrtanas. Shrī Ācaryajī assigned him the permanent duty of singing kīrtanas before Shrī Govardhananāthaiī.

One day, at dawn, Shrī Ācāryajī awakened Shrī Govardhananāthajī and then said to Kumbhanadāsa, "Sing something about the *līlā* of Bhagavān." In obedience to Shrī Ācārayajī's wish, Kumbhanadāsa prostrated himself before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and then sang a pada on the theme of Kṛṣṇa's amorous *līlā*. When Kumbhanadāsa had finished the pada, Shrī Ācāryajī asked him, "Kumbhanadāsa, how have you managed to experience the rasa of the nikunja līlā?"

Kumbhanadāsa answered, "Mahārāja, it was by your favour."

Then Shrì Ācāryajī said to Kumbhanadāsa, "You have been divinely blest. Prabhu has given you the ability to experience the power of love; you will always be absorbed in Hari's 1 rasa."

That is why all of the kirtanas composed by Kumbhanadāsa are on the subject of the love of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and Shrī Svāminījī. Kumbhanadāsa sang neither about the celebrations at the birth of Kṛṣṇa, nor about the infancy of Kṛṣṇa, nor about his childhood līlā.

Thus did Shrī Ācāryajī show his favour to Kumbhanadāsa and the other Vaisnavas of Braj; then, in order to continue showing his grace for the people of the world, Shrī Ācāryajī went back towards the south to Jhārakhanda to resume his tour around India.

Prasanga 2

Kumbhanadāsa used to come from Jamunāvatā to Govardhana Hill ¹"Hari" is one of the names of Shri Krsna.

²For examples of Kumbhanadāsa's padas, see: Vrajabhūsaņa Sharmā (ed.), Kumbhanadāsa (Kānkarolī: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1963). Rājesha Dīksita (ed.), Kumbhanadāsa ke Pada (Mathurā: Shrī Jī Prakāshana Mandira, 1966).

every morning in order to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and to sing kīrtanas in front of that divine svarūpa. Shrī Govardhananāthajī used to treat Kumbhanadāsa as an equal and the two often played together and discussed games. But there came a period of several days in which a Muslim raider caused great upheaval as he came from the west looting and murdering in the villages of Braj. This raider established his camp only ten miles from Govardhana Hill. Kumbhanadasa and three other Vaisnavas—Sadū Pānde, Mānikacanda Pānde, and Rāmadāsajī were all very worried about the disaster caused by the arrival of the Muslim raider who was hostile to the dharma of Bhagavan. All four of these Vaisnavas were close companions of Shri Nathaji and all four of them were able to converse with him. The four Vaisnavas entered Shri Nāthajī's shrine one day during the height of the peril created by the raider and asked Shrī Nāthajī, "Mahārāja, what shall we do now? A Muslim raider who is an enemy of your dharma is pillaging the neighbourhood. Tell us what to do and we will do it."

Shrī Govardhananāthajī answered, "Take me away to the thicket of Tonda,² I want to go there."

When the four Vaiṣṇavas asked Shrī Nāthajī how they could carry him—for they had no vehicle, Shrī Nāthajī told them to go get the male water buffalo that was at Sadū Pāṇḍe's house and he would ride on him. Sadū Pāṇḍe went and got the buffalo and the Vaiṣṇavas placed Shrī Govardhananāthajī on his back.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

That buffalo was a daivī jīva who, in līlā, is the wife of a gardener at the house of Vṛṣabhānajī. This gardener's wife always used to bring flower garlands to Vṛṣabhānajī's house; her name is Vṛndā. One day when Shrī Svāminījī came into the garden, Vṛndā, who was busy feeding her infant daughter, stood up but did not prostrate herself in greeting to Shrī Svāminījī and did not apologize for this breach of etiquette. Shrī Svāminījī, however, let it go and did not say anything. Later, Shrī Svāminījī told Vṛndā to go to King Nanda's house and invite Shrī Thākurajī to come to visit her. When Vṛndā heard what Shrī Svāmanījī wanted her to do, she refused to go, saying that she still had to finish the flower garland on which she was working and, when the garland was finished, she would have to run an errand for Vṛṣabhānajī. When Shrī Svāminījī received Vṛndā's refusal, she said to her: "When I entered the garden just now, you stood up and

¹Since Kumbhanadāsa was a sakhā in lilā, he could express his devotion to Shrī Govardhananāthajī through sakhya bhāva on earth. See pp. 88-89 in Part I above.

²MĪTALA, p. 115.

³Vrsabhāna was the father of Shrī Svāminījī (Rādhā).

did not show proper respect to me. On top of that, now you have refused to do what I have told you to do. You are not fit to remain in this garden; you must fall to earthly existence and take birth as a male buffalo." Those were the words with which Shrī Svāminījī cursed Vṛndā. Then Vṛndā fell at Shrī Svāminījī's feet and began to beg and plead to be allowed to come back to Shrī Svāminījī's garden. Shrī Svāminījī told her that she would allow her to return only after she had carried Shrī Ṭhākurajī on her back through a forest; as soon as she had done that, she would lose her buffalo's body and become again a sakhī in the garden at Vṛṣabhānajī's house. The gardener's wife then became a male buffalo at Sadū Pānde's house.]

As soon as Shrī Nāthajī was on the back of the buffalo, the group set out for the Tonda thicket. Rāmadāsajī walked along one side of the buffalo and held Shrī Nāthajī while Sadū Pānde steadied him from the other side; Kumbhanadāsa and Mānikacanda Pānde went in front leading the buffalo and clearing the path of brambles and brush. There were so many thorny bushes and briers along the way that the Vaisnavas' clothing was soon in tatters and the Vaisnavas themselves covered with painful cuts and scratches. Furthermore, the trail was rough and rocky. Finally, they reached the centre of the Tonda thicket, which was a bower with a brook running through it. It was towards this spot that Kumbhanadasa and Mānikacanda Pānde led the buffalo carrying Shrī Nāthaiī, always being careful to remove the thorny branches that might hurt Shrī Nāthajī. In the middle of the bower that was at the centre of the Tonda thicket there was a small pond, a circular area free of vegetation, and a dais-like area of elevated ground. When Rāmadāsajī and Kumbhanadāsajī asked Shrī Nāthajī where he would like to be seated, he instructed them to seat him on the dais-like area. Accordingly, the Vaisnavas took the cushion that had served as Shri Nathaji's saddle during the journey, placed it on the dais, and seated Shrī Nāthajī on the cushion. After this had been done. Shrī Nāthajī said to Rāmadāsa, "Make a food offering to me and then stand aside at a distance."

Rāmandāsajī and Kumbhanadāsajī thought to themselves, "Shrī Nāthajī is going to perform *līlā* here in order to satisfy the desires of some *Brajbhaktas*."

When Rāmadāsajī offered only a small portion of the food supply to Shrī Nāthajī, Shrī Nāthajī commanded him to offer all of the food and not just a part of it. When Rāmadāsajī had done so, he said to Shrī Nāthajī, "Now I have offered all of our food. If we are going to stay here, what are we going to do for food?"

To this Shrī Govardhananāthajī replied, "We are not going to stay here; we have accomplished our purpose in coming here."

Then, as Rāmadāsajī, Kumbhanadāsa, Sadū Pāṇḍe, and Māṇika-canda Pāṇḍe all discreetly retired to the cover of a nearby tree, Shrī Svāminījī stepped into the clearing within the bower (nikuñja) and selected what pleased her from the food offering. Then she took the food and walked over to Shrī Govardhananāthajī and the two ate together. After she had eaten, Shrī Svāminījī began to feel a little tired; therefore, Shrī Govardhananāthajī said to Kumbhanadāsa, "Kumbhanadāsa! Sing some kīrtanas that will amuse Shrī Svāminījī and make her forget her fatigue. I will eat while you sing."

Kumbhanadāsa thought to himself, "Prabhu feels like hearing something humourous." Kumbhanadāsa then sang a pada teasing Shrī Nāthajī for asking the poor Vaiṣṇavas, hungry and full of thorn scratches, to entertain Shrī Svāminījī and himself. Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Svāminījī were both delighted by Kumbhanadāsa's pada. The other Vaisnavas felt very pleased when they heard it.

Later, Shrī Svāminījī asked Shrī Govardhanadhara how he had gotten to the bower and he replied that he had ridden on Sadū Pāṇḍe's buffalo. Shrī Svāminījī then looked at the buffalo and said, "This is the wife of the caretaker of my garden; because of my curse, she has become a male buffalo. However, the noble sevā that she has done today in carrying you here has absolved her of her sin." After Shrī Svāminījī and Shrī Govardhananāthajī had played a variety of games in the thicket of Tonda, Shrī Svāminījī went back to Barasānā.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Since the Tonda thicket was so full of thorny plants, one may well wonder how Shrī Svāminijī could enter it. She was able to enter because all of the vegetation of Braj is filled with the divine spirit. In obedience to the divine will (icchā), the trees and vines of Braj become beautiful, harmless, and loaded with flowers and fruits. In those places where laukika people find vicious thorns and stickers there may well be a bower (nikunja) where Shrī Thākurajī is performing his līlā with his Brajbhaktas. Such barriers of thorns are meant to keep out ordinary cowherds and those people who live according to the maryādā mārga² rather than according to the bhakti

¹The padas sung by the Astachāpa poets before Shrī Govardhananāthajī—or before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Svāminījī—are meant primarily for the delight of Shrī Govardhananāthajī (and Shrī Svāminījī) and secondarily for the delight and benefit of other Vaiṣṇavas. The padas were never meant to bring personal fame to the poets themselves.

²The "maryādā mārga" includes all of those doctrines that teach that man may bring about spiritual success for himself by means of his own efforts (which include strict observance of religious and social laws as well as more estoteric disciplines of thought and action). See also pp. 71–73 in Part I above.

mārga. There can be no doubt that the bhaktas of Braj are always very attentive to sevā. When the four Vaiṣṇavas were walking along with Shrī Govardhananāthajī on the back of the buffalo, they encountered many people on the road. Those people, however, saw only four men and a buffalo; they were unable to see Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Furthermore, if there had not been an impenetrable mass of thorns around the bower in the Ṭoṇḍa thicket, then local people would have entered that bower. The rasa of the līlā done by Shrī Ṭhākurajī is, however, not for everyone; it is only for the Brajbhaktas. Rasa is concealed for laukika people because of their fearfulness and because they do not consider the bhāva of divinity. What is to be worshipped in divinity? Since love replaces fear in mādhura rasā, there is no fear to be felt for divinity. By their outlook, people who enjoy rasa are able to feel bliss (ānanda). By means of an alaukika outlook, the rasa of līlā can be experienced.]

After Shrī Svāminiji had left for Barasānā, Shrī Govardhananāthaji summoned the four Bhagavadīyas.

| Bhāvaprakāsha

Since Bhagavadīyas are intimate friends of Shrī Ṭhākurajī, some may wonder why Shrī Govardhananāthajī told the four Bhagavadīyas, when they were to witness the divine līlā, to withdraw to a point some distance away. When the Bhagavadīyas, in their sakhī forms, have darshana of līlā, they feel shy and bashful seeing Shrī Svāminījī and Shrī Ṭhākurajī eating together and engaging in lighthearted play. So, when the two divine svarūpas are performing līlā in the bower (nikuñja) then all of the sakhīs discreetly retire into the shrubbery and watch the līlā through gaps in the screen of vegetation. So, when Shrī Svāminījī had gone, Shrī Govardhananāthajī called the Bhagavadīvas to come back and sit beside him.]

When the *Bhagavadīyas* had sat down, Shrī Govardhananāthajī told Sadū Pāṇḍe find out whether or not the Muslim raider was still looting in the area. Sadū Pāṇḍe went out of the Toṇḍa thicket and soon learned from people coming from the town of Govardhana that the raider and his army had left Braj. Sadū Pāṇḍe quickly returned to the bower and gave this welcome news to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Shrī Govardhananāthajī, as soon as he had heard Sadū Pāṇḍe's report, had the Vaisnavas put him

¹ Mādhura rasa is the experience of the bliss of union with Shrī Kṛṣṇa that is felt by one who has cultivated perfectly madhura bhāva (madhura bhāva is described on pp. 89-90 of Part I above).

back on the buffalo and take him back to his temple on Girirāja. As the buffalo, after Shrī Govardhanadhara had been safely restored to his temple, was going back down the sacred hill towards Sadū Pāṇḍe's house, he suddenly died and the gardener's wife was able to resume her place in *līlā*.

Later, all of the *Brajvāsīs*, who had come in a spirit of thanksgiving to have *darshana* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, burst out shouting, "Devadamana¹ is most auspicious; by his majesty the turmoil which had come upon us was dissipated in a moment!" Then Kumbhanadāsa pleased Shrī Nāthajī by singing several *padas* before him. In this way, the *padas* of Kumbhanadāsa became renowned in the world.

Prasanga 3

Kumbhanadāsa composed many padas and people everywhere began to sing them. A musician learned one of Kumbhanadāsa's padas and sang it before the Emperor Akbar in his palace at Fatehpur Sīkrī. When Akbar had heard this pada, he was deeply moved and exclaimed, "No one on earth has had such darshana of the Supreme Being as was enjoyed by the saint who composed this pada."

When the musician had heard Akbar's reaction to the pada, he said, "Sāhib! The saint who composed this pada lives very near here. His name is Kumbhanadāsa and he dwells in the village of Jamunāvatā over by Govardhana Hill."

When Akbar had got this information from the musician, he sent some of his men and several different kinds of vehicles to bring Kumbhanadāsa back to the royal palace so that he might meet with him. When Akbar's men reached Jamunāvatā, they found that Kumbhanadāsa was at his field by Candrasarovara near Parāsolī and not in Jamunāvatā. As soon as a villager could be found who would serve as a guide, Akbar's men set out for Kumbhanadāsa's field. When Akbar's men had finally found Kumbhanadāsa and told him that he was wanted at the imperial court, Kumbhanadāsa said, "I am a poor *Brajvāsī* and I am no one's servant. What does the emperor want with me? Why should I go to him?"

To this Akbar's men replied, "Bābā Sāhib! We know nothing but the emperor's order to us. He told us to bring you and be sent a horse-drawn buggy with us for you to ride in. So, please get into the buggy so that we may go. We came here at the command of the emperor; we must bring you back with us. If we should disobey the imperial order and return without you, then the emperor would have us executed. Please come with us to meet the emperor; when you you have met with him then you can come back here."

1"Devadamana" was the name by which Shrī Govardhananāthajī was known before Shrī Ācāryajī revealed his true name. See pp. 22, 28 in Part I above.

At this Kumbhanadāsa thought to himself, "A terrible misfortune has befallen me, but I have no choice but to go. I will have to face whatever may come."

As Kumbhanadāsa was hesitating, Akbar's men again urged him to climb into the vehicle so that they could hurry back to the imperial court. Kumbhanadāsa, however, silenced them and said, "I have never ridden in a buggy and I am not going to do so now. I will put on a pair of shoes and go to Fatehpur Sīkrī on foot." Although Akbar's men pleaded with Kumbhanadāsa to ride in the buggy, they could not persuade him and he walked all the way to Fatehpur Sīkrī.

When the party reached Akbar's palace and the emperor was notified of Kumbhanadāsa's arrival, he invited Kumbhanadāsa to come to him at once and the poet complied. When Kumbhanadāsa came into the presence of the emperor, he was clad in a short shirt, a torn and dirty turban, a simple *dhotī*, and he was wearing a worn-out pair of shoes on his feet. Akbar greeted him and asked him to sit down. The pavilion in which the two men were seated glowed with inlaid jewels and pearls and was sweetly scented with perfume. Kumbhanadāsa, however, was very unhappy and said to himself, "I feel as though I were sitting in Naraka." I much prefer the trees of Braj, among which Shrī Govardhanadhara likes to play."

Then Akbar spoke to Kumbhanadāsa, "Bābā Sāhib, you have composed a great many verses about Viṣṇu; I would like to hear some of those padas straight from your mouth."

Upon hearing Akbar's request, Kumbhanadāsa became troubled and thought to himself, "I will not be able to avoid singing. Yet, I cannot sing padas about Shrī Ṭhākurajī's līlā in front of a mleccha.² What shall I sing? Only Shrī Govardhananāthajī deserves to hear my songs. By summoning me here, this mleccha has separated me from Shrī Nāthajī; therefore, I will sing him a pada that will probably make him angry. But, even if he should become angry, he cannot harm me. As wise people say, 'One who has been accepted by Shrī Kṛṣṇa is always safe and will never, even if the entire universe be against him, lose a single hair from his head'." With these thoughts in his mind, Kumbhanadāsa composed the following pada and sang it before Akbar:

A bhakta has no business coming to Sīkrī; I ruined my shoes in going there and I forgot Hari's name.

¹Naraka is the lower third of the world in Hindu mythology; it is inhabited by demons. Naraka is also a place of suffering to which evil human souls fall. See: JOHN DOWSON, A Classical Dictionary of Hindu Mythology (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1968), pp. 219-220.

²A mleccha is a non-Hindu; in the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan ki Vārtā the word mleccha is a synonym for "Muslim".

Now I must pay homage to one whose very face brings sorrow; Kumbhanadāsa says, without Lāla Giridhara¹ this whole palace is only a sham.

At first, when the emperor had heard this pada, his heart was filled with anger. But, after a moment of reflection, he said to himself, "If Kumbhanadāsa had been greedy, he would have tried to flatter me." Thus, Akbar realized that Kumbhanadāsa was devoted to his god above all else. Then Akbar said to Kumbhanadāsa, "Bābā Sāhib, I will carry out any order that you deign to give me."

Kumbhanadāsa replied, "From this day on, you must never call me here again." Upon hearing Kumbhanadāsa's words, Akbar dismissed him.

As Kumbhanadāsa was travelling along the road back to Jamunāvatā, he was deeply troubled by the sorrow of viraha. While in this mood, wondering when he would again be able to look upon the face of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, he began to compose padas on the theme of viraha. When Kumbhanadāsa reached Govardhananāthajī's temple, he immediately went to have darshana. Although he had only been away from Shrī Nāthajī for a few hours, Kumbhanadāsa felt that he had been away for at least two yugas; but, as soon as Kumbhanadāsa looked upon the radiant face of Shrī Nāthajī, he forgot every bit of his sadness. In his joy he sang many padas. When Shrī Govardhananāthajī heard Kumbhanadāsa's joyful padas, he said, "Kumbhanadāsa! You are blest. You will never be separated from me even for a moment; I do not enjoy anything without you." Such was the mutual affection of Kumbhanadāsa and Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

Prasanga 4

Rājā Mānasingha had great success and was able to invade many regions and conquer them.³ After he had first gone to Akbar to confer with him, Rājā Mānasingha decided that he would go to Mathurā to bathe in the holy Yamunā before returning into his own country. He was, furthermore, anxious to make up for the neglect in religious observances

1"Lāla Giridhara" (Lāla who holds up the Mountain) is one of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's titles. The authenticity of this poem and of the meeting of Kumbhanadāsa with Akbar is accepted by Sarayū Prasāda Agravāla in his study of Hindī poets at Akbar's court. See; Sarayū Prasāda Agravāla, Akbari Darabāra ke Hindī Kavi (Lucknow: Lucknow University, 1951), p. 35.

²The vast period-of time that makes up one cosmic cycle (kalpa) is divided into four vugas.

³Rājā Mānasingha, the famous ruler of Āmer (Jaipur) during the reign of Akbar, was one of Akbar's best generals and closest-friends. His aunt was married to Akbar. See: James Tod, *Rājasthāna kā Itihāsa (Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan)*, trans. into Hindī by Keshava Kumāra Ţhākura (Allāhābād: Ādarsha Hindī Pustakālaya, 1965), pp. 634-635. Percival Spear, *A History of India* (Baltimore: Penguin Books, 1968), p. 34.

that had been necessitated by his wars by visiting the leading shrines of Braj. First, Rājā Mānasingha bathed at the Vishrānta Ghāta in Mathurā and then he visited the temple of Shrī Kesorāyajī in the same city. It was, at the time of Rājā Mānasingha's visit, Jyaistha (May-June), the hottest month of the year. Since the Caube Brāhmanas² knew that Rājā Mānasingha would be coming to have darshana of Shrī Kesorāvajī, they dressed Shrī Kesorāyajī in an impressive array of garments made of golden thread; his shawl, his dhoti, his turban, and the canopy over his head were all made of golden cloth.3 Besides all of this, Shrī Kesorāyajī was also given golden jewels to wear. When Raja Manasingha had had darshana of Shrī Kesorāyajī, he thought to himself, "They have put on all of this display just for my benefit." Then he gave an offering and left the temple. Next. Rājā Mānasingha went to Brndābana where he knew there were many temples belonging to Shri Krsna. When the temple authorities and religious leaders of Brndabana found out that Raja Manasingha would be coming to have darshana at temples of Shri Krsna in Brndabana, they dressed the images of Shri Krsna in the costliest and most lavish clothes and jewels of gold that they possessed. Rājā Mānasingha had darshana in three or four of the largest temples in Brndabana, left donations at each, and—feeling very hot and convinced that each temple had shown its most valuable jewels and garments in order to impress him-returned to his camp. After a short time, Rājā Mānasingha left Brndabana and set out for Govardhana Hill. Late in the afternoon, Rājā Mānasingha reached Gopālapura and inquired when he might have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. He was told that the utthāpana darshana had just been completed and that the bhoga darshana was being readied. When the hour of the bhoga darshana was near, Raja Mānasingha began to climb up Govardhana Hill. By the time the rājā, who had had to remove his shoes so as not to violate the purity of the sacred hill, had reached the temple of Shri Nathaji, he was sweating profusely in the heat; but when, after the temple gates had been opened for the bhoga darshana, he entered and saw Shri Govardhananāthaji, he became at once cool. In those days, the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī had been greatly embellished; since it was summer rosewater had been sprinkled here and there in the sanctuary and the breeze created by a large

¹The famous temple of Kesorāyajī (Keshava Deva) was destroyed in A.D. 1669 and a mosque, which still stands, was erected on its ruins. For information on the temple, see: F. S. Growse, *Mathurā*: A District Memoir (Allāhābād: N. W. Provinces and Oudh Government Press, 1883), pp. 126-131.

²See p. 111 above and: Growse, pp. 9-10.

³All of these precious and luxurious garments of golden cloth would have been very hot in the summer months; but, since the Caube Brāhmaṇas were not followers of the *Puṣṭimārga*, they were concerned only with giving an impression of great and lavish wealth and gave no thought to the comfort of the divine image Shrī Kesorāyajī.

fan was delightfully laden with incense and perfume. Shrī Govardhananāthajī himself was dressed all in pearls: a necklace of pearls was around his handsome neck and earrings and other ornaments of pearl were tastefully placed on his body; on his head was a beautiful white turban.¹ Upon seeing the wonderful figure of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, coolness spread through every pore of Rājā Mānasingha's body. Rājā Mānasingha realized that, at last, he had found the true sevā of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and, with deep satisfaction, he whispered to himself, "Here Shrī Kṛṣṇa has been perfectly enshrined. I knew from the words of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa that Shrī Kṛṣṇa became physically manifest on earth; now I have found Shrī Govardhananāthajī, who is Shrī Kṛṣṇa himself in manifestation. I am most fortunate indeed to have been able to witness such darshana."

Just as Rājā Mānasingha was whispering these words to himself, Kumbhanadāsa began singing padas before Shrī Govardhananāthajī in which he described the splendour of Shrī Govardhananāthajī which enraptures the heart of the beholder like the beauty of ten million Kāmadevas.² When Kumbhanadāsa had finished singing these padas, the signal for the end of the bhoga darshana period was given and Rājā Mānasingha prostrated himself before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and then returned to his camp. Meanwhile, Kumbhanadāsa remained at the temple of Shrī Nāthajī performing his sevā of singing kīrtanas filled with love and devotion until the last darshana period of the day had been completed; then he went back to his house in Jamunāvatā.

Later, in his own camp, Rājā Mānasingha began to tell his men about the glory of the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. In the course of his account of the sevā, he described the Vaiṣṇava padas sung by the kīrtana singer in the temple and praised them again and again, saying that they went straight to the heart and were unlike any padas that he had ever heard before in his life. He finished his praise by asking his men if any of them knew the name of the man who had composed and sung those kīrtanas. In reply, one of Rājā Mānasingha's men, who was a Brajvāsī, said: "The poet about whom you are asking is a Goravā Kṣatriya named Kumbhanadāsa who makes his living by farming his land. Surely you have heard how the Emperor Akbar summoned this same Kumbhanadāsa to his court but could not persuade him to accept even one small gift. Kumbhanadāsa is an extraordinary man."

'Since the followers of the *Pustimārga* consider the divine *svarūpa* to be Shrī Kṛṣṇa himself, they are always concerned about the comfort of the *svarūpa*. Thus, in the hot season, Shrī Govardhananāthaji is dressed in pearls—which are considered "cool"—and in as little other clothing as possible. In addition, a fan must be supplied for further comfort.

²Kāmadeva, the Hindu god of love, is supposed to be wonderfully handsome. See note number 2 on p. 147 above.

When Rājā Mānasingha had heard the answer given by the *Brajvāsi*, he decided that he would have to meet with Kumbhanadāsa. Since it was night, he could not go to Kumbhanadāsa at that moment; however, he determined to go the first thing on the following morning. True to his decision, Rājā Mānasingha got up early in the morning and travelled around Govardhana Hill to the Candrasarovara at Parāsolī where Kumbhanadāsa had his field:

Before the rājā had reached Candrasarovara, Kumbhanadāsa had already bathed and sat down on the ground in his field. While he was sitting there, Shrī Govardhananāthajī appeared. When Kumbhanadāsa caught sight of Shrī Govardhananāthajī's radiant face, he called to the divine boy, "Bābā! Come over and sit in my lap."

Shrī Govardhananāthajī immediately skipped over to Kumbhanadāsa and seated himself in his lap. As he climbed into Kumbhanadāsa's lap, Shrī Govardhananāthajī said, "Kumbhanadāsa, I have come here to say something to you."

Just then, before Shrī Govardhananāthajī was able to tell Kumbhanadāsa what it was that he had wanted to say, Rājā Mānasingha entered the field. When Shrī Govardhananāthajī saw the rājā approaching, he became frightened and dashed behind a tree and stood there. Kumbhanadāsa kept his gaze fixed on the place where Shri Govardhananāthajī was standing hidden behind the tree and did even look towards the raja when the raja saluted him and sat down in front of him. At this point, one of Kumbhanadāsa's nieces appeared on the scene with a bowl of barley gruel which she had brought up from Jamunavata for Kumbhanadāsa's breakfast. This niece was well aware that the rājā had come to visit her uncle, for a Brajvāsī had told her that she must go to her uncle as soon as possible since a raja had gone to visit him; the Brajvasi had warned her that she must arrive in time to accept any present that the rājā might make, for Kumbhanadāsa himself would never even touch such a gift. When this niece saw Kumbhanadāsa ignoring Rājā Mānasingha and staring fixedly in the direction of a tree, she said, "Bābā, the rājā is sitting here patiently; you should attend to him."

In answer to his niece, Kumbhanadāsa said: "What is it to me if the rājā has sat down? The one to whom I wanted to talk has fled without telling me what he wanted to say to me. Now I don't know if he will speak to me or not."

When Shrī Govardhananāthajī overheard Kumbhanadāsa's reply to his nieçe, he said—in a way that only Kumbhanadāsa could hear—"I am very pleased with you, so don't worry; I will talk with you." When Kumbhanadāsa heard what Shrī Govardhananāthajī said, he was relieved from his anxiety. The rājā and Kumbhanadāsa's niece, however, knew nothing about Shrī Govardhananāthajī's presence behind the tree.

Kumbhanadāsa then turned his attention to his niece and asked her to bring him his mat and his mirror so that he might apply his *tilaka*. Kumbhanadāsa's niece, however, answered, "Bābā, the buffalo calf has eaten the mat and drunk the mirror."

To this Kumbhanadāsa said, "Well then, bring me a container of water."

Rājā Mānasingha was, at first, mystified by Kumbhanadāsa's niece's statement that the buffalo calf had eaten the mat and drunk the mirror; but, when he saw the girl pour water in a bowl and set it in front of Kumbhanadāsa and then spread grass on the ground for Kumbhanadāsa to sit upon, he realized that Kumbhanadāsa was so poor that he had to use grass for his mat and water in a bowl for his mirror. The rājā's theory was confirmed when Kumbhanadāsa looked at the reflection of his face in the bowl of water as he put his tilaka on his forehead. Rājā Mānasingha saw that everything that he had heard about Kumbhanadāsa's disregard for worldly possessions was absolutely true, At once, Rājā Mānasingha sent one of his retainers back to his camp to get his own golden, jewelstudded mirror. When the retainer had returned with the mirror, Rājā Mānasingha placed it before Kumbhanadāsa as a gift, saying, "Bābā Sāhib! Please look at your face in this mirror and use it when you apply your tilaka."

Kumbhanadāsa, however, refused the rājā's present with these words, "Brother, where would I keep a mirror like this? Since my house is thatched, a robber would easily be able to break in, kill me, and steal the mirror. I have no use for this mirror."

Upon hearing these words, Rājā Mānasingha thought to himself, "If he should accept this mirror, what, indeed, would he do with it? Where could he even find a buyer for it? He is right; he has no need for this mirror. Still, I can give him enough money for him to buy food for himself and his family for the rest of his life. That is what I will do." Then Rājā Mānasingha set a pouch containing a thousand mohauras² before Kumbhanadāsa.

But Kumbhanadāsa told Rājā Mānasingha, "I don't want this money either. I am a farmer and I am able to grow enough food for myself and my family."

Then Rājā Mānasingha said, "You live in Jamunāvatā; let me have that village given to you for your support."

To this offer, Kumbhanadāsa replied, "I am not a Brāhmana so I

¹For a definition of the *tilaka*, see note number 5 on p. 127 above. For a discussion of the significance of the *tilaka* for modern followers of the teachings of Vallabhācārya, see: ĀNANDĪLĀLA SHĀSTRĪ, *Vaiṣṇavacinhanirūpaṇa* (Nāthadvāra: Shrī Vidyāvibhāga, 1952). pp. 1–15.

²A mohaura was a gold coin of great value.

don't have to accept your charity. If you want to give things away, then go find a Brāhmaṇa to receive your donations. I don't want anything from you."

But Rājā Mānasingha did not give up, and said to Kumbhanadāsa: "Summon your grocer and let me buy food from him for you."

To this, Kumbhanadasa said, "My grocer is just like me."

When Rājā Mānasingha continued to ask Kumbhanadāsa to call his grocer so that he could buy food for him, Kumbhanadāsa said, while pointing to a *karila* tree and a *bera* tree, "In the hot season that *karila* tree is my grocer, for it gives me both flowers and fruit; and in the cold season that *bera* tree is my grocer and generously supplies me with fruit."

When Rājā Mānasingha considered how Kumbhanadāsa lived, he said to himself, "Kumbhanadāsa is indeed fortunate to have a tree for his grocer. I have seen many tyāgīs and vairāgīs, but never has there been on earth a tyāgī to equal Kumbhanadāsa, even though he is a householder." With this thought in mind, Rājā Mānasingha paid homage to Kumbhanadāsa and said, "Bābā Sāhib! Give me an order."

Kumbhanadāsa said, "Will you promise to do whatever I tell you to do?"

Rājā Mānasingha answered, "I will consider myself highly blest to receive a command from you and I will carry out whatever command that you give me."

Then Kumbhanadāsa gave this order to Rājā Mānasingha: "You must never again come near me and you must never again speak to me."

Rājā Mānasingha prostrated himself before Kumbhanadāsa and said, "You have been divinely favoured. In the course of my travels over the world I have met great numbers of devotees of $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, but I have met only one true devotee of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and that one true devotee is you. With these words, Rājā Mānasingha withdrew.

After Rājā Mānasingha had gone, Kumbhanadāsa's niece came to him and said. "There is nothing at all in our house; why didn't you accept the presents that the rājā offered?"

When Kumbhanadāsa heard this, he said, "Be quiet! If Shrī Govar-dhananāthajī should hear you say such a thing, he will think that you are greedy and become very angry."

When Kumbhanadāsa's niece assured Kumbhanadāsa that she had only been joking and that she didn't really want anything, Kumbhanadāsa said to her, "Girl! Never joke about accepting favours from another person."

At just that moment, Shrī Govardhananāthajī came out of hiding and again climbed up into Kumbhanadāsa's lap. When Shrī Govardhana-

nāthajī had settled himself in Kumbhanadāsa's lap, he asked him, "Kumbhanadāsa, why are you a little grouchy. What are you thinking about? Do you want to say something to me?"

In answer to these questions, Kumbhanadāsa sang a beautiful pada expressing his single-minded devotion to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. When Shrī Govardhananāthajī had heard Kumbhanadāsa's pada, he embraced Kumbhanadāsa and said, "Kumbhanadāsa! I have something to say to you."

"Please speak," said Kumbhanadāsa, "for you were going to say something when the rājā interrupted you. Ever since you ran away when the rājā appeared, I have been wondering what you were going to say. Please tell me what was on your mind."

Shrī Govardhananāthajī answered, "Today, the sakhās are having a contest to see which of them can prepare the finest food offering for me. Would you like to compete? That is what I wanted to say to you."

Then Kumbhanadāsa asked, "What would you especially like to eat today?"

Shrī Govardhananāthajī answered, "I would like milk and barley porridge, yoghurt, milk, roṭī¹ made of various different flours, and cooked karīla fruits."

Kumbhanadāsa assured Shrī Govardhananāthajī that all of the foods that he desired were readily available and sent his niece to his house to get them. When the girl returned, she gave Kumbhanadāsa all of the required foods except the milk, which she said was still being boiled. Kumbhanadāsa told her not to have the milk boiled. While Kumbhanadāsa bathed, his niece went back to Jamunāvatā to get a jug of milk and some ground barley. After bathing, Kumbhanadāsa sprinkled salt on roṭīs and placed them on a potsherd. When his niece arrived with the jug of milk and the ground barley, Kumbhanadāsa poured some water into the jug of milk and proceeded to prepare the barley porridge.

When the sakhās saw the food that Kumbhanadāsa was offering to Shrī Govardhananāthajī, they were very pleased and impressed. After the food had been offered to Shrī Govardhananāthajī, Kumbhanadāsa distributed some of it among the sakhās and ate the rest of it himself. When Kumbhanadāsa observed that Shrī Govardhananāthajī was eating the food that he had offered with relish, he was filled with bliss and began to sing padas in his joy. Kumbhanadāsa had experienced līlā when Shrī Govardhananāthajī talked with him and showed special favour to him; all that day Kumbhanadāsa remained drowned in rasa. In his delight he completely forgot himself and did not even remember

¹Roti is a flat, round kind of unleavened bread made from various flours.

until evening that he had not gone for darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī in his temple all that day. When Kumbhanadāsa suddenly remembered that he had not had darshana yet that day, he jumped to his feet and ran from Parāsolī towards the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. By the time he reached the temple, his mind was filled with the anguish of viraha as well as with the fear that he would be too late for the last darshana period of the day. Just as Kumbhanadāsa got to the temple, the gates were being opened for the shayana darshana. Then Kumbhanadāsa was able to satisfy the desire of his eyes for darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. As he was enjoying the darshana, he sang many kīrtanas expressive of rasa. Kumbhanadāsa was indeed a Bhagavadīya who had received divine favour.

Prasanga 5

Once, some famous religious leaders from Bṛndābana came to Girirāja in order to meet with Kumbhanadāsa. They had heard that Kumbhanadāsa often had conversations with the physical manifestation of Shrī Ṭhākurajī and also that Kumbhanadāsajī had sung padas in praise of Shrī Svāminījī. Since these religious leaders from Bṛndābana had also composed padas describing Shrī Svāminījī, they were interested in meeting with Kumbhanadāsa to discuss with him his descriptions of Shrī Svāminījī. With this intention in mind, Harivansha, Haridāsa, and other saintly men from Bṛndābana arranged to meet Kumbhanadāsa. When they met him, they said: "Kumbhanadāsajī, you have composed many kīrtanas on the theme of Shrī Svāminījī's play with Shrī Thākurajī and we are very familiar with those kīrtanas; but, we have never heard any kīrtana composed by you on Shrī Svaminījī alone. Please favour us with a pada about Shrī Svāminījī."

When, in answer to this request, Kumbhanadāsa sang a pada about Shrī Svāminījī, all of the men from Bṛndābana were very pleased and said, "Kumbhanadāsa, we have composed many padas about Shrī Svāminījī in which we have compared her beauty with the splendour of the moon, but you have realized—when you sang in your pada that Shrī Svāminījī's beauty surpasses that of hundreds of millions of moons—that her wondrous comeliness is unique and is beyond comparison with

¹Harivansha, born A.D. 1502 in Sahāranpur district, U.P. and died A.D. 1552 in Bṛndābana, was a famous Vaiṣṇava who founded the Rādhāvallabha Sampradāya at Bṛndābana. Harivansha is supposed to have arrived in Bṛndābana for the first time in A.D. 1533, which would be about two years after the death of Vallabhācārya. See: Dhīrendra Varmā (ed.), Hindī Sāhitya Kosha (2 vols.; Vārānasī: Jīnānamandala Ltd., 1964), vol. II, pp. 645-647.

Haridāṣa was a famous Vaiṣṇava musician and bhakta who lived as a tyāgi. He, who was himself considered an avatāra of the sakhī called Lalitā, emphasized the sakhī bhāva and founded a sect in Bṛṇdābana—which is called the Sakhī Sampradāya—based on the sakhī bhāva. Haridāsa was born near Bṛṇdābana about A.D. 1490 and died about A.D. 1575. See: VARMĀ, vol. II, pp. 637-638. GROWSE, pp. 217-222.

anything in this world."

When those saintly men had thus praised Kumbhanadāsa, they took leave of him and returned to Bṛndābana. Kumbhanadāsa expressed his devotion to Shrī Ṭhākurjī through kishora bhāva¹ and remained constantly drowned in the rasa of līlā. He was a Bhagavadīya who had received the highest blessing.

Prasanga 6

Once, Shri Gusaniji asked leave of Shri Navanitaprivaji to embark on a journey to Dvārakā in order to bring the possibility of spiritual deliverance (uddhāra) to the daivī jīvas whom he might encounter in the regions between Gokula and Dvārakā. But, before departing on his tour, Shri Gusaniji first went over to Shri Govardhananathaji's temple to perform there the full round of darshana sevā from the rājabhoga darshana of the day of his arrival to the rājabhoga darshana of the following day. After the first rājabhoga darshana had been completed and the temple had been closed for the mid-day anosara.² Shrī Gusānījī seated himself in his place of honour and all of the Vaisnavas gathered at his feet in order to discuss with him various matters of interest in their community. In the course of the discussion, one of the Vaisnavas brought up the problem of Kumbhanadasa's poverty in the following words: "Mahārāja, there is terrible privation in the house of Kumbhanadāsa these days. Besides himself, Kumbhanadasa must provide support for a very large family consisting of his wife, his niece, his seven sons, and each of his sons' wives. On top of supporting all of the people in his family. Kumbhanadāsa also shares his meager supplies with other Vaisnavas. And his only sources of food are the crops that he grows on his farm at Parasoli and the fruits that he gathers in season from karila and bera trees."

Shrī Gusānījī listened to the words of the Vaisnava and remembered them well. Later, when Kumbhanadāsa came to have darshana of Shrī Gusānījī, Shrī Gusānījī told him that he had something important to say to him and asked him to sit down and wait for a moment. As soon as all of the Vaisnavas had left, Shrī Gusānījī turned to Kumbhanadāsa and said, "I am about to depart for Dvārakā in order to meet with some Vaisnavas who have written me letters earnestly entreating me to come to spend some time with them. I absolutely must go and I want you to accompany me. The Bhagavadiyas will benefit greatly from association with you; you will soothe the pain of viraha that they feel and they will learn much from you. Furthermore, I have heard that you are suffering from a lack of money; by coming with me, your financial problems will

¹ Kishora bhāva is the bhāva of kishora līlā; see note number 2 on p. 161 above.

²See note number 3 on p. 151 above.

also be solved.1 It is necessary that you come with me."

When Kumbhanadāsa had heard Shrī Gusānīji's words, he said, "Mahārāja! I cannot oppose anything that you command. I will do whatever you tell me to do."

After talking with Kumbhanadāsa, Shrī Gusānījī returned to the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī in order to reopen it and begin the performance of the sevā of the rest of the darshanas of the day. When the last darshana period of the day was over, Shrī Gusānījī told Kumbhanadāsa to go to his house in Jamunāvatā, take leave of everyone there, and, early on the morning of the next day, return to Govardhana Hill prepared to leave for Dvārakā after the end of the rājabhoga darshana.

At dawn on the following day, Kumbhanadāsa presented himself before Shrī Gusānījī at the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Then Shrī Gusānījī bathed and awakened Shrī Govardhananāthajī at the first darshana period of the day. Shrī Gusānījī continued to perform the sevā of dressing and feeding the sacred svarūpa at the various darshana periods until the end of the rājabhoga darshana. At the conclusion of that darshana. Shrī Gusānījī took leave of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and went down from the temple on Girirāja. When Shrī Gusānījī met Kumbhanadāsa, he told him that they would sleep that night at Apsarākuṇḍa where their tents had already been pitched; therefore, Kumbhanadāsa and the other Vaiṣṇavas who were going on the journey all proceeded to Apsarākuṇḍa. When he reached Apsarākuṇḍa, Kumbhanadāsa began to suffer from viraha and said to himself, "Now what can I do? What can I say? No one knows of the pain I am suffering in viraha from the Lord of my life."

As Kumbhanadāsa's suffering was growing more and more intense, Shrī Gusānījī woke up within his tent and so overheard all that Kumbhanadāsa said. Kumbhanadāsa remembered darshana of Shrī Nāthajī, his eyes filled with tears, and the hair all over his body stood on end. Filled with such powerful emotion that he could not sleep, Kumbhanadāsa went outside of the tent to a nearby tree and stood under the tree singing softly and mournfully of his misery. Shrī Gusānījī, sitting within his tent, heard all of the sad kīrtanas of viraha that Kumbhanadāsa was singing. Finally, Shrī Gusānījī could not bear to hear Kumbhanadāsa pining in his grief any longer and got up and went outside of his tent. There he saw Kumbhanadāsa with his eyes full of tears lost in the sorrow of his viraha; deeply moved by this sight, he said: "Kumbhanadāsa, go to the temple and have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Your travels

Ilt was customary for the Vaisnava laymen to give donations to famous Vaisnava poets in appreciation of their padas.

²Apsarākunda is situated about a mile to the southwest of the site of Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple on Govardhana Hill. See: Mītala, p. 111.

to distant regions are over."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Gusānijī said to Kumbhanadāsa, when he saw how severely Kumbhanadāsa was suffering from viraha from Shrī Govardhananāthajī, "Shrī Govardhananāthajī is feeling just as bad in his temple as you are feeling here." Some may wonder how Shri Gusaniji could say that Shrī Govardhananāthajī was suffering as much from the absence of Kumbhanadāsa as Kumbhanadāsa was suffering from separation from him. Shrī Gusānījī knew that this was so because he was familiar with the case of Gajjanadhāvana. Since Gajjana could not bear to be separated even for a moment from Shri Navanitaprivaji, he was overcome by grief when Shri Akkāji² sent him out to buy $p\bar{a}n^3$ at the $p\bar{a}n$ shop. As he was going to get the $p\bar{a}n$, Gajjana was stricken with a fever which was brought on by the sorrow which he felt at being separated from Shrī Navanītapriyajī. Gajjana suffered so terribly from viraha that he collapsed in a faint just as he entered the pān shop. Meanwhile, in the temple, Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu was offering food for the rājabhoga darshana to Shrī Navanītapriyajī; then, all at once, Shrī Navanītapriyajī said to Shrī Ācāryajī, "I will not eat anything until my friend Gaijana comes here." When Shrī Ācāryajī heard this, he immediately began inquiring where Gajjana was. When he was told that Shrī Akkājī had sent Gajjana to buy pān, he scolded her with these words: "Don't you know that Shrī Navanītaprivajī cannot endure a moment without Gajjana? Why did you send Gajiana for pān?" Then Shrī Ācāryajī sent a Brajvāsī to get Gajjana and bring him back to the temple. When Gajjana appeared before Shrī Navanītaprivaiī, Shrī Navanītaprivaiī consented to eat. Without Gajjana, Shrī Navanītapriyajī suffered from viraha, This is a doctrine of Shrī Ācāryajī's mārga: Just as the servant (sevaka) always thinks of his Lord (svāmī), so does the Lord always think of his sevaka. In the same vein, in the Bhagavad Gītā Bhagavan said to Arjuna:

Just as people take refuge with me, just so do I love them.5

¹Harirāyajī, pp. 101-102.

²Vallabhācārya's wife, Mahālakşmī, is called Shrī Akkājī ("Mother") in the literature of the Vallabha Sampradāya. See PRABHU DAYĀLA MĪTALA, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa (Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968), p. 218.

³ See note number 1, p. 238, to the vārtā of Kṛṣṇadāsa below.

⁴It is one of the primary tenets of the *Pustimarga* that Shri Kṛṣṇa is as attached to the best of his *bhaktas* as they are to him.

⁵ Bhagavad Gītā, IV: 11, see: S. RADHAKRISHNAN, The Bhagavadgītā (London: Allen and Unwin, 1960), p. 158.

Therefore, Shrī Gusānījī said to Kumbhanadāsa, "Shrī Govar-dhananāthajī is feeling just as bad because of viraha in his temple as you are feeling for the same reason here." So, Shrī Gusānījī went on to say, "Go to the temple and have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Your travels to distant regions are over."]

When Kumbhanadāsa had received Shrī Gusānījī's order to return to the temple, his body became cool and his heart was filled with delight. He prostrated himself before Shrī Gusānījī and ran at top speed from Apsarākuṇḍa to Govardhana Hill; when he reached Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple, the gates were just being opened for darshana. In his joy at having darshana of Shrī Nāthajī, Kumbhanadāsa sang a kirtana describing the pain caused by viraha. This kirtana greatly pleased Shrī Govardhananāthajī and caused him to say to Kumbhanadāsa: "I understand your feelings perfectly. You cannot live without me and I cannot live without you. From now on, you will always remain with me."

This filled Kumbhanadāsa with pleasure and moved him to prostrate himself before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and say, "Mahārāja! You have fulfilled my only desire in decreeing that I will never be separated from you."

Thus was Kumbhanadāsa a *Bhagavadiya* who had received divine favour.

Prasanga 7

Once, when Kumbhanadāsa, together with other Vaiṣṇavas, was seated with Shrī Gusānījī, Shrī Gusānījī smiled and asked him how many sons he had. Kumbhanadāsa answered, "Mahārāja, I have one-and-a-half sons."

To this, Shrī Gusānījī replied, "What do you mean by saying that you have one-and-a-half sons? I have heard that you have seven sons."

Kumbhanadāsa answered, "Mahārāja, in a way, I have seven sons, but five of those sons are attached to the worldly life (laukikāsakta) and so cannot truthfully be called my sons. The sixth son, Caturbhujadāsa, is wholly mine, and the seventh son—Kṛṣṇadāsa, who performs the sevā of herding Shrī Govardhananāthajī's cows—is only half my son."²

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Some may wonder what Kumbhanadāsa meant by calling Kṛṣṇadāsa only half his son. After all, Kṛṣṇadāsa performed the sevā of caring for the cows belonging to Shrī Govardhananāthajī and that

¹Caturbhujadāsa was brought into the Astachāpa school by Shrī Gusānījī. For the sectarian account of his life, see: NIRANJANADEVA SHARMĀ (ed.), Dosau Bāvana Vaiṣṇavan kī Vārtā (Mathurā: Shrī Govardhana Granthamāla Kāryālaya, 1966), pp. 17-24.

²This Kṛṣṇadāsa is different from the Kṛṣṇadāsa whose vārtā is given below.

is one of the best of all sevās; furthermore, many Vaisnavas have reached Shrī Țhākurajī through performance of the sevā of taking care of Shrī Thākurajī's cows. So why did Kumbhanadāsa say that Krsnadāsa was only half his son? Shrī Ācāryajī manifested the Pustimārga; the Pustimārga is the mārga of the bhāva of the people of Brai. Thus, the Bhagavadiyas have sung, "The way of life characterized by sevā and love was manifested for the benefit of the people of Braj." The way of life of the Brajbhaktas was the performance of sevā in the presence of Shrī Thākurajī. By the performance of this sevā, the Braibhaktas remained absorbed in the rasa of union (samvoga)1 with the divine through the experience of the bliss of the divine form. But the Braibhaktas also sang of the experience of the viraha rasa: therefore, those who are complete Vaisnavas must experience both samvoga rasa (the rasa of union with the divine) and vivoga rasa (the rasa of separation from the divine).² He who does not experience both is only half a Vaisnava. Krsnadasa performed the seva of caring for Shrī Govardhananāthajī's cows and he had darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, but he did not experience the secret līlā of the Braibhaktas.3 Therefore, he was only half a Vaisnava and only half Kumbhanadāsa's son. Kumbhanadāsaiī said that Caturbhuiadāsa was wholly his son because Caturbhujadāsa performed sevā formed of both the experience of vivoga (separation, viraha) and the experience of samyoga (union). Furthermore, Caturbhujadāsa sang kirtanas in which he demonstrated his familiarity with *līlā*.

Shrī Gusanījī was delighted by the words of Kumbhanadasa and said, "Kumbhanadasa, you are right; only a *Bhagavadīya* could be your son. What is the use of many sons if they are not *Bhagavadīyas*?"

The vārtā of Caturbhujadāsa has been included with the vārtās of the two hundred and fifty-two sevakas of Shrī Gusānījī. The vārtā of Kṛṣṇadāsa is as follows:

Prasanga 8

Kṛṣṇadāsa used to serve as a cowherd in charge of Shrī Govardhananāthajī's cows. He was given the duty of performing the sevā of caring for the cows by Shrī Gusāṇijī. It was his responsibility to sweep out the cowpens and to groom the cows and to take them out into the pastures to graze all day. In the evening each day, he had to corral all of the cows.

One day, while Kṛṣṇdāsa was driving the cows through the neighbour-

¹See p. 91 in Part I above.

² Ibid

³The secret *līlā* is the *līlā* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and the *gopis* which is described in the Rāsa-pañcādhyāi section of the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*. In this *līlā* there is both *samyoga* (union) and *viyoga* (separation).

hood of Pūcharī on the way from the pastures to the cowpens, a tiger suddenly lunged out of a cave in Govardhana Hill and rushed towards the cows in Kṛṣṇadāsa's care. All of the cows but one managed to outrun the tiger and reach the safety of the cowpens. The one cow which was unable to outrun the tiger was a very large, heavy cow with an ample udder that gave especially large quantities of milk; it was towards this cow that the tiger turned its attention. But, before the tiger could bring down the cow, Kṛṣṇadāsa ran forward and screamed to the tiger. "Fiend! This cow belongs to Shrī Govardhananāthajī! If you are hungry, attack me!" Since it is a characteristic of tigers to assail anyone who defies them, the tiger turned away from the big cow and charged at Kṛṣṇadāsa. The cow entered the corral running and afraid, but Kṛṣṇadāsa was slain by the tiger.

Later, Gopinātha, who was a Bhagavadīya who had received much divine favour, and several other cowherds began to milk the cows in the cowpens. As Gopinatha was milking, he happened to look up and see Shrī Govardhananāthaiī milking the large cow with the ample udder. Beside the large cow Gopinatha saw Kumbhanadasa and in front of the cow, holding her calf so that she would give more milk, was Kumbhanadāsa's son Krsnadāsa. The cow was quietly licking her calf. This was the darshana which was given to Gopinātha in the cowpen. After Shrī Govardhananāthajī had finished milking the big cow, he returned to his temple where Shri Gusaniji was just preparing to offer him the food for the shayana darshana. Kumbhanadasa also left the cowpens and headed for Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple; as Kumbhanadāsa was approaching the Dandoti Crag, someone came up to him and told him that a tiger had killed his son Krsnadasa. When Kumbhanadasa had received this news. he forgot his own body and fell down unconscious. Although many Brajvāsī Vaisnavas called out loudly to Kumbhanadāsa, Kumbhanadāsa did not revive and continued to lie where he had fallen. Finally, one of the Vaisnavas went to Shrī Gusānījī and said: "Mahārāja! Kumbhanadāsa's son Krsnadāsa the cowherd managed to save one of the cows from a tiger but was himself killed by the tiger. It all happened over by Puchari."

But at that point in the Vaisnava's report, Shrī Gusānījī broke in and said, "Don't talk that way, for that cow would never desert Krsnadāsa."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Previously, in the divine *līlā*, the cow had promised to bring Kṛṣṇadāsa into the highest world (i.e., Goloka). Kṛṣṇadāsa had saved that cow, which belonged to Shrī Govardhananāthajī; therefore, that cow would never abandon Kṛṣṇadāsa.]

¹See p. 93 in Part I above.

When Shrī Gusānījī asked where Kumbhanadāsa was, the Vaiṣṇava answered, "Mahārāja, Kumbhanadāsa was stricken with such overpowering grief when he learned of the death of his son that he fell down senseless near the Dandotī Crag on Govardhana Hill. Several people shouted his name in attempts to revive him, but he has given no sign of regaining consciousness."

During the next anosara between darshana periods, Shrī Gusānījī went down to Dandotī Crag where Kumbhanadāsa was lying unconscious. The Vaiṣṇavas standing there, when they saw Shrī Gusānījī coming, said to him, "Mahārāja, Kumbhanadāsajī is an outstanding Bhagavadiya, but even he has suffered terrible shock at the loss of his son. No one can escape sorrow at such a time."

When Shrī Gusānījī had heard these words, he corrected the Vaiṣṇavas as follows: "Kumbhanadāsa is not griefstricken because of the death of his son; rather, he has been smitten with sorrow because the defilement (sūtaka) resulting from the death of his son will keep him from having darshana of Shrī Nāthajī for a long time. That is what has made him fall down in a faint."

As soon as Shrī Gusānījī had given this explanation for Kumbhanadāsa's fainting, he proved the truth of it by shouting to Kumbhanadāsa, "Kumbhanadāsa! come to the darshana of Shrī Nāthajī tomorrow morning and you will be allowed to have darshana."

The moment Kumbhanadāsa had heard Shrī Gusānījī's words, he awakened and, after paying homage to Shrī Gusānījī, said, "Mahārāja! Only you know my inmost soul."

Then Shrī Gusānījī answered, "Kumbhanadāsa, I know that you do not feel any sorrow that is related to samsāra and I know that if any Vaiṣṇava stay with you—even if only for a moment—then he will not be affected by any laukika sorrow. So how could any such sorrow ever affect you? Go and perform the funeral rites for the body of Kṛṣṇadāsa and, in the morning tomorrow, come to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī." When Kumbhanadāsa had heard Shrī Gusānījī's words, he prostrated himself to him and went to conduct the proper ceremonies for his son's body.

While Kumbhanadāsa was engaged with the funeral rites, Shrī Gusānījī went to his place of honour and all of the Vaisnavas joined him there. As soon as everyone was settled, the cowherd Gopīnāthadāsa came forward and said, "Mahārāja! Just after the tiger had killed Kṛṣṇadāsa over at Pūcharī, while I was busy milking a cow in the cowpen, I

¹According to Hindu belief, the parents of a new-born child and the close relatives of a recently-deceased person are considered unclean and are barred from taking part in religious rites. The period of impurity lasts for several days. See: Rājabalī Pāṇṇeya, Hindū Saṇs-kāra (Vārāṇasī: Chowkhamba Vidyabhawan, 1966), pp. 324-327.

saw Shrī Govardhananāthajī milking the same cow that Kṛṣṇadāsa saved while Kṛṣṇadāsa himself held the cow's calf. All the while, the cow was licking her calf. That is the darshana that was given to me in the cowpen."

Then Shrī Gusānījī said to Gopīnāthadāsa, "What is so strange about that? Kṛṣṇadāsa is a *Bhagavadīya* and he defied the fierce tiger and saved Shrī Govardhananāthajī's cow. Naturally, Shrī Govardhananāthajī was very pleased with Kṛṣṇadāsa and took him into his eternal *līlā*. Since you are a *Bhagavadīya*, you received this *darshana*; such a *darshana* is very rarely experienced." All of the Vaiṣṇavas were very delighted when they heard this.

At daybreak, Kumbhanadāsa came to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. When he arrived, Shrī Gusānījī commanded the temple sevakas to let him have darshana before darshana was given to the rest of the people. Thus, Shrī Gusānījī showed his grace (anugraha) to Kumbhanadāsa and allowed him to have darshana before anyone else.

|Bhāvaprakāsha

It used to be customary to bar from the temples of Bhagavān anyone suffering from the defilement brought on by the death of a close relative. But, ever since the day that special darshana was given to Kumbhanadāsajī while he was defiled by the death of his son, special darshana has been given for those polluted by death. Thus, it was by the grace of Kumbhanadāsa that those who have been defiled by death have been allowed to have darshana. Shrī Gusānījī ruled that those Vaisnavas who have hearts that are filled with love for the divine, but who have been temporarily polluted by death, may be admitted to darshana before the darshana given to those who are not polluted. Therefore, a special darshana may be given for those defiled by death before the darshana period attended by the undefiled. In this way, both Shrī Govardhananāthajī and the Vaisnavas who are devoted to him are satisfied and need never be separated from each other.]

After Kumbhanadāsa had had darshana, he went over to Parāsolī¹ and—because in his defiled state he could not perform his sevā of singing kirtanas before Shrī Govardhananāthajī—began to sing padas of viraha. Kumbhanadāsajī spent all the rest of the period of his pollution singing padas of viraha; finally, when he had become free of his defilement, he resumed his sevā of singing before Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Kumbhanadāsajī's love for Shrī Govardhananāthajī was very great indeed.

¹Parāsolī was the appropriate place for Kumbhanadāsa to suffer his period of separation from the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī because it was at the Candrasarovara at Parāsolī that Shrī Kṛṣṇa vanished from the gopīs (see p. 166 above). Shrī Gusāṇiji also spent his six months of separation from Shrī Govardhananāthajī at Parāsolī (see Kṛṣṇadāsa's vārtā, Prasaṅga 7, below).

Prasanga 9

One day, Gokulanātha and Bālakṛṣṇa¹ together came to Shrī Gusāniji and said, "Kumbhanadāsajī has never come to Gokula; he ought to go there to have darshana of Shrī Navanītapriyajī."

When Shrī Gusāniji had heard the words of his sons, he said, "Since Kumbhanadāsaji is completely absorbed in Shrī Govardhananāthaji's secret *lilā*, he and Shrī Govardhananāthaji are absolutely inseparable."

In reply to this, Gokulanātha said, "Let us try to take Kumbhanadāsajī to Gokula; if he will not come, then we can be sure that it is Bhagavān's will that he never go to Gokula."

Shrī Gusāniji answered, "Go ahead and make plans, but Kumbhana-dāsajī will never cross the Yamunā."

After a few days, Shrī Gusānījī left Gokulanātha and Bālakṛṣṇa at Govardhana Hill and returned to Gokula. Then, on the eleventh day of the bright half of the month of Vaishākha,² Gokulanātha said to Bālakṛṣṇa, "Shrī Gusānījī has gone to Gokula, so both of us ought to go there too. Let's take Kumbhanadāsajī with us to Gokula."

Bālakṛṣṇa answered, "But how can we take him there? He will not ride in any kind of vehicle and he will not leave the *darshanas* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī in the daytime to go anywhere."

"The nights are moonlit at this time of month," answered Gokulanātha, "and so we can go Gokula on foot. Now let us see if something wonderful may not happen; besides, if, by this scheme, we can be in the company of a great *Bhagavadīya* like Kumbhanadāsa, we will certainly profit spiritually."

Later, after the two brothers had had Shrī Nāthaji put to sleep for the night after the conclusion of the shayana darshana period, they went outside of the temple and there seized the hands of Kumbhanadāsa and began relating accounts of the bhāva of the divine līlā of Bhagavān Shrī Kṛṣṇa. As Kumbhanadāsajī listened, he became completely caught up in the rasa of līlā and totally forgot where he was. Gokulanātha, seeing that Kumbhanadāsajī was engrossed in contemplation of the līlā of Bhagavān, kept on talking about Bhagavān while, at the same time, leading Kumbhanadāsjī by the hand down the sacred hill towards the village of Ānyora and the road to Gokula. Bālakṛṣṇa and three or four other Vaiṣṇavas walked in silence beside Kumbhanadāsajī and Gokulanāthajī listening to the talk of divine līlā. While engaged in this discussion walking along the road, Gokulanātha asked Kumbhanadāsajī if Shrī Govardhanadhara

¹Gokulanātha and Bālakṛṣṇa were two of the sons of Shrī Gusāṇiji; see p. 55 in Part I above.

² Vaishākha is the Hindu month corresponding to April-May. Vallabhācārya was born on the eleventh day of the dark half of the month of Vaishākha. For the significance of the eleventh day of the month, see note 1 on p. 144 above.

ever performed the shringara of Shri Svaminiji. In reply, Kumbhanadasa, who was still so drowned in love (prema) that he did not realize that he was being taken along the road to Gokula, said, "Yes, yes, he does. One night in the month of Ashving (September-October), while gathering fruit in the forest with Lalita and some other sakhīs. Shrī Nathaiī and Shrī Svāminījī came upon a dais-like raised area perfect for the performance of shringāra in the midst of a clearing that was ideal for the dance of the rāsa līlā. Visākhājī² started to perform shringāra for Shrī Svāminījī, but Shrī Govardhananāthajī stopped her, saying, 'Tonight, I will do the shringāra.' Then Shrī Govardhananāthaiī moved to stand behind Shrī Svāminiji in order to begin braiding her hair. Just then, the sakhi called Visākhāji remembered that Shrī Svāminīji and Shrī Nāthajī cannot live without facing each other constantly and, with this keen insight into the desire in the hearts of the divine pair, held up a mirror in front of Shrī Svāminījī. By means of the mirror, Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Svāminiji could behold each other face to face. Meanwhile, Shrī Thākurajī had taken Shrī Svāminīji's long black hair in his holy hands and had cleverly interwoven it with a strand of pearls; suddenly, when Shrī Thākurajī looked into the mirror and saw Shrī Svāminījī's face there in all of its moon-like splendour, he was filled with joy and let her hair slip from his hand. As the hair fell, all of the pearls that Shrī Thākurajī had set into it also fell and scattered all over the ground. At this, there was much laughter. When shringāra was done like this, the sakhīs felt that a moment filled a long space of time. Then, Shrī Thākuraiī said to Visākhāiī, 'Take hold of Shrī Svāminījī's hair so that I may again interlace it with this string of pearls.'. When Visākhājī had taken hold of the braid of hair, Shrī Thākurajī decorated it with pearls; after he had finished with the braid, he wound a string of pearls along the part in Shrī Svāminījī's hair. Meanwhile, the sakhīs had been fashioning jewels out of flowers to give to Shrī Thākurajī for Shrī Svāminījī's shrngāra. While adorning Shrī Svāminījī. Shrī Thākurajī enjoyed tingling exhilaration as he looked upon her radiant face. He finished the shringara by tracing a line of kohl around her eyes, applying a tilaka to her forehead, and colouring the soles of her feet red. Then Shrī Svāminījī performed shrngāra for Shrī Govardhanadhara. After the two of them had adorned and bedecked each other, they began the rāsa līlā and other kinds of līlā with the sakhīs."

As Kumbhanadāsa was describing the above scene from the divine lilā, Gokulanātha led him up to the bank of the Yamunā across from Gokula. It was just at dawn. When Shrī Gusānījī saw the group across

¹ Shringāra is the act of dressing and adorning someone. The word also refers to erotic passion and enjoyment. See: MONIER-WILLIAMS, p. 1087.

²Visākhājī is Kumbhanadāsa's sakhi form; see p. 165 above.

the river, he got into a boat and came across to meet Kumbhanadāsa, Gokulanātha, Bālakṛṣṇa, and the other Vaiṣṇavas. Kumbhanadāsajī had been so drowned in the rasa of lilā that he had even forgotten his body, but—all at once—he became aware of his surroundings. He saw Shrī Gusāṇijī and withdrew his hand from the grip of Gokulanātha. In great haste, he began to run back towards Govardhana Hill, saying, "Oh! who will sing kīrtanas for Shrī Govardhananāthajī? I have neglected my sevā." When Kumbhanadāsajī began running back towards Govardhana Hill, Gokulanātha, Bālakṛṣṇa, and the other Vaiṣṇavas set out after him to try to catch him. Their efforts were, however, fruitless and Kumbhanadāsa quickly outdistanced them. When they returned to Shrī Gusāṇijī, Shrī Gusāṇijī said, "It is useless for you to try to catch Kumbhanadāsa. Why have you brought him here? Didn't I tell you that he would never cross the Yamunā?"

In reply to this, Gokulanātha said, "What does it matter that he did not go across the Yamunā? We received the alaukika benefit of a whole night spent experiencing the bhāva of the accounts of the deeds of Bhagavan in the company of a Bhagavadīya; even a single moment passed with such a Bhagavadīya would be of inestimable value."

Shrī Gusānijī answered, "All that you have said is certainly true, but you should not have run in pursuit of Kumbhanadāsa. Kumbhanadāsa always awakens Shrī Govardhananāthajī in the morning by singing kīrtanas to him and so he must be present every morning at the temple or else Shrī Govardhananāthajī will not get up. That is how completely Shrī Govardhananāthajī places himself in the power of his bhakta.¹ In the future, if you want to hear accounts of the divine deeds, go either to Jamunāvatā or to Parāsolī and ask Kumbhanadāsa; he will tell you all that you want to know.

Then Gokulanāthajī, Bālakṛṣṇajī, and the other Vaiṣṇavas all went on to Gokula. Meanwhile, as soon as his horse had been saddled, Shrī Gusāṇiji set off at a gallop after Kumbhanadāsa. In a short time, Shrī Gusāṇiji overtook Kumbhanadāsa—who was still running—and said to him: "Kumbhanadāsa, you have never seen this road before; so, in order that you may not lose your way, why don't you trot along behind my horse?" Kumbhanadāsa agreed to this suggestion and began to run along behind Shrī Gusānījī's horse.

While Kumbhanadāsa and Shrī Gusānījī were on their way to Girirāja,

¹According to the teachings of the Pustimārga. Shrī Kṛṣṇa's love for his dearest bhaktas is so strong that he actually can be said to be in their power; furthermore, when one devotes himself to Shrī Kṛṣṇa through the vātsalya bhāva (see pp. 88, 89 above) favoured by Vallabhācārya, one feels the love felt by a parent for his helpless child and sees Shrī Kṛṣṇa as his child in his power. See: R. Kalādhara Bhaṭṭa, Shrīmad-Vallabhācārya ke Dārshanika-Ācāra kī Paramparā (Ahmadābād: Nāgaradāsa K. Bāmbhaṇiyā, 1965), pp. 96-98.

Rāmadāsa and the other bhītariyās were all coming up the sacred hill after their bath of purification before the first darshana period of the day. Just as the bhītariyās were almost to the temple of Shrī Nāthajī, someone touched them and they had to go back and bathe again. After they had bathed a second time, they were again polluted by someone's touch on the way to the temple. Altogether, Rāmadāsa and the other bhītariyās bathed four times and were touched four times; as a result, the beginning of the first darshana period of the day was delayed for two hours. Just as Rāmadāsa and the bhītariyās were returning towards the temple after their fifth bath of the morning, they met Shrī Gusānījī as he was dismounting from his horse. When Shrī Gusānījī saw them, he said, "Rāmadāsa! Why has there been such a delay in starting the first darshana period of the day? Why are you just now coming to the temple?"

In answer to Shrī Gusānījī's question, Rāmadāsa humbly said, "Mahārāja, I don't understand what has been happening. Every time that we bathe and turn towards the temple, someone touches us and we have to go bathe again. This is the fifth time that we have bathed; the awakening of Shrī Nāthajī has been delayed for two full hours."

When Shrī Gusānījī heard this, he realized that Shrī Govardhananāthajī had had the *bhitariyās* polluted by touch so that the preparations for the first *darshana* would be delayed until Kumbhanadāsa had arrived to sing the *kirtanas* by which he would awaken. Shrī Gusānījī then had the conch blown and told Kumbhanadāsa to begin singing *padas* for the awakening of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. As he sang, Kumbhanadāsa felt great happiness in his heart because he had regained his *sevā* of singing *kirtana*. Since that day was the holiday of Nṛṣinha Caturdashī, Shrī Gusānījī spent the day arranging for the observance of that celebration.²

Thus, Kumbhanadāsa, who was continually absorbed in the *rasa* of the *līlā* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, was a *Bhagavadīya* who had received the full divine grace. Kumbhanadāsa never went to Gokula.

Prasanga 10

One day, while Kumbhanadāsa was sitting in his field over at Parāsolī, Shrī Govardhananāthajī came there also and began to frolic in front of him. Kumbhanadāsa sat there and watched Shrī Nāthajī play until the time for the *utthāpana darshana* period had almost come. At that time, Kumbhanadāsa got up and got ready to go to Govardhana Hill. When

Since the bhitariyās came into direct contact with the holy svarūpa they had to be absolutely free of laukika pollution before they could enter the temple.

²Nṛṣiṇha Caturdashī, a festival in honour of the Nṛṣiṇha avatāra of Viṣṇu, is celebrated on the fourteenth day of the light half of the month of Vaishākha (April-May). It is one of the chief festivals celebrated by members of the Vallabha Sampradāya. See Mǐtala, Braj ke Dharma. . . . , p. 530. Rāmapratāpa Tripāṭhī, Hinduon ke Vrat, Parva, aur Tyauhāra (Allāhābād: Lokabhāratī Prakāshana, 1966), pp. 84-88.

Shrī Nāthajī asked Kumbhanadāsa where he was going, Kumbhanadāsa replied, "It is time for the *utthāpana darshana*, so I am going to Govardhana Hill for *darshana* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī."

When Shrī Govardhananāthajī heard this, he said, "Kumbhanadāsa! Why do you want to go all the way over to Govardhana Hill when I am right here playing in front of you?"

To Shrī Nāthajī's question, Kumbhanadāsa replied, "Mahārāja, it is true that you are playing here right now, but you always give darshana and show your grace over at your temple. If you should decide to leave this field, I could not in any way keep you from going; but, on the other hand, you cannot leave your temple because you were established in it by Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu. You allow everyone to have darshana in the temple. Besides, I like to have darshana in the temple. So, please go home and favour me by giving me darshana there. Sevā in the temple is the glory of darshana, so I must go to the temple."

Then, Shrī Nāthajī said, smiling, "Kumbhanadāsa, your bhaktibhāva is truly alaukika; I would never even for a moment leave you."

Shrī Nāthajī and Kumbhanadāsa together left Parāsolī and reached Govindakunda, where they heard the sound of the conch announcing the opening of the darshana period. Shrī Govardhananāthajī went as far as Ānyora with Kumbhanadāsa before going directly to his temple. Kumbhanadāsa climbed up the hill from Ānyora to the temple and had darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī there. Kumbhanadāsa was a true Bhagavadīya.

Prasanga 11

One day, a gardener came to the edge of the Candrasarovara at Parāsolī with a large basket containing two ser¹ of mangoes. He took the mangoes out of the basket, laid them carefully on the ground, and began to wipe them clean with a piece of cloth. At just that moment, Kumbhanadāsa was coming down to the Candrasarovara for a drink of water after he had had darshana at the rājabhoga period at the temple on Girirāja. When Kumbhanadāsa noticed the gardener's mangoes, he thought how beautiful they were and how suitable they would be for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. With these thoughts in mind, he asked the gardener where he was planning to take the mangoes. The gardener answered that he was going to take them to Mathurā and sell them for at least ten rupees. Although Kumbhanadāsa was anxious to get the excellent mangoes for Shrī Govardhananāthajī, he didn't even have a paisa² and so had no hope of buying them. There was only one thing for him to do. He fixed his mind on Shrī Govardhananāthajī and said in his heart to Shrī Govardhan

A ser is equal to about two pounds.

²A paisa is a coin of very small value.

nāthajī: "Mahārāja, these mangoes are of exquisite beauty. Since you are a connoisseur of the best things, please come and eat these mangoes." Then, Shrī Nāthajī came at once and devoured all of the mangoes. Since the mangoes were still physically present, the gardener was not aware of what had happened. He put the mangoes—which were now prasāda since they had been enjoyed by Shrī Govardhananāthajī—into his basket and went on to Mathurā.

That same evening, in Mathura, a Raiput who had come into the city from the village of Manta on business saw the mangoes and asked the gardener how much they cost. When the gardener said that he would not take less than ten rupees for all of the mangoes, the Raiput paid that price and took the fruit down to the bank of the Yamuna where his friend, a Sanodhivā Brāhmana, was waiting for him. The two decided to keep half of the mangoes to take home and eat half of them right there. After they had eaten several of the mangoes, the two men lay down and fell asleep. As they slept, both of them received darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthaiī since they had both eaten Shrī Govardhananāthaiī's prasāda. When they had awakened from their nap, the Rajput excitedly asked the Brāhmana if he had had any dream. The Brāhmana answered that he had had darshana of Shri Govardhananāthaji while he slept. Then, the Rājpūt asked the Brāhmaņa where he might find Shrī Govardhananāthajī, and the Brāhmana told him that Shrī Govardhananāthajī was established on a hill called Girirāja about fourteen miles from Mathurā. When the Rājpūt heard where Shrī Govardhananāthajī could be found, he said to the Brahmana: "You are very foolish to go on leading an ordinary life when you have had darshana of Shrī Govardhananathajī. In my dream I experienced a darshana so wonderful that I cannot wait any longer. but must go tomorrow morning to the place where such darshana is given. If you will just guide me to the darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, you may have my share of the remaining mangoes and five rupees besides."

Early next morning, the Rājpūt gave the Brāhmana his share of the mangoes and, as soon as the Brāhmana had put the mangoes in his house in Mathurā, the two set out for Govardhana Hill. The two of them had darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī as soon as they reached his temple. During the darshana, Shrī Nāthajī stole that Rājpūt's heart and after the darshana period the Rājpūt gave the Brāhmana his weapons, his superfluous clothing, and five rupees. He kept only ten rupees for himself. The Brāhmana did not remain any longer on Govardhana Hill, but returned to his house in Mathurā as soon as he had received the presents given by the Rājpūt. The Rājpūt, clad only in a dhoti, stood by the Dandotī Crag waiting for Shrī Gusānījī to come by. Finally, when the Rājpūt saw Shrī

Gusāṇijī coming down the hill, he ran out and threw himself at his feet, saying, "Mahārāja! I have been waiting for a long time; please accept me and let me stay close to your feet."

When Shrī Gusāniji heard the Rājpūt's words, he said, "Kumbhanadāsa has had mercy on you; that is why you feel like you do. You are very lucky."

Then Shrī Gusānījī initiated the Rājpūt. After the Rājpūt had received the divine name, he tried to give his ten rupees to Shrī Gusānījī; Shrī Gusānījī, however, said, "You had better keep what money you have left since you have given all of your belongings to that Brāhmaṇa."

In reply, the Rajput said, "Now I have taken refuge with you, Mahārāja, so what appeal can money have for me? I will do whatever you tell me to do." After the Rajput had said this, he asked Shri Gusaniji to tell him who he was in his previous birth and what virtuous action he had done to merit darshana of Shrī Gusānījī. In answer to these two questions. Shrī Gusānīīī said: "In your former life you were a cowherd in Braj and took care of the cows belonging to King Nandajī, Shrī Krsna's father. Whenever you went out to graze the cows, you took a weapon with you. One day, while you were in the pasture, you saw a snake and killed it. Because of the offense of killing the snake, you fell into many births in samsāra. Your present good fortune is the result of Kumbhanadāsa's favour. Kumbhanadāsa saw a gardener's mangoes and mentally dedicated them to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Later, you met that same gardener and bought all of the mangoes which—unbeknown to you—had become prasada on account of Kumbhanadasa's mental dedication. You then ate some of the mangoes and gave some of them to a Brahmana. Since you were formerly a cowherd working for King Nanda, you are a daivi jiva and could be attracted to Shri Nathaji when he gave you darshana of himself while you slept. The Brāhmaņa, however, was not a daivi jīva and so was completely unaffected by the darshana which he also received. In lilā your name was Nenā. Now you must again take up your weapon and resume your duty as herdsman of cattle by watching over the cows belonging to Shri Nathaji. You may take prasada in the home of Shri Nāthajī. I will give you clothes and a weapon. Today, however, you must fast so that tomorrow I can have you dedicate yourself to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Come in the morning to Shrī Nāthajī's temple."

In the morning, at the period just after the shringāra darshana, the Rājpūt bathed and dedicated himself by the Brahmasambandha mantra

¹The initiation was divided into two parts; the first part was the taking of the eight-syllabled mantra by which the initiate took refuge with Shrī Kṛṣṇa and the second—which required a day's fasting as preparation—was the actual self-dedication ceremony of the Brahmasambandha. See pp. 19-20 of Part I above.

in the presence of Shrī Nāthajī. In this way, the Rājpūt was made pure. As soon as the Rājpūt had taken as *prasāda* the food from the offering to Shrī Nāthajī, Shrī Gusānījī gave him a weapon and clothes from among the clothing offered to Shrī Nāthajī. Thus prepared, the Rājpūt mounted a horse and took the cows out to the pasture. The Rājpūt's mind was so firmly centred on Shrī Govardhananāthajī that, after a few days, Shrī Nāthajī began giving him *darshana* among the cows. That Rājpūt became a *Bhagavadīya* and received great divine favour.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

When Kumbhanadāsajī offered the mangoes as mental sevā (mānasī sevā), 1 Shrī Govardhananāthajī ate them. When the Rājpūt ate those prasāda-mangoes, the grace (anugraha) of Bhagavān came upon him. Thus, no matter where a Bhagavadīya may offer food, Shrī Thākurajī, out of his affection for his bhakta, will always accept it. What more need be said about prasāda than that it is alaukika?

One day, the Rājpūt's two sons came to visit him. When he saw them, the Rājpūt said to them: "Sons! You are soldiers. One loses his life uselessly in war. Now Prabhu has had mercy on me. You must think of me as being dead. My house now belongs to you. You must go away at once without waiting here for me, for I will never return with you."

When the two sons heard the words of their father, they returned home and informed everyone that their father had renounced the world and given up all mundane concerns.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

This prasanga illustrates the doctrine that prasada and darshana of Bhagavadīyas will have wonderful results if the recipient of the prasada and darshana is a daivī jīva.]

Kumbhanadāsajī, who out of his natural kindness showed favour to the Rājpūt, was a great *Bhagavadīya*. Since Shrī Govardhananāthajī places himself in the power of *Bhagavadīyas*, the result of a *Bhagavadīya's* favour will be *alaukika*. Kumbhanadāsajī's wife and his five wordly sons also eventually were accepted by Shrī Govardhananāthajī and received deliverance (*uddhāra*) as a result of their contact with Kumbhanadāsajī. Kumbhanadāsajī's niece, who was the daughter of his brother, was widowed soon after her marriage. She had absolutely no interest in or contact with the *laukika*.

¹ Mental sevā is held to be the best sevā in the Puştimārga.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Kumbhanadāsajī's sister was actually a daivī jīva who, in līlā, was a sakhī of Visākhājī. (Visākhājī appeared on earth as Kumbhanadāsajī.) Her name in līlā is Sarovarī. On earth, she went to live in Kumbhanadāsajī's house when her own parents died; since she was a sakhī of Visākhājī in līlā, it is only natural that she should have gone to live with Kumbhanadāsajī. Shrī Govardhananāthajī gave her darshana and allowed her to have direct experience of his nature.]

Prasanga 12

One day, which happened to be the day before the anniversary of Shrī Gusāṇijī's birth, Shrī Govardhananāthajī thought to himself, "Shrī Gusāṇijī and all the Vaiṣṇavas have always celebrated my birthday and made it famous in the world; therefore, now I ought to make Shrī Gusāṇijī's birthday famous in the world." With this thought in mind, while Rāmadāsajī was directing the shṛṇgāra and Kumbhanadāsajī was composing kīrtanas for the shṛṇgāra darshana—Shrī Gusāṇijī was not present since he had gone to Gokula for the day—Shrī Govardhananāthajī said to Rāmadāsajī, "Shrī Gusāṇijī always stages a great celebration for my birthday, so I want to honour him on his birthday tomorrow. You and all of the Vaiṣṇavas must gather and begin making preparations for the celebration of Shrī Gusāṇijī's birthday; you must, for example, bring me delicacies to eat which can then be given as prasāda as a part of the celebration."

When Rāmadāsajī had heard the command of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, he asked the divine svarūpa what specific foods should be prepared. Shrī Govardhananāthajī replied that sirup-filled jalebī¹ candies should be prepared for the occasion. Rāmadāsajī and Kumbhanadāsajī, who had also heard the instructions of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, promised that they would act at once to prepare for the birthday celebration. As soon as Rāmadāsajī had completed his sevā, he assembled all of the sevakas and told them that the next morning would mark the beginning of the celebration of Shrī Gusānījī's birthday and that Shrī Govardhananāthajī needed special food for the birthday festival. When Sadū Pānde heard this, he said that as much ghee and flour as would be needed could be taken from his house. Kumbhanadāsajī also wanted to make a contribution, but there was nothing in his house. Nevertheless, he went home and got his two male buffalo calves and his two female buffalo

¹Shrī Gusānījī's birthday, celebrated on the ninth day of the dark half of the month of Pauşa (December-January), is one of the most important holidays observed by members of the Vallabha Sampradāya.

2Jalebis are a kind of candy made of dough shaped into pretzel-like forms and allowed to soak up hot syrup.

calves and sold them to a *Brajvāsi* for five rupees; he then gave the five rupees to Rāmadāsajī for the birthday celebration. Some of the *sevakas* gave two rupees and all of them gave at least one rupee.

Brown sugar was sent for and Sadū Pāṇḍe brought ghee and flour. All night until dawn the next day everyone was busy making jalebis. When morning came, Rāmadāsa had Shrī Govardhananāthajī anointed and dressed in the fine garments that Shrī Gusāṇījī had prepared with his own hands and sent over from Gokula. Then, after the food offering had been made to Shrī Nāṭhajī, Shrī Nāthajī himself told Kumbhanadāsajī to begin singing songs of praise of Shrī Gusāṇījī; when Kumbhanadāsa started singing such padas, Shrī Govardhananāthajī became very happy.

Meanwhile, in Gokula, Shrī Gusānījī was anointing Shrī Navanītapriyajī and dressing him in preparation for the rājabhoga darshana. When that darshana had been completed, Shrī Gusānījī left Gokula and went to Govardhana Hill. When he got to Govardhana Hill, he bathed and went up the hill to the temple. As he entered the temple, he noticed that, though the food offering had already been made, there were many baskets of jalebīs in the temple. Naturally, his curiosity was aroused, so he asked Rāmadāsajī, "What is the reason for all of the merrymaking today? Why is there so much food here to be offered?"

In reply, Rāmadāsajī said, "Shrī Govardhanadhara knew that today is your birthday and had this food prepared by all the sevakas for a birthday celebration."

Later, after the *bhoga darshana* period, Shrī Gusānījī seated himself in the place of honour reserved for him, called Rāmadāsajī, and asked, "Rāmadāsa, where did all of this great quantity of food come from? The *sevakas* are few in number and are not rich. How could they have acquired all of this food?"

In reply, Rāmadāsajī said, "Mahārāja, Sadū Pānde gave the ghee and flour, Kumbhanadāsajī contributed five rupees, and the other Vaiṣṇavas donated one or two rupees each until, all together, a total of twenty-one rupees had been collected With this money sugar was purchased."

After a time, Kumbhanadāsajī came to Shrī Gusānījī and greeted him most humbly. Shrī Gusānījī, who was well aware of the severe poverty in Kumbhanadāsa's house, took the opportunity to ask Kumbhanadāsajī how he had been able to get five rupees to give for the birthday celebration.

Kumbhanadāsajī explained to Shrī Gusānījī where he had got the money in the following words, "Mahārāja, my home is nowhere but at your lotus-like feet and all that I have is yours. I sold for five rupees the four buffalo calves that were not necessary for the bare support of myself and my family. The *dharma* of a Vaiṣṇava requires that he sell

THE BHAKT! SECT OF VALLABHACARYA

his own body, his life, his house, his wife, and his children for your sake. How could I, a lowly householder living ensnared in samsāra, ever hope to fulfill this Vaiṣṇava dharma without the favour that you have shown to me?"

Shrī Gusānījī was delighted at the speech of Kumbhanadāsa and said to him, "One like you who has been favoured by Shrī Ācāryajī with such wonderful humility most certainly has Shrī Govardhananāthajī in his control." In this way Shrī Gusānījī praised Kumbhanadāsajī, who was a *Bhagavadiya* worthy of divine grace.

Prasanga 13

Once, Kumbhanadāsajī asked Shrī Ācāryajī about the doctrines of the *Puṣṭimārga*. Shrī Ācāryajī responded by teaching Kumbhanadāsajī about the eighty-four kinds of sin, about the characteristics of *rājasī*, *tāmasī*, and *sātvikī bhaktas*,¹ about the *sevā* of the *darshana* periods from morning until night, and about the *bhāva* of *bālalīlā* and *kishoralīlā*.² Shrī Ācāryajī finished his instruction by saying, "That person upon whom Shrī Govardhananāthajī has shown favour will inquire about the doctrines of the *Puṣṭimārga* and then will act according to those doctrines; thus, *Bhagavadīyas* like you, Kumbhanadāsa, will ask and then will take action. But a very difficult time is coming in which no one will ask and no one will teach the doctrines." In this way, Shrī Ācāryajī instructed Kumbhanadāsaiī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Just as the milk of a female lion cannot be contained by any vessel but one made of gold, so the *bhāva* of the divine *līlā* and the divine *dharma* cannot remain anywhere but in the heart of a *Bhagavadīya*.]

Prasanga 14

One day, Kumbhanadāsajī asked Shrī Gusānījī the following question: "Mahārāja, my wife, five of my seven sons, and my seven daughtersin-law are all living in my house but are not attached to the *bhāva* of devotion to Bhagavān; however, the divine *bhāva* has become firmly rooted in my niece. Why is this so?"

When Shrī Gusānījī had heard this question, he told all of the Vaiṣnavas to listen and said to Kumbhanadāsajī, "Kumbhanadāsa! Listen carefully to what I am going to say. I am going to tell you a story from one of the *purānas*. Once there was a Brāhmana who had a daughter who had reached marriageable age. In order to get a good husband for his daughter, that Brāhmana had another Brāhmana make a search

¹See note number 1, on p. 235, to the vārtā of Krsnadāsa below.

²See note number 2, on p. 161 above.

for a husband for the girl in the various good families of the region. The second Brāhmana was empowered to go ahead and arrange for the marriage whenever he should find a suitable husband. After the second Brāhmana had departed on the quest for a husband, the first Brāhmana met, separately, three other Brahmanas and, since he was very anxious to find a husband for his daughter, sent each of them off on the search for a husband. The Brahmana thus gave each of the four Brahmanas the authority to arrange a marriage as soon as a good husband should be found; but, he did not tell any of the Brahmanas that he had several Brāhmanas making the same search. As luck would have it, each of the four Brahmanas went to a different village and each independently arranged a marriage, but the marriage arranged by each Brahmana was set for a day that happened to be the same in all four cases. Each Brāhmana had a tilaka placed on the brow of the boy he had chosen to signify that that boy was to be married and then went back to the first Brāhmana to tell him of the marriage. When the first Brāhmana learned that each of the four Brahmanas had arranged a marriage for his daughter, he was horrified and said: "Why did you do this? I only have one daughter, but the four of you have come back with four different boys to marry her. What can I do?"

When the four Brāhmaṇas heard this, they said: "We have carried out our part of the bargain and arranged for your daughter's marriage. If her marriage does not take place on the day that has been set, which is exactly one month from today, then we will see that you suffer. The wedding date has been set and the *tilaka* has been placed on the forehead of the youths chosen for your daughter. There is no possible way that the marriage can be cancelled."

The Brāhmaṇa answered, "There is a full month between today and the day of the marriage. Perhaps a solution to the problem will come up."

Then the four Brāhmaṇas reminded the girl's father that they would be back the day before the wedding in order to prepare for the marriage and returned to their own homes. After they had gone, the Brāhmaṇa began to worry. He had no idea at all of what he could do. No solution to the problem presented itself. Even suicide was out of the question, for that would be the ruin of his daughter. By the fourth day after the four Brāhmaṇas had left, the Brāhmaṇa was so tortured by anxiety that he could neither eat nor drink. It was in this state of mental agony that the Brāhmaṇa went down to the bank of a nearby river to perform his customary evening devotions. There on the edge of the river the Brāhmaṇa sat down and, suddenly overcome by all of his worries, began to weep bitterly. It happened that a *Bhagavadīya*, who was bathing a short distance away, heard the Brāhmaṇa's lamentation and was moved by it to ask the Brāhmaṇa what was troubling him. When the Brahmaṇa had

told of his problem, the *bhakta* said: "I have no permanent dwelling place, but, for your sake, I will stay for a time here by this river. You must, however, not come to see me again until the day before the wedding. In the meantime, don't worry, Shrī Ṭhākurajī will help you. Now go home and eat and drink as if nothing were bothering you."

The Brahmana put his trust in the bhakta and went home to wait for the day before the wedding date. At dawn on the day before the wedding the Brahmana went back to the place where the Bhagavadīva was staying and asked him to explain his plan for the solution of the problem. The bhakta, however, told the Brāhmana to go back home and return in the evening. When the Brahmana returned to the river in the evening, the bhakta instructed him to sit down and catch each animal that might come near him. The Brahmana sat down on the river bank and captured a cat, a dog, and a donkey as they wandered by him. When the Brāhmana had shown these three animals to the bhakta, the bhakta told him to shut all three of them up together in one big room and then to put his daughter into the same room as soon as she had fallen asleep for the night. The Brāhmana carried out the Bhagavadīya's instructions perfectly. When his daughter had fallen asleep, he put her on a cot in the room with the three animals, locked the door, and left. Meanwhile, the four boys and the four Brāhmanas all arrived. When morning came on the following day and the time came for the wedding to take place, the Brāhmana went to the bhakta and told him that it was time for the marriage. The bhakta then told the Brahmana to unlock the door to the room where he had left his daughter with the animals and to give the four girls which he would find there to the four boys in marriage. The Brāhmana then went to the room, unlocked the door, and found that instead of his own daughter, a cat, a dog, and a donkey, there were four girls in the room. Each of the four girls looked exactly like his own daughter and seemed to be the same age that she was. The four girls were given to the four boys with the proper wedding ceremonies and then were allowed to depart for their new homes. The Brahmana then gave presents to the four Brāhmanas and they also left. Then, the bhakta of Bhagavān said that he, too, would go. But, before he could go, the Brahmana fell at his feet and said, "You have saved my life; my house and all that I have are yours. Take whatever you want."

To this the *bhokta* replied, "I need nothing. It was Shrī Ṭhākurajī who performed the miracle that removed your sorrow."

Then the Brāhmaṇa asked, "The four girls seemed to be identica!. How can I distinguish my daughter from the other girls? To which youth is my daughter married? How can I know my daughter so that I can invite her to return for a visit?"

The bhakta answered the Brāhmaṇa with the following words: "Ask each of your four sons-in-law about the characteristics of his wife. The wife with human characteristics will be your daughter."

Several days later, the Brāhmaṇa invited his four sons-in-law to come to his house. One at a time, he had each son-in-law seated for dinner. While the first son-in-law was eating, the Brāhmaṇa said to him, "Is my daughter behaving well? What kinds of habits does she have?"

The son-in-law answered, "She is virtuous, but, because of certain habits, no one likes her. For example, she barks like a dog, her tongue is always lolling out of her mouth, she has no manners, and she will eat anything at any time."

The Brāhmaṇa then called the second son-in-law and asked him the same questions.

The second son-in-law answered, "Although your daughter has many pleasant traits, she also has some that are like the characteristics of a cat. For example, she stealthily takes and eats the food that has been set aside for Shrī Ṭhākurajī, she is something of a glutton, and she goes from house to house looking for food."

Next, the Brāhmaṇa called the third son-in-law and asked him the same questions that he had asked the first two.

The third son-in-law answered, "Your daughter is very nice, but she brays like a donkey, she is always dirty, and she often kicks at others with two feet just as an ass kicks."

Finally, the Brāhmaṇa called the fourth son-in-law and asked him about his wife.

The fourth son-in-law answered, "What more need I say about your daughter than that she is a goddess like Lakşmī herself. She speaks sweetly to everyone, she follows the rules of correct conduct, she has good manners, and she is devoted to me, to Vaiṣṇavas, to her guru, and to Shrī Ṭhākurajī."

When the Brāhmana had heard this description, he knew that the fourth son-in-law's wife was his daughter. Consequently, he used to invite that son-in-law and his daughter to visit him.

So, Kumbhanadāsa, the traits of a Vaiṣṇava are found in human beings. What does the mere possession of a human body tell? Rāvaṇa and Kumbhakaraṇa were demons because their deeds were demonic. It is by one's actions that one may be judged to be human or a demon. Since your niece is a great *Bhagavadiya*, she will crave association with you and find such association profitable."

With this tale Shrī Gusānījī gave instruction to Kumbhanadāsa and the other Vaiṣṇavas. Kumbhanadāsajī was a *Bhagavadīya* who deserved the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī.

Prasanga 15

As time passed, Kumbhanadāsa's body began to weaken. Finally, one day when Caturbhujadāsa asked Kumbhanadāsa, his father, if he should carry him from the Sankarṣaṇakuṇda which is close to the village of Ānyora—back to Jamunāvatā, Kumbhanadāsa said, "I am going to abandon my earthly body in one or two hours, so I want to remain here."

Later, at the rājabhoga darshana period, Shrī Gusānījī found out from Caturbhujadāsa that Kumbhanadāsa was at Sankarṣaṇakuṇḍa and decided to go to see him. When Shrī Gusānījī reached Sankarṣaṇakuṇḍa, he asked Kumbhanadāsa, "Kumbhanadāsa, upon which kind of līlā are you fixing your mind?"

Since Kumbhanadāsa was too weak to get up, he bowed his head and prostrated himself mentally before Shrī Gusānjī and sang several kirtanas in praise of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and his love for Rādhā. As soon as he had finished singing these kirtanas, Kumbhanadāsa left his earthly body and merged into the divine nikunja līlā. After Kumbhanadāsa had thus passed on to the eternal līlā, Caturbhujadāsa and his other sons performed the funeral ceremonies for his corpse and carried it out to the gate near Ānyora.

Although he felt very sad and would not speak to any of the Vaiṣṇavas, Shrī Gusānijī conducted the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī through the rest of the day until the svarūpa was put to sleep for the night. Rāmadāsa was worried about Shrī Gusānijī's silence and said, "Mahārāja, why are you acting so strangely?"

In reply, Shrī Gusānījī said, "A great Bhagavadiya has departed. A wonderful bhakta has vanished from the earth." In these words Shrī Gusānījī praised Kumbhanadāsa, who was an outstanding Bhagavadiya about whom enough can never be said. He was worthy of the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī.

The Vārtā of Krsnadāsa

[The vārtā of Kṛṣṇadāsa¹ opens with a bhāvaprakāsha in which Harirāyajī explains the ādhidaivika identity and ādhibhautika birth of Kṛṣṇadāsa.]

Now the bhāva of the vārtā of Kṛṣṇadāsa the Adhikārī² will be described. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and was one of the Aṣṭachāpa.

Kṛṣṇadāsa is a manifestation of Rsabhasakhā, an intimate companion of Shrī Ṭhākurajī in līlā. Rṣabha is a sakhā in the daytime līlā and in the nocturnal līlā he is an intimate sakhī named Shrī Lalitājī. Lalitā has four forms: in her first form she is an adolescent girl called Lalitā who may witness the līlā performed by Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Svāminījī in the grove (nikunīja); in her second form Shrī Lalitājī is the sakhā Rṣabha who may experience the rasa of the diurnal līlā by accompanying Shrī Govardhananāthajī to the cow-pastures; in her third form she is Dāmodaradāsa Harasānī who always stayed with Shrī Ācāryajī and whom Shrī Ācāryajī called "Damalā"; in her fourth form Lalitājī is Kṛṣṇadāsa who remained with Shrī Govardhanadhara and performed the duties of an adhikārī.

¹Навівауалі, Caurāsi Vaisņavan ki Vārtā [Tin Ja nma ki Līlā Bhāvanāvālī], ed. Dvārakā-Dāsa Parīkha (Mathurā: Dvārakādāsa Parīkha, 1961), pp. 494—538.

²An adhikāri is a person who holds a position of authority over other persons or over some institution. For example, a governor, a superintendent, or an administrator are all adhikāris. An adhikāri may also, however, be a person who has mastered some particular sphere of knowledge or some method of spiritual discipline. Kṛṣṇadāsa's title of Adhikāri contains elements of both of the above meanings of the word: Kṛṣṇadāsa was certainly the superintendent in charge of the administration of the temple of Shrī Nāthaji and he was also, just as certainly, able to understand and practise the teachings of the Puṣṭi-mārga. See Monier Monier-Williams. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary (Oxford: clarendon Press, 1960), p. 20. Karl H. Potter, Presuppositions of India's Philosophies (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1963), pp. 37-38.

³Harirāyajī, pp. 2-13.

Of the eight gates into Girirāja, the one which faces towards Barasānā, which is Rādhā's village, is called Bilachū. Shrī Govardhananāthajī sets out on the road that goes by this gate to perform the *rāsa* dance with the *gopis*. Kṛṣṇadāsa is in charge of this gate.²

Kṛṣṇadāsa was born in the house of a Kunabī³ in the village of Cilotarā in Gujarāt. Kṛṣṇadāsa's father governed the village as its headman. When Kṛṣṇadāsa was born, his father summoned several Brāhmaṇas who had reputations for wisdom and learning from nearby villages and asked them to describe all of the qualities of the new-born son and to predict his lifespan. Kṛṣṇadāsa's father told the Brāhmaṇas that he would pay them according to the kind of predictions that they made about his son. All of the Brāhmaṇas told Kṛṣṇadāsa's father that, no matter what kind of payment he might make, the birth-signs of his new child showed clearly that that child would be a bhakta of Shrī Bhagavān and would not remain in his parental home. Kṛṣṇadāsa's father became very sad when he received this news. The Brāhmaṇas also said that the new son's name must be "Kṛṣṇadāsa". Kṛṣṇadāsa's father gave suitable gifts to the Brāhmaṇas and named his son Kṛṣṇadāsa.

From the age of five, Kṛṣṇadāsa felt a deep interest in the tales of the exploits of Bhagavān; if his mother and father should try to avoid telling him these sacred stories, then Kṛṣṇadāsa would cry and refuse to eat and drink. Kṛṣṇadāsa's parents, however, were afraid that, if they should tell their son the holy stories, he would immediately become attached to homeless ascetics and give up the world just as the Brāhmaṇas had predicted at his birth. They were convinced that if their son did not interest himself in the matters of the world, great misfortune would befall the entire family. Nevertheless, Kṛṣṇadāsa managed to hear the sacred tales. In this way, Kṛṣṇadāsa came to be twelve years old.

When Kṛṣṇadāsa was around twelve years old, a grain merchant came to Cilotarā and set up shop on the outskirts of the village. The merchant sold all of his grain in Cilotarā and made 14,000 rupees. Kṛṣṇadāsa's father knew the merchant had been doing well, so he allied himself with a thief who intended to rob the merchant. The thief stole all of the merchant's money one night; he kept 1,000 rupees for himself and gave 13,000 rupees to Kṛṣṇadāsa's father. Kṛṣṇdāsa knew about all of this and said to his father: "You have committed a crime in allowing the merchant to be robbed and in accepting part of the loot. You will

¹F. S. GROWSE, Mathurā: A District Memoir (Allāhābād: N.W. Provinces and Oudh Gov't Press, 1883), pp. 311-314. Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, Braj kā Sānskṛtika Itihūsa (Delhi: Rājakamala Prakāshana, 1966), pp. 118-121.

²See pp. 134-135 above.

³The Kunabi caste is an agricultural caste of western India within the Shūdra varņa.

find it to be to your advantage to return the money to the merchant."

When Kṛṣṇadāsa's father heard the words of his son, he hit him and said, "Don't say anything to anyone about this matter; I am the headman of this village and this is my privilege."

Kṛṣṇadāsa warned his father that he would be ruined through his evil action and said nothing more.

In the morning, the merchant, bemoaning his loss, appeared before Krsnadāsa's father and complained that he had been robbed by thieves. Krsnadāsa's father replied by berating the merchant for conducting his business outside of the village and finished by having his men evict the merchant from the settlement. The merchant went away, lamenting his lost profits. Krsnadasa felt pity for the merchant and, thinking that he might transform the evil done by his father into good by helping the merchant who was a stranger without friends in that region, he ran up to the merchant and said that he had something to tell him privately. The merchant stopped and listened as Krsnadasa told him that his father had been behind the theft and had given the thief a thousand rupees and kept the rest for himself. Krsnadasa advised the merchant to go to the capital (which was located at Campaner)² and make a formal complaint before the king.3 Krsnadāsa, furthermore, promised that he would himself testify on the merchant's behalf; he stipulated only that, in return for his help, the merchant must agree that the lives of his father and the thief would be spared. In this way Krsnadasa planned to help the merchant recover his money.

The merchant proceeded on to the capital and made his complaint before the king. The merchant also told the king that the son of the village headman who had arranged the theft would serve as his witness and that the stolen money should be restored without causing any loss of life.

The king was greatly impressed when he heard about the boy who had exposed the crime committed by his own father and decided that he would ask the boy to live with him at court. Fifty soldiers were sent by

¹The merchant probably set up his shop outside of the village so that he would not have to pay taxes to Kṛṣṇadāsa's father.

²According to a note added to the bhāvaprakāsha by HARIRĀYAJĪ himself or by Dvārakā-Dāsa Parīkha, the modern editor of the text, the capital of the kingdom of Gujarāt was at the time of Kṛṣṇadāsa's father's crime (c. A.D. 1509 or 1510) at Ahmadābād. But, Mahmūd Begarha, who ruled Gujarāt until A.D. 1511, moved his capital in the last years of his reign from Ahmadābād 78 miles southeast to the city of Campāner (Muhammadābād). It is more likely, then, that the capital was at Campāner than at Ahmadābād when Kṛṣṇadāsa and his father were called to court. See Percy Brown, Indian Architecture, Vol. II: Islamic Period (2 vols.; Bombay: Taraporevala, 1964), vol. II, pp. 56-57.

³The king of Gujarāt during Kṛṣṇadāsa's boyhood was Mahmūd Begarha (reigned A.D. 1458-1511). H. H. DODWELL (ed.), *The Cambridge Shorter History of India* (Delhi: S. Chand, 1969), pp. 304-311.

royal order to Cilotara to bring Krsnadasa and his father back to the capital. The king's men reached Cilotara in the evening and seized Krsnadasa and his father as they were standing at the door of their house and took them back to the king. When the king asked Kṛṣṇadāsa's father if he, the headman of a village, had robbed the merchant and warned him that, if he had committed the crime, he must return the money at once, Krsnadasa's father denied any involvement with the robbery and claimed that someone must have made a false accusation. Next, the king asked the headman if his son would tell the truth and the headman assured him that the boy would. When the king, commanding Krsnadāsa to be honest, asked him about the robbery, Krsnadāsa said that he had tried to reason with his father at the time of the crime but that his father would not accept his advice. He then went on to tell the king how his father had given the thief 1,000 rupees of the stolen money and had kept 13,000 for himself. Now, Krsnadāsa told the king, his father was suffering the consequences of the evil that he had done. When the king had heard Krsnadāsa's testimony, he told Krsnadāsa's father that he would be executed if he did not return the stolen money immediately. Krsnadāsa's father and the merchant were then sent with some soldiers back to Cilotara to get the money.

The king said to Kṛṣṇadāsa, "You are honest; you must stay here with me."

But Kṛṣṇadāsa said in answer: "If you keep me here, what will you do with me? I will always speak truthfully and that will be the cause of misfortune for everyone. That is the way that things turned out today; therefore, I intend to become a homeless ascetic. Besides, my father has certainly no more affection for me."

The king continued to try to persuade Kṛṣṇadāsa to remain at court, but Kṛṣṇadāsa rejected his offers. Finally, the king gave up and Kṛṣṇadāsa was allowed to return to Cilotarā with his father. When Kṛṣṇadāsa and his father reached the village, Kṛṣṇadāsa's father summoned the thief and told him that Kṛṣṇadāsa had ruined them both and that the thousand rupees would have to be returned or they would both lose their lives. When the full fourteen thousand rupees had been returned to the merchant, he departed. Meanwhile, Kṛṣṇadāsa's father had been removed from his post and the king had appointed another headman for Cilotarā.

Kṛṣṇadāsa's father said to Kṛṣṇadāsa, "Son, through your wickedness I have lost my position, my income, and all of my wealth."

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "Father, you should be more careful of your soul (jiva); through such evil action (karma) as that which you have

done, your life both in this world and in the other world (paraloka)! will be wasted. It is good that you have been freed from the office of headman; the retention of that position would only have led to more sin."

In reply to this, Kṛṣṇadāsa's father said: "It is your destiny in this life to be a religious ascetic² and now you have made me an ascetic also³ so what do you propose to do now?"

Krsnadāsa said that he wanted permission to depart so that he might give up the wordly life. His father readily consented to let Krsnadasa leave home and said that he would not be at all sorry if he never saw him again. Upon receiving his father's permission, Krsnadasa left Cilotara and set out to travel to Braj and visit all of the holy places there. After some time. Krsnadāsa entered Braj and made his way to Vishrānta Ghāta4 in the city of Mathurā, where he bathed in the holy Yamunā. He then wandered in Brai for a period or time. In the course of his wanderings, he heard that a temple had been constructed for a divine svarūpa called Devadamana (i.e., Shrī Govardhananāthajī) and that, to the great joy of the Brajvāsis, the svarūpa would be formally installed in the temple in three or four days. Ever since Devadamana had appeared out of Giriraja (i.e., the sacred hill of Govardhana) there had been happiness for everyone in the region and the hopes and wishes of everyone there had been fulfilled. Krsnadasa decided that he, too, would go to have darshana of Devadamana and departed for Govardhana. When Krsnadāsa had darshana, which happened to be at the rājabhoga period, Shrī

'By the term paraloka, Kṛṣṇadāsa was referring to the rewards of svarga, the heavenly other world which a worldly man like Kṛṣṇadāsa's father would look forward to after death. Only by very righteous conduct could one expect to enjoy the pleasures of svarga before returning to an earthly birth. See MONIER-WILLIAMS, pp. 587, 1281.

²The word which Kṛṣṇadāsa's father used for "religious ascetic" was fakīr, one of the several Arabic words that had been adopted into sixteenth-century Braj Bhāṣā. As in modern Hindī usage, the word fakīr was used in Braj Bhāṣā to designate either a Hindu or Muslim wandering ascetic. See Rāmacandra Varmmā, Mānaka Hindī Koṣa (5 vols; Allāhābād: Hindī Sāhitya Sammelana, 1963). IV, 2. Premanārāyaṇa Ṭaṇdana (ed.), Braja Bhāṣā Sūra-Koṣa (2 vols.; Lucknow: Lucknow University, 1962), vol. II, pp. 1145.

³K₁s,nadāsa's father meant that his son, by testifying against him before the king, had destroyed all of his material possessions so that he would have to live like an ascetic who had renounced the world.

⁴See p. 111 above.

⁵See p. 125 above,

⁶The most likely date for Kṛṣṇadāsa's initiation into Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya is A.D. 1512. The temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, which was built to replace the simple shrine that Vallabhācārya had had erected in A.D. 1494, was completed after 20 years from the beginning of construction in A.D. 1500. There were, thus, eight years between the initiation of Kṛṣṇadāsa and the completion of the temple in A.D. 1520. The bhāvaprakāsha here, however, places Kṛṣṇadāsa's initiation only a few days before the completion of the temple.

Ācāryajī himself was conducting the āratī ceremony. While Kṛṣṇadāsa was having darshana. Shrī Govardhanadhara fixed his gaze upon him and completely stole away his heart.

Later, Shrī Govardhanadhara said to Shrī Ācāryajī: "Kṛṣṇadāsa, who has been suffering from the pain of separation from me for several days, has arrived. I have seen him."

Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu then went to Kṛṣṇadāsa and told him to come with him. Upon hearing Shrī Ācāryajī's words, Kṛṣṇadāsa flung himself upon the ground and made this supplication: "Mahārāja! I have come by your mercy, so please grant me refuge."

Shrī Ācāryajī then sent Kṛṣṇadāsa to bathe in Rudrakuṇḍa³ and warned him to hurry because Shrī Govardhananāthajī was watching him. As soon as Kṛṣṇadāsa had bathed, he returned to Shrī Ācāryajī at the temple. Shrī Ācāryajī seated him before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and initiated him by giving him the mantra of the divine name and by having him dedicate himself completely to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a daivī jīva. At the time of his initiation, Kṛṣṇadāsa experienced all of the divine līlā and was moved to sing a kīrtana that greatly pleased Shrī Ācāryajī. Then Shrī Ācāryajī had the period of darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī terminated. Meanwhile, work on the new beautiful solidly-built temple, which would replace the original inadequate structure, was nearing completion.

On the auspicious day of the Akṣayatṛtīyā festival⁴ the new temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī was finally finished and the desires of Pūrṇamalla Khatrī, who had financed the construction of the temple, were fulfilled.⁵ Shrī Ācāryajī summoned Sadū Pāṇḍe and told him that, as soon as Shrī Govardhananāthajī was formally installed in the newlycompleted temple, he should be in charge of the performance of the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

But Sadū Pānde humbly asked Shrī Ācāryajī to excuse him from the responsibility of performing the sevā on the grounds that he was only an ordinary Brajvāsī and knew nothing of the rituals and procedures of sevā and was, besides, very busy with domestic concerns. Sadū Pānde suggested that some Bengālīs who were living nearby at Rādhākunda and who were accustomed to pass the day in singing religious songs be

 $^{^{4}}$ \bar{A} rati is a common kind of Hindu worship which is done by moving a lighted lamp in front of a deity.

²"Shrī Govardhanadhara" is another name for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. See note number 4, p. 116 above.

³Rudrakunda is one of the pools on Govardhana Hill.

^{*}The Akşayatrtiyā is a Hindu festival celebrated on the third day of the bright half of the month of Vaishākha.

⁵HARIRĀYAJĪ, pp. 141-144.

given the duty of performing Shrī Govardhananāthajī's sevā. Shrī Ācāryaji then had Sadū Pāṇde call the Bengālīs. Shrī Ācāryajī entrusted the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī to twenty or twenty-five of the Bengālīs and granted them permission to build their huts at Rudra-kuṇda on the lower slope of Govardhana Hill.¹ At the same time, Kṛṣṇa-dāsa was put in charge of collecting donations given to Shrī Govardhana-nāthajī; he was to gather contributions from areas outside of Braj and then turn the contributions over to the Bengālīs for the use of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. This was the sevā that Kṛṣṇadāsa was to perform. Shrī Ācāryajī instructed the Bengālīs in the manner in which they were to perform the sacred sevā and left the sevā in their hands. Kṛṣṇadāsa departed for other regions to gather contributions and offerings. When the new temple was finished, Rāmadāsa Cauhāna the Rājpūt left his earthly body and entered into līlā.² Then the Bengālīs were left in complete charge of the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

[At this point begin the prasangas of Kṛṣṇadāsa's vārtā.]

Prasanga 1

Once, Kṛṣṇadāsa went to Dvārikā in order to collect offerings from the Vaiṣṇavas who were having darshana of Shrī Ranachoḍajī, a svarūpa of Shrī Kṛṣṇa which was present in that city. On the road to Dvārikā, Kṛṣṇadāsa and the Vaiṣṇava who had come along to help him came to the village in which Mīrāṇbāī lived Kṛṣṇadāsa and the Vaiṣṇava went into Mīrāṇbāī's house. In Mīrāṇbāī's house were several saints, religious leaders, and svāmīs—all of whom followed doctrines (mārga) different from the Puṣṭimārga of Shrī Ācāryajī; some of these men had been visiting Mīrāṇbāī for ten days and others had been in her house for twenty days, but none of them had yet received a gift. They were waiting to be given donations. Just as soon as Kṛṣṇadāsa had gone into Mīrāṇbāī's house, he announced that he was going to leave. When Mīrāṇbāī heard that Kṛṣṇadāsa did not intend to stay, she said to him, "Have the mercy to stay here for a few days."

But Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "I will stay wherever there are Vaiṣṇava

¹The Bengālis to whom Vallabhācārya entrusted the conduct of the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī were members of the Vaiṣṇava sect founded by the great Bengālī bhakta Kṛṣṇa Caitanya. Evidently, at this early period Vallabhācārya did not have enough willing and qualified sevakas within his own Sampradāva to restrict the conduct of the permanent divine sevā to his followers. Although the Bengālīs were later dismissed from the temple of Shrī Nāthajī, the philosophy of bhakti that developed within the Caitanya Sampradāva seems to have had an important effect on the thought of the Vallabha Sampradāva. See pp. 31–32 in Part I above for a brief discussion of Caitanya and his sect.

²Rāmadāsa Cauhāna the Rājpūt was the man whom Vallabhācārya left in charge of the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī at the small shrine built in a.D. 1494. See Harirāyajī, pp. 252-255.

sevakas of Shrī Ācāryajī, but I will not keep the company of those who follow the doctrines of other teachers."

Then Mirānbāi offered a gift of eleven mohauras¹ for Shrī Nāthajī, but Kṛṣṇadāsa refused to accept her money. He said to her, "You are not a sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī, so I will not even touch your mohauras." As soon as he had said this, Kṛṣṇadāsa got up and left the house.

Later, the Vaiṣṇava who was travelling with Kṛṣṇadāsa asked him why he had rejected Mīrāṇbāi's donation to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. In answer to the Vaiṣṇava's question, Kṛṣṇadāsa said: "What of the gift? We will receive many offerings from Vaiṣṇavas. Shrī Govardhananāthajī is not suffering from any poverty. A great number of religious leaders and svāmis representing several different sects had gathered in Mīrāṇbāi's house; I cut all of those proud people down to size. All of those people have been waiting there at Mīrāṇbāi's house for many days in hopes of getting donations, but I, a Shūdra sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī, would not accept a gift of many mohauras. Everyone of those saints and svāmīs will think to himself, 'If the sevaka is so scrupulous, then how much more so his guru must be.' Besides, how can Shrī Ācāryajī accept the offerings of followers of paths other than his own?"

Kṛṣṇadāsa was, thus, a strict Bhagavadiya who had received the very highest degree of favour.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

The Shikṣāpātra² says that association with members of alien sects is the source of the greatest misery for those who belong to Shrī Nāthajī. Happiness comes through refusing even to speak with followers of other doctrines. If one should speak with such people, then one's rasa will be obscured as if by a shadow. It was necessary to tell about Kṛṣṇadāsa's going to the house of Mīrāṇbāī in order to illustrate the need for the sectarians to keep to themselves. One of the most important doctrines is the warning that one should associate only with those who follow the dame doctrines that one follows. And if one should meet a stranger, then one should keep one's own dharma hidden.

Shrī Gusānījī himself has stated in his Catuḥshloki: "In a country filled with the followers of strange doctrines one should worship Shrī Nāthajī firmly and constantly while keeping one's own dharma concealed."

¹A mohaura was a gold coin of great value.

²The Shiksāpatra is an important work written in Sanskrit by Harirāyajī as an instruction book for the Pustimārga. See Harirāyajī, Bade Shiksāpatra (Bombay: Shetha Nārāyaṇadāsa and Jethānanda Āsanamala Trust Fund, 1962).

Just as the best foods are carefully guarded so that they may continue to be suitable as food offerings to Shrī Thākuraiī, just so is it essential to protect the Vaisnava dharma.]1

Prasanga 2

And so the Bengalis were performing the shringara of Shri Govardhananāthajī. Shrī Ācāryajī had provided for all of Shrī Govardhananāthajī's ornaments of blue enamel and he had had all necessary garments and a crown (mukuta) of peacock feathers made for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. The Bengālīs were in charge of the sevā of the divine svarūpa. But the Bengālīs gathered up all of the offerings coming in to Shrī Govardhananāthajī and sent them all over to their own gurus in Brndābana.

When Shrī Ācārvaiī later made Krsnadāsa adhikāri over the temple of Shri Govardhananāthaji, one of Krsnadāsa's duties was to bring supplies from Agrā and from Mathurā. He used to spend several days in performing this task.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Avadhūtadāsa, who roamed continually through Brai, was one of Shrī Ācāryajī's sevakas. He was a Bhagavadīva who had received great favour. On earth, he was a native of the village of Adinga.² but in *līlā* he is one of the unwed *gopīs* who want to marry Shrī Krsna.³ When Shrī Thākurajī appeared, as is narrated in the "Rāsapañcādhyāi", then all of the bhaktas who were having darshana of the sacred form closed their eyes and were so completely entranced that they seemed to be *vogīs*. Those bhaktās were manifested on earth: one of them, named Ketini, became Avadhūtadāsa.

Avadhūtadāsa was born in the house of a Sanodhiyā Brāhmna in Adīnga; but, during a famine in Braj, Avadhūtadāsa's parents left him, while still a boy, with a baniva (merchant) and went away to the east. When Avadhūtadāsa reached the age of fifteen, he left the baniyā's house and went to the city of Mathurā. In that city he had darshana of Shrī Ācārvajī and begged, "Mahārāja! Please take me into your protection." Shrī Ācāryajī told Avadhūtadāsa to accompany him to Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple on Govardhana Hill so ¹See pp. 40-41 above in Part I.

²Growse, pp. 62, 83, 380-381.

³According to Vallabhācārya there are three kinds of gopis in līlā: pravāhapusti gopīs who look upon Krsna as their son; marvādā-pusti gopis who want to marry Krsna; and pusti-pusti-posts who are already married but have given up all social restrictions to love Krsna. See p. 90 in Part I above and: GOVARDHANA NĀTHA SHUKLA, Kavivara Paramānandadāsa our Vallubha Sampradāva (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1964), pp. 211-212.

that he might there take refuge with Shrī Govardhananāthajī. When the two reached Girirāja, Shrī Ācāryajī told the boy to bathe in Govindakuṇḍa and then to come to the temple. After the completion of the rājabhoga offering to Shrī Govardhanadhara, Shrī Ācāryajī summoned Avadhūtadāsa and initiated him in the presence of Shrī Govardhanadhara. Then Avadhūtadāsa made the following request of Shrī Ācāryajī: "Mahāraja, I would like to keep Shrī Govardhananāthajī in my heart and wander continually throughout Braj."

Then Shrī Ācāryajī took water in his hand and sprinkled it on Avadhūtadāsa: by this action, Avadhūtadāsa came to have an alaukika body and neither hunger nor thirst nor any other false claim of the body could hinder him and he remained absorbed in mental sevā. After this, Shrī Ācāryajī performed the rājabhoga āratī ceremony. Avadhūtadāsa was completely filled with the divine svarūpa and, while constantly on the move in Braj, was always drowned in the bliss of the divine svarūpa.]

One day, Shrī Govardhananāthajī instructed Avadhūtadāsa to tell Krsnadāsa the Adhikārī that the Bengālīs must be expelled because they were infringing on his own glory by seating their goddess beside him when offering food to him. Avadhūtadāsa kept Shrī Govardhananāthajī's words in his mind. One day, Avadhūtadāsa met Kṛṣṇadāsa on the road. Avadhūtadāsa asked Krsnadāsa where he was going and Krsnadāsa explained that he was on his way from Govardhana to Mathurā to buy provisions. Avadhūtadāsa next inquired who was doing the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Kṛṣṇadāsa replied that the sevā was in the care of some Bengālis. Avadhūtadāsa then told Krsnadāsa that Shrī Govardhananāthajī was very unhappy about the presence of the image of the Bengālis' goddess during the offering of food and that it was Shrī Govardhananāthajī's desire that the Bengālīs be immediately expelled from the performance of sevā. Avadhūtadāsa went on to say that Shrī Govardhananāthajī had made clear that it was Krsnadāsa's duty to remove the Bengālīs. Krsnadāsa pointed out to Avadhūtadāsa that, since Shrī Ācāryajī had given the Bengālīs the responsibility of conducting the sevā in the temple, only by order of Shrī Ācāryajī's successor Shrī Gusānījī could the Bengālīs be evicted. Then Avadhūtadāsa said to Kṛṣṇadāṣa, "You must go to Shrī Guṣānījī's home in Adela¹ and bring back an order for the expulsion of the Bengālīs from him. Then you may get rid of the Bengālīs."

Kṛṣṇadāsa turned around at once and returned to Govardhana Hill. There, he told the Bengālīs that he was going to Adela on business.

Later, he told all of the sevakas and Brajvāsis to perform their duties well while he was away visiting Shrī Gusāṇijī in Adela. Then, Kṛṣṇadāsa took leave of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and set out for Adela. After fifteen days, Kṛṣṇadāsa reached Adela and prostrated himself before Shrī Gusāṇijī. Shrī Gusāṇijī asked Kṛṣṇadāsa why he had left the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and come to Adela. In answer to this, Kṛṣṇadāsa explained that the Bengālīs who were conducting the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī were in the habit of setting an image of their goddess beside Shrī Govardhananāthajī at the rājabhoga food offering, that the Bengālīs were sending all of the gifts made to Shrī Govardhananāthajī to their gurus in Bṛndāban, and that the Bengālīs were very unpopular with the bhaktas of Shrī Nāthajī and would be the cause of a serious quarrel if they remained in charge of the sevā any longer. Kṛṣṇadāsa finished his statement by requesting Shrī Gusāṇijī to order that the Bengālīs be sent away.

Shrī Gusānījī replied, "Some time ago, Shrī Gopīnāthajī made a tour of the eastern countries² and collected there donations worth a hundred thousand rupees. When Shrī Gopīnāthajī returned home to Adela, he decided to give all of the valuable gifts that he had received to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Gopīnāthajī then set out with the hundred thousand rupees for Govardhana Hill; when he reached there, he spent the money by having plates and bowls made of gold and silver for Shrī Nāthajī. As soon as Shrī Gopīnāthajī had performed the shrīngāra sevā and departed for Adela, the Bengālīs gathered up all of those gold and silver platters and bowls and other wealth and sent it all to their gurus' establishment in Brndābana. I got news of all of this, but what could I do about it? The Bengālīs were invested by Shrī Ācāryajī; so how can they possibly be removed?

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "Mahārāja! Don't talk like this. It is the desire of Shrī Govardhananāthajī that the Bengālīs be thrown out. Somehow, I must evict them."

Shrī Gusānijī agreed, "If there is danger of strife, then the Bengālis must certainly be sent away."

Kṛṣṇadāsasaid, "Mahārāja, please write two letters for me; one should be addressed to Rājā Ṭoḍaramalla³ and the other to Rājā Bīrabala."

¹Gopinātha was Vallabhācārya's elder son; see pp. 52-53 above.

²Gopînātha's "tour of the eastern countries" was his pilgrimage to Jagannātha-Purī in Orissa.

³Rājā Todaramalla, a Hindu, was an important minister and adviser of the Emperor Akbar. He is especially well-known for his revenue reforms. See: Wolseley Haig and Richard Burn (ed.), *The Mughul Period*, Vol. IV of *The Cambridge History of India* (6 vols.; Delhi: S. Chand, 1963), pp. 110-119, 459-462.

⁴Rājā Bîrabala, A Hindu, was a close friend of Akbar. See: Dodwell, pp. 370-371.

Shrī Gusānījī wrote the two letters as Kṛṣṇadāsa had requested. The letters went as follows: "Kṛṣṇadāsa is at Govardhana Hill; do whatever he tsks you to do. I must have the Bengālīs evicted and replaced with sevakas. Kṛṣṇadāsa is the adhikārī of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, so whatever he may do is acceptable to me." Shrī Gusānījī gave the two letters to Kṛṣṇadāsa. Kṛṣṇadāsa took the letters, prostrated himself before Shrī Gusānījī, and left Adela. After a journey of several days, Kṛṣṇadāsa reached Āgrā. There, he showed the two letters, both signed by Shrī Gusānījī, to Rājā Ṭoḍaramalla and to Rājā Bīrabala. When both of the courtiers had read the letters, they said that they would act according to Kṛṣṇadāsa's instructions.

Kṛṣṇadāsa told them: "Now I am going to the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī to evict the Bengālīs. Please settle matters favourably according to Shrī Gusāṇījī's wishes if the Bengālīs' gurus in Bṛndābana should make a complaint before the Emperor Akbar."

Both Todaramalla and Bīrabala assured Kṛṣṇadāsa that he could confidently carry out Shrī Gusāṇijī's commands with the knowledge that any difficulties that might arise at the imperial court would be efficiently taken care of. Kṛṣṇadāsa then left Āgrā on his way home to Govardhana, passing through Mathurā on the way. Before Kṛṣṇadāsa reached Govardhana Hill, he met Avadhūtadāsa on the road. When Avadhūtadāsa asked Kṛṣṇadāsa why so much time had been allowed to go by without any move to expel the Bengālīs, Kṛṣṇadāsa told him that he had gotten Shrī Gusāṇijī's order to cast out the Bengālīs and that he intended to carry out that order at once. As soon as Kṛṣṇadāsa reached Govardhana Hill, he put a plan that he had devised into operation.

First of all, Kṛṣṇadāsa went up to Rudrakuṇḍa and had fires set in the huts belonging to the Bengālīs. Then he had his men shout loudly. The Bengālīs, who were performing their duties in Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple, heard the commotion and went to see what had happened. When they saw that their huts were ablaze, they deserted the serā of Shrī Nāthajī and ran down the hill to try to save their huts. They set to work putting out the fires. While the Bengālīs were engaged with the fires in their huts, Kṛṣṇadāsa stationed his Brajvāsīs at strategic places in and around the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and warned them not to let any Bengālīs climb back up the hill. He also appointed Brāhmaṇa hhītariyā sevakas¹ to perform the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī. Then, Kṛṣṇadāsa armed himself with a stout staff and went to the foot of the hill. When the Bengālīs had extinguished the fires in their huts, they began to climb back up the hill to the temple.

Kṛṣṇadāsa, however, stood in the way of the Bengālīs and said to

See p. 49 in Part I above for a discussion of the office of bhitariyā.

them: "You are no longer responsible for the performance of the $sev\bar{a}$; I have designated other attendants to conduct the $sev\bar{a}$."

When the Bengālīs heard the words of Kṛṣṇadāsa, they prepared to fight, crying out—"Shrī Govardhananāthajī is our Lord, for Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu entrusted him to us."

With that the battle began. Kṛṣṇadāsa put all of the Bengālīs to flight. After their defeat, the Bengālīs went to Mathurā and told the whole story of the fight at Govardhana Hill to their gurus Rūpa and Sanātana.¹ The Bengālīs complained that Kṛṣṇadāsa, who was a lowly Shūdra, had dared to have their huts burnt down, beat them, and throw them out of their right to perform the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī. At the very same moment that the Bengālīs were telling their version of the story, Kṛṣṇadāsa was on his way to Mathurā riding in a chariot and accompanied by fifty armed Brajvāsīs. When Kṛṣṇadāsa arrived in Mathurā, he went straight to the house of Rūpa and Sanātana.

Full of wrath, Rūpa and Sanātana upbraided Kṛṣṇadāsa, "Shūdra! Why did you² beat these Brāhmaṇas? The Emperor Akbar will hear of this matter. What will you say then?"

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "Yes, I am a Shūdra, but I don't make Brāhmaṇas my sevakas. You, however, are not Agnihotrī Brāhmaṇas; you³ are, in fact, only Kāyasthas.⁴ Although you are Kāvasthas, you have Brāhmaṇas prostrate themselves before you and you make them your sevakas. You yourselves will come to griefif you testify before the emperor, for your own position is very weak. I, on the other hand, will give testimony. You had better think very carefully before you take any action."

When Rūpa and Sanātana heard what Kṛṣṇadāsa had to say, they said, "You know the facts of the matter and our Bengālis know the facts of the matter, but we know nothing about it."

Next, the disappointed Bengālīs took the case to the governor (hākim)

¹Rūpa and Sanātana, who were brothers, were two of the famous Six Gosvāmis of Bṛndābana who established the philosophical and organizational basis of the Caitanya Sampradāya. Although the literature of the Caitanya Sampradāya holds that Rūpa and Sanātana were Brāhmaṇas of South Indian origin, sources outside of Caitanya's Sampradāya - of which the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan ki Vārtā is one -often make them Kāyasthas. See: Sushii. Kumar De, Early History of the Vaisnava Faith and Movement in Bengal (Calcutta: Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, 1961), pp. 146-165.

²In the text of the $v\bar{u}rt\bar{u}$ Rūpa and Sanātana abuse Kṛṣṇadāsa by addressing him with the intimate second-person pronoun $t\bar{u}$.

 3 Kṛṣṇadāsa repays the two gurus of the Bengālis for the demeaning way that they address him by addressing them with the plural familiar second-person pronoun tum when they would expect to be addressed with the formal plural second-person pronoun $\bar{a}pu$.

4The Kāyastha caste is a clerical caste of North India which is usually considered by persons outside the caste to belong to either the Vaishya or Shūdra varņa and by those within the caste to be of the Kṣatriya varna.

of Mathurā. They said to him, "Kṛṣṇadāsa has expelled us from the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Please restore us somehow to our rightful position."

While the Bengālīs were giving their account, Kṛṣṇadāsa came up to the governor. The governor observed Kṛṣṇadāsa's strong personality and invited him to be seated nearby. Then he addressed Kṛṣṇadāsa as follows: "You are an important man, for you hold the office of Shrī Govardhananāthajī's adhikārī; please pardon these Bengālīs for their shortcomings. Let what is past be past. Restore them to their duty and let them again do the sevā."

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "I won't restore them and they will never again serve as my employees. They were my employees and they fought against me. I cannot excuse them. Although fire broke out in their huts, they knew that I would have new huts built for them. When they abandoned the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and ran down the hill to save their huts, they lost the privilege of conducting the divine sevā. I And now you claim that I should restore them to the sevā. I will write a letter about this matter to Shrī Gusānījī and I will obey whatever order he sends to me."

The governor agreed that Kṛṣṇadāsa should write to Shrī Gusānījī for a solution to the problem. Then Kṛṣṇadāsa returned to Govardhana Hill and the Bengālīs remained in Bṛndābana.

Some time later, the Bengālīs gathered together and took their complaint against Kṛṣṇadāsa to the Emperor Akbar in Āgrā. When Akbar had heard the complaint, he asked who Kṛṣṇadāsa was and ordered that he be brought to the court to answer the charge of having removed the Bengālī Brāhmaṇas from their worship. At that point Rājā Todaramalla and Bīrabala stepped forward and said to Akbar: "Shrī Govardhananāthajī is Shrī Viṭṭhalanātha Gusāṇījī's divine Lord. Formerly, Shrī Gausāṇījī gave these Bengālīs the duty of the performing of the sevā and he allowed them a salary. Now, however, he has decided to dismiss them."

Akbar then said, "In that case, these Bengālis are making a dishonest complaint. How else are employees to be treated?" Then, Akbar commanded that Kṛṣṇadāsa be summoned and that the matter be settled according to Kṛṣṇadāsa's wishes.

Akbar's men arrived at Girirāja and escorted Kṛṣṇadāsa, riding in his chariot and accompanied by ten or twenty of his own men, to Āgrā. In Āgrā, Kṛṣṇadāsa first met with Rājā Todaramalla and Bīrabala. The two courtiers told him that they had already replied to the complaint of the Bengālīs once, but that they would have to reply again on that very day.

A bhakta or servant of Shrī Kṛṣṇa must never consider worldly matters and possessions more important than the care of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. By violating this fundamental rule when they ran from the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī to put out the fires in their huts, the Bengālīs forfeited their right to perform the divine sevā.

They told Krsnadasa that he would have to remain in Agra all that day.

When the period of audience (darbār) arrived, Rājā Ṭoḍaramalla and Bīrabala came to the Emperor Akbar and said, "Kṛṣṇadāsa, Shrī Govardhananāthajī's adhikārī, has arrived. He has told us that he does not intend to restore the Bengālīs to their former position in the temple. He hired them to be his employees and now he has fired them."

Akbar agreed with this and said that the Bengālīs could be reinstated only by Kṛṣṇadāsa's wish. He said, further, that Kṛṣṇadāsa had done nothing unjust. He finished his judgement by ordering that the Bengālīs be sent away. Rājā Todaramalla and Bīrabala went to the Bengālīs and warned them that, since the Emperor Akbar had ordered them to be sent away, they had better leave quietly without any more argument. All of the Bengālīs then went away disappointed and remained in Brndābana.

As soon as Kṛṣṇadāsa had gotten back to Girirāja, he called two messengers and sent them with a letter to Shrī Gusāṇijī. In the letter, Kṛṣṇadāsa said: "The Bengālīs have been expelled by your order and the testimony before the Emperor Akbar has been concluded. Now the dispute is absolutely finished and the Bengālīs have been forced to leave the royal court in Āgrā. So, please show your mercy and come here."

When Shrī Gusāṇijī had received and read the letter, he left Adela at once. When Shrī Gusāṇijī reached the temple on Govardhana Hill, he summoned Kṛṣṇadāsa and, in the presence of Shrī Govardhananāthajī, placed upon him the mantle of the office of adhikārī. After this had been done, Shrī Gusāṇijī said to Kṛṣṇadāsa, "Kṛṣṇadāsa, your expulsion of the Bengālīs was an excellent act of sevā. Now I want you to be responsible for managing everything for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Now please take this opportunity to say anything that you want without hesitation. All of the sevakas and attendants are under your authority, what more is there? You have performed such wonderful sevā that Shrī Govardhananāthajī will do whatever you say. You have received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī; everything will prosper under your authority. Perform well the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Take care."

Kṛṣṇadāsa prostrated himself before Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Gusāṇijī and set about doing the sevā of the supervision of everything at the temple. From that day the institution of the office (gādī) of Shrī Nāthajī's adhikārī was begun. By the command of Shrī Gusāṇijī, Kṛṣṇadāsa was the first to hold this office.

When the Bengālīs heard that Shrī Gusānījī had come to Govardhana Hill and was performing the *shrīngāra* of Shrī Nāthajī there, they all gathered together and came to see Shrī Gusānījī. When they came into his presence, they made the following entreaty: "Shrī Ācāryajī called upon us to take care of the *sevā* of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and then

Krsnadāsa expelled us from that sevā. Now please reinstate us."

Shrī Gusānījī answered the Bengālīs in these words: "All of you are to blame for your misfortune since you left the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī to run down the hill to your huts. Shrī Govardhananāthajī does not wish to reinstate you; therefore, you may not be reinstated."

Then all of the Bengālīs began pleading with Shrī Gusānījī: "Since you have forbidden us to do the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī, we have no way to make a living; how will we eat? While we were allowed to conduct the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī we had plenty to eat and drink. Please tell us to take care of some other sevā; please give us some other divine Lord so that we may maintain ourselves."

In answer to the pleas of the Bengālīs, Shrī Gusānījī gave them Shrī Madanamohanajī, the svarūpa to which Shrī Gopīnāthajī had performed sevā. The Bengālīs took Shrī Madanamohanajī with them back to Bṛandābana and carried on the sevā of that svarūpa there.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Baladevajī is of the essence of $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$; therefore, the form of the Lord for which he does $sev\bar{a}$ is also of the essence of $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$. The Bengālīs were given the $svar\bar{u}pa$ called Shrī Madanamohanajī because worship $(p\bar{u}j\bar{a})$ with the $mary\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ attitude was appropriate to them. In this way, Shrī Gusānjī placated the Bengālīs.]

Then Shrī Gusānjī placed Sāncorā Gujarātī Brāhmanas in the office of bhītariyās in charge of sevā. He made Rāmadāsa the chief of the bhītariyās.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Rāmadāsa was a Sāncorā Brāhmana from Gujarāt. In the divine līlā, Rāmadāsa is a girl named Manoramā who is sakhī of Shrī Candrāvalī and attends to her needs. Kṛṣṇadāsa, who has received divine favour, appears in the līlā of Shrī Svāminījī and Shrī Ṭhākurajī as the clever maiden called Lalitā who may order all of the sweets and delicacies that are offered to Shrī Govardhananāthajī; in just the same way, Rāmadāsa, who was the chief bhītariyā, in his nature as Manoramā is to wait on Shrī Gusānījī.

¹There is a svarūpa called Shrī Madaṇamohanajī, which may be the same svarūpa that was given to the Bengālīs, in the possession of the chief descendant of Vitthalanātha's seventh son Ghanashyāma; the svarūpā has a havelī at Kāmabana in Bharatpur district, Rājasthān. See: Prabhu Dayāla Mītala, Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa (Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968), p. 276.

²Vallabhācārya's elder son Gopinātha, who is said to have followed the *maryādā mārga* (see pp. 52, 73 and 75 of Part I above), is considered by many followers of Vallabhācārya to have been a manifestation of Shrī Kṛṣṇa's brother Baladeva (see pp. 38–39, 52 of Part I above).

Manoramā took birth as a boy named Rāmadāsa in the house of a Sāncorā Brāhmana in Gujarāt. When Rāmadāsa reached the age of twelve, both his mother and his father died. A little later, Rāmadāsaiī set out for Dvārikā in order to have darshana of Shrī Ranachodajī. While Rāmadāsa was in Dvārikā, he had darshana of Shrī Ācārvajī who was at that time giving religious instruction¹ in the vicinity of the temple of Shri Ranachodaji. As soon as Rāmadāsaji had heard the teachings from the holy mouth of Shri Ācārvaji, he realized that Shri Ācārvaji was a divine manifestation and that he would find spiritual satisfaction only if he took refuge with Shri Ācārvajī. When Shrī Ācārvajī had finished giving instruction, Rāmadāsa prostrated himself before him and asked him for spiritual asylum. Shrī Ācārvajī told Rāmadāsa to bathe in order that he might receive initiation. After Rāmadāsa had bathed and been initiated, Shrī Ācāryajī told him to begin performing the sevā of Bhagavān. Rāmadasa then said that he had with him the divine image before which his father had worshipped and that he would like Shrī Ācāryaiī to permit him to do sevā for that image. Shrī Ācārvajī gave his consent and had Rāmadāsa's image bathed in pancāmrta.² Rāmadāsa remained several days with Shri Ācāryaji, learning from him the correct methods of performing sevā. After a time, Rāmadāsa made the following request of Shri Ācāryaji: "Mahārāja! I have not read any of the scriptures. but I have an intense yearning to study your book."

When Shrī Ācāryajī had given instruction in his book³ such vivid experience of the Braj *līlā* appeared in Rāmadāsa's heart that he was moved to compose a *kīrtana* on that *līlā* before Shrī Ācāryajī. Rāmadāsa's *kīrtana* was filled with *rasa* and gave Shrī Ācāryajī great delight. Then Rāmadāsa took leave of Shrī Ācāryajī and returned to his house in Gujarāt, where he continually practised the *sevā* of Shrī Kṛṣṇa.

One day a Vaiṣṇava stopped at Rāmadāsa's house and Rāmadāsa, knowing that the company of a Vaiṣṇava is precious, thanked the Vaiṣṇava for having favoured him by stopping at his house and affectionately invited him to stay for a while. While talking to Rāma-

¹Vallabhācārya, during his three pilgrimages around India, paused from time to time—especially at holy centres where great numbers of pilgrims would always be congregated—to give publicly his interpretations of passages and chapters from the *Bhāgavata Purāṇa*. In so doing, Vallabhācārya attracted many followers for his *Sampradāya* and for his *Puṣṭi-mārga*.

²Pañcāmṛta is a liquid mixture made up of milk, yoghurt, ghee, honey and sugar that is used in Hindu worship for the anointing of sacred images. Vallabhācārya probably had Rāmadāsa bathe his family image in Pancāmṛta to mark its transition from an ordinary image to a divine svarūpa suitable to receive Pustimārgī sevā.

⁵The name of the book is not given in the text, but it is very likely that it was some form of Vallabhācārya's Subodhini commentary on the Bhāgavata Purāṇa.

dāsa, the Vaiṣṇava mentioned that association with a great Vaiṣṇava named Padmanābhadāsa¹ was especially beneficial since even one moment in the company of that advanced Vaiṣṇava would bring one divine grace. Rāmadāsa decided at once that he must meet Padmanābhadāsa and, the moment the Vaiṣṇava had left his house, he took his divine svarūpa and set out for the city of Kannauj to visit Padmanābhadāsa. Padmanābhadāsa became very fond of Rāmadāsa and kept him in his house for a whole month. During all that time, Rāmadāsa remained thoroughly absorbed in the accounts of the līlās of Bhagavān which Padmanābhadāsa told him. At the end of the month, Rāmadāsa said to Padmanābhadāsa, "I had heard stories of your greatness and I have found all of them to be true; in your company I have found happiness. Now, I would like to have darshana of Shrī Govardhananāthajī; later, perhaps I will return to your house. Please keep my holy svarūpa for me."

Padmanābhadāsa placed Rāmadāsa's svarūpa beside the sacred bed of his own image called Shrī Mathureshajī. It was at that time that Shrī Gusānījī made Rāmadāsa the chief of the hhitariyās. Rāmadāsa enshrined Shrī Nāthajī in his heart and performed his sevā. Later, when Padmanābhadāsajī gave up his earthly body, Rāmadāsa's divine svarūpa, which was called "Shrī Ṭhākurajī", was brought to the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī and set beside Shrī Govardhananāthajī and has remained there ever since.]

Shrī Gusānījī next began to make the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī more elaborate and more beautiful. He introduced the magnificent rāja-sevā and increased the food offerings. He expanded the number of sevakas and gave presents to the tailors, goldsmiths, carpenters, and all the other employees of the temple of Shrī Nāthajī. And, as has been described above; he strengthened the office of Shrī Nāthajī's adhikārī. In all of these ways, Shrī Gusānījī enhanced the majesty of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Over all of the sevakas Shrī Gusānījī set Krsnadāsa the Adhikārī; if any particular task should have to be done, Shrī Gusānījī would ask Krsnadāsa to have it done. Shrī Gusānījī used to perform the shringāra sevā and would not talk to anyone. Whenever a sevaka would ask Shrī Gusānījī about some matter, Shrī Gusānījī would say, "I don't know the answer, go and ask Krsnadasa the Adhikari." Thus, the precedent was set and the prestige and authority of Krsnadasa became very great. Wherever Krsnadāsa went he was accompanied by chariots, horses, oxen, camels, wagons, and 150 men. Krsnadāsa the Adhikāri became

tHarirāyasī, Caurāsi Vaisnavan. ..., pp. 35-46.

²This is probably the Shri Mathureshaji that is today in the hands of Vitthalanātha's eldest son's descendants and is located in a temple at Jatipurā (Gopālapura)—see p. 127 above—on Govardhana Hill in Braj. See: Mītala, Braj ke Dharma..., p. 276.

famous even in distant countries. Kṛṣṇadāsa was continually composing new verses and singing them before Shrī Govardhanadhara. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a Bhagavadīya who had received the divine grace.

Prasanga 3

One day, Shrī Govardhananāthajī instructed Kṛṣṇadāsa to get Syāmakumhāra with his mṛdanga¹ and bring him to Parāsolī² after the shayana darshana period³ so that rāsalīlā⁴ might be performed there. As soon as he had received this command from Shrī Govardhananāthajī, Kṛṣṇadāsa prostrated himself before the svarūpa and went down from Govardhana Hill. Meanwhile, Shrī Govardhananāthajī told Syāmakumhāra to take his mṛdanga and go wherever Kṛṣṇadāsa might tell him to go.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Nāthajī himself gave his command to Syāmakumhāra because Syāmakumhāra is, in *līlā*, a sakhī of Visākhājī. His name in *līlā* is Rasataraṅginī; Rasataraṅginī's sevā is the playing of the mṛdaṅga. Once, Visākhājī decided, after Rasataraṅginī had gone to bed, that she wanted to sing. Consequently, she awakened Rasataraṅginī and told her to accompany her singing on the mṛdaṅga. Rasataraṅginī, however, was too drowsy to play well and, after a short time, she stopped playing altogether. At this, Visākhājī became very angry and shouted to Rasataraṅginī, "What's wrong with your playing today?"

To this Rasatarangini replied crossly, "I am very sleepy; anyway, it was your idea to sing. What do you expect?"

When Visākhājī had heard these words from her sakhī, she snatched the mrdanga from Rasataranginī and told her that she could no longer be her sakhī and that she would have to be born on earth. This was Rasataranginī's penalty for her haughty words.

Rasataranginī took birth in the house of a Kumhāra⁵ in the village of Mahābana as a boy named Syāmakumhāra. Syāmakumhāra, who was quickwitted and clever, was summoned by Shrī Gusānjī himself

¹A mrdanga is a cylindrical drum that is played on both ends. In most of North India the mrdanga has been replaced by the tabla drums, but in the havelis of the Vallabha Sampradāva the mrdanga is used in the muscial accompaniment to kirtana singing.

²See p. 134 above.

³The shayana darshana is the last darshana period of the day in the havelis belonging to the Vallabha Sampradāya.

⁴The rāsalīlā is the nocturnal dance of Shrī Kṛṣṇa with Rādhā and her sakhīs. See p. 134 above.

5The Kumhāra, or Potter, caste is a low Shūdra caste. In India drummers, because they come in contact with musical instruments made of leather and clay—both materials are considered to be extremely polluting by Hindus—are almost always of low caste. Many of the drummers, like Syāmakumhāra, belong to the Kumhāra caste.

and initiated into the Sampradāya by him in the presence of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. Later, when the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī was being refined and enhanced, it occurred to Kṛṣṇadāsa that the sevā would be greatly improved by the addition of a mṛdaṅga player. It was the divine svarūpa Shrī Govardhanadhara himself who told Kṛṣṇadāsa that there was a man named Syāmakumhāra in Gokula who was a very talented mṛdaṅga player. Kṛṣṇadāsa then went to Shrī Gusāṇijī and told him that Shrī Govardhanadhara wanted a place for Syāmakumhāra to be made in his sevā. Shrī Gusāṇijī called Syāmakumhāra from Gokula and placed him in the sevā of Shrī Nāthajī. From that day on, Syāmakumhāra played the mṛdaṅga in the sevā done before Shrī Nāthajī. That is how Syāmakumhāra came to be on Girirāja.]

Krsnadāsa went to Syāmakumhāra and told him that, after the shayana darshana period, he should get his mrdanga and prepare to go to Parāsolī where Shrī Govardhananāthajī intended to perform the rāsalīlā. Svāmakumhāra told Krsnadāsa that he had already received a command from Shrī Govardhananāthajī and would be ready with his mrdanga. When the last darshana of the day had been completed, Krsnadasa and Syāmakumhāra set out for Candrasarovara at Parāsolī. When they arrived there, they saw Shrī Govardhanadhara and Shrī Svāminījī together with all of the sakhis. Shri Govardhanadhara told Syāmakumhāra to begin playing his mrdanga and Krsnadasa to start singing kirtana. It was an alaukika night of the spring season when there were beautiful blossoms on every vine. While Syāmakumhāra played his mṛdaṅga, Shrī Govardhanadhara began to dance with Shrī Svāminījī and Krsnadāsa sang a pada describing their dance. Upon hearing this pada Shrī Govardhanadhara was so delighted that he removed from his own holy neck the garland of kusuma and kunda flowers that had been placed there as an offering and gave it to Krsnadasa. At this wonderful favour, Krsnadasa trembled with bliss (ananda). Overcome with rasa, he sang another pada. Thus, Krsnadāsa sang many kirtanas, Syāmakumhāra played the mrdanga beautifully, and Shri Govardhanadhara and Shri Svāminiji performed the marvellous dance together with all of the sakhīs. Shrī

¹See pp. 116, 123 above.

The Vallabha Sampradāya has developed a highly refined tradition of musical accompaniment—mainly vinā and mrdanga—at the darshana periods in its havelis (temples). The musicians within the Sampradāya are conservative and have preserved a style of music that has vanished from most other North Indian musical traditions. In the spring of 1968 I was privileged to hear some examples of the kind of music preserved in the Sampradāya played by the very accomplished sitārist Mukund Goswami who is associated with the haveli of His Holiness Shrī Dīkṣitjī Mahārāja of Bhuleshwar, Bombay.

Govardhanadhara showered all of this favour on Kṛṣṇadāsa out of regard for Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu. Then Shrī Govardhanadhara, Shrī Svāminījī, and all of the *Brajbhaktas* (i.e., the *sakhīs*) vanished. Then Kṛṣṇadāsa and Syāmakumhāra returned to Gopālapura.¹

Prasanga 4

One day, Sūradāsajī said to Kṛṣṇadāsa, "Kṛṣṇadāsa! You have composed a great many kirtanas, but in all of them the influence of my kirtanas can clearly be seen."

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "This very day, I will compose a pada which will be absolutely free of any influence from anything that you have composed."

Kṛṣṇadāsa sat down by himself and thought earnestly, trying to find a subject about which Sūradāsa had not already sung. But, Sūradāsa had already composed a pada on every līlā that came to his mind; Sūradāsajī had already sung of the dānalīlā and of the mānalīlā² and of the līlā of the pasturing of the cows and of all of the other līlās. Kṛṣṇadāsa thought very deeply about the problem and was greatly troubled by it; after three hours had passed, Kṛṣṇadāsa was all worn out and had still not found a theme for his composition. Finally, he put down his pen and paper and got up to go take the prasāda at the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

While Kṛṣṇadāsa was gone, Shrī Govardhanadhara came into the room, and composed the initial part of a poem—in which the theme and rhyme for the whole poem were established. As soon as Shrī Govardhanadhara had written the initial part of the poem on the piece of paper that Kṛṣṇadāsa had left behind when he went out of the room, he left. This poem was on the theme of the necuki cow. A necuki cow is a cow that has had her first calf; such a cow loves her calf with such devotion that none of the cowherd sakhās can keep her from leaving the herd of grown cattle being taken out to pasture and running back to the corral where her calf is penned for the day. Shrī Ṭhākurajī had entered into a group of these necukī cows and a particle of dust from the hoof of one of them had stuck on a lock of hair on his forehead. This was the scene that Shrī Ṭhākurajī (i.e., Shrī Govardhanadhara) described in the partial poem that he wrote down on Kṛṣṇadāsa's paper. Later, when Kṛṣṇadāsa

¹An interesting discussion of the rāsalīlā dance of Shrī Kṛṣṇa and the gopis according to Vaiṣṇava traditions, together with a description of present-day performances of the rāsalīlā among the Vaiṣṇavas—including those who belong to Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya—of Gujarāt is given in the following: N. A. THOOTHI, Vaishnavas of Gujarat (Calcutta: Longmans, 1935), pp. 304-334. An excellent description of the rāsalīlā may also be found in the following: Munshīrāma Sharmā, Bhāratīya Sādhanā aur Sūra-Sāhitya (Kānpur: Ācārya Shukla Sādhanā-Sadana, 1961), pp. 242-258.

See p. 103 above.

returned full of the bliss that results from taking the sacred *prasāda*, he discovered the incomplete poem left by Shrī Govardhanadhara and finished it. Kṛṣṇadāsa felt very happy when he had finished the *kirtana*. Smiling broadly, he took the *kirtana* to Sūradāsajī.

When Sūradāsajī heard Kṛṣṇadāsa coming, he said, "Kṛṣṇadāsa, you sound very pleased with yourself today, what new pada have you composed?"

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered, "I have just composed a pada the like of which you have never created; you have never composed anything on the theme of my pada."

Sūradāsajī asked Kṛṣṇadāsa to read the new pada aloud and, when he had heard the first portion of the pada, Sūradāsa said, "Kṛṣṇadāsa! We are in the same position; there is no ground for rivalry between us. What is there in this pada that is yours? I have never sung about the necuki cows. It was Prabhu who told you about them. Just as I have described the holy body of Shrī Kṛṣṇa in thousands of padas, so have you completed the poem about the necuki cow."

When Kṛṣṇadāsa had heard the words of Sūradāsaji, he kept silent. Thus was Kṛṣṇadāsa a *Bhagavadiya* who had received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī.

(Bhāvaprakāsha

Krsnadāsajī was the manifestation (svarūpa) of Lalitājī. Since Shri Govardhananāthaji took the side of Krsnadāsa and composed the pada for him. Krsnadāsa could not be defeated by Sūradāsajī. Krsnadāsajī is, as has already been said, the manifestation of Lalitā and Sūradāsajī is the manifestation of Campakalatā. There is a difference in the talents granted to Lalita and to Campakalata. In lila, Shrī Lalitājī's sevā is the finest sevā; consequently, Kṛṣṇadāsa's sevā is the finest here on eath. All sevakas show diligence, meticulousness, and an appreciation of beauty in their performance of sevā, but Krsnadasa showed the greatest skill in these matters. Just as the goldsmith does not do the work of the tailor and the tailor does not produce the jewellery that is made by the goldsmith, so each individual has his own particular talent in sevā. Both Lalitājī and Campakalatājī are sakhis dear to Shrī Svāminījī and so Shrī Govardhananāthajī has affection for both of them. But a little bit of conceit arose in Krsnadāsa's heart because he had composed so many kirtanas.]

Prasanga 5

One day, when provisions were necessary in Govardhananāthajī's

temple, Kṛṣṇadāsa had an oxcart made ready, got into his own chariot, and left Govardhana Hill for Āgrā. When Kṛṣṇadāsa reached the city of Āgrā, he went at once to the main bazar in order to buy supplies. In the course of his movement through the bazar, Kṛṣṇadāsa's attention was caught by the sight of a whore teaching her daughter to dance there in the middle of the bazar. The whore's daughter was a girl of about twelve who had an excellent voice and great talent for singing and dancing and who was surpassingly beautiful. Kṛṣṇadāsa was so impressed and pleased by that young prostitute's singing that he stopped his chariot right there, got down, and pushed his way through the crowd until he could gaze upon the beauty of the girl. He stood there for some time enchanted by her singing.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

This prasanga may cause doubts to arise in the minds of some, for some will wonder how Kṛṣṇadāsa, who was a sevaka who had received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu, could be enchanted by the singing of a whore. Further doubts may arise when one remembers that even the heavenly charms of beauties like the apsarās¹ fail to interest bhaktas like Kṛṣṇadāsa who are completely immersed in their love for Shrī Thākurajī. And, on the topic of singers and prostitutes, Shrī Ācāryajī wrote in his "Jalabheda" (one of the books included in the Sodashagrantha):

Singers who stay with prostitutes and other lowly people and become intoxicated and sing songs for their living are like dirty gutter water.²

In the above verse Shrī Ācāryajī has pointed out the danger of associating with degraded people like *Bhāṭas³* and *Domas⁴* by saying that the songs sung by those lowly people, who live in the company of prostitutes, are like the water of the ditches in which pigs wallow and that the listening to those songs is like drinking and bathing in the water of such filthy ditches. So why was Kṛṣṇadāsa, a person of great wisdom and a defender of righteousness, delighted by the singing of a whore? How could Kṛṣṇadāsa, who had appeared for the instruction

¹JOHN DOWSON, A Classical Dictionary of Hindu Mythology (London: Routledge and Kegan-Paul, 1968), pp. 19-20.

²Verses 4 5 of Vallabhācārya's "Jalabheda" (the English translation is mine); see: Sītārāma Caturvedī, *Mahāprahhu Shrimadvallabhācarya aur Puṣṭi-mārga* (Vārāṇasī: Hindi-Sāhitya-Kuṭīra, 1967), pp. 307- 308.

³The Bhāta caste is a caste of professional bards.

⁴The *Domas* are an untouchable caste associated with the burning of human corpses, disposal of dead animals, and the playing of musical instruments.

and deliverance (uddhāra) of all people and who should be opposed even to looking at a fallen woman, be pleased by a prostitute? All doubt will be dispelled by the following information:

The prostitute's daughter was really a daivī jīva¹ who is in līlā a sakhī of Lalitājī named "Bahubhāṣinī". One day, while Lalitājī was busy preparing food for Shrī Ṭhākurajī, she asked Bahubhāṣinī to bring her some ground sugar candy. Bahubhāṣinī filled a small jar with sugar candy and started back to Lalitājī. On the way, however, Bahubhāṣinī stopped to talk with another sakhī and, in the course of the conversation, some of Bahubhāṣinī's saliva fell into the container of sugar candy. Bahubhāṣinī did not know that her saliva had fallen into the candy, so she went ahead and took it to Lalitājī. Lalitājī, however, is very alert and she perceived what had happened. She told Bahubhāṣinī that the sugar candy would have to be discarded because a drop of her saliva had fallen into it. Whatever happens happens by the divine will. Bahubhāṣinī answered Lalitājī in the following words:

"You are lying. No saliva has fallen into the sugar candy. Besides, Shrī Thākurajī accepts left-over food from everyone in his circle of sakhās, so a little saliva in his food would not matter."

At this, Lalitājī said, "What do you know about Prabhu's līlā? Prabhu does whatever pleases and suits him. But you are impudent and have done something wilfully vile; therefore, you shall have to suffer a vile birth on earth."

Then Bahubhāṣinī replied to Lalitājī, "You must take birth in the house of a Shūdra and save me. Where could I go without you?"

In this way, they cursed each other to take birth in the world. Lalitājī was born as Kṛṣṇadāsa in the house of a Shūdra and Bahu-bhāṣinī was born in the house of a bawd. This would not be apparent to an ordinary (laukika) person. Kṛṣṇadāsa was sent by Shrī Govardhanadhara to Āgrā to get that young prostitute. That is why the prostitute's song sounded so lovely to Kṛṣṇadāsa.]

While he was standing there enjoying the dancing and singing of the girl, Kṛṣṇadāsa thought to himself that the girl was certainly a daivi jiva and that she would be an excellent offering for Shrī Govardhananāthajī. He felt that it would be good if Shrī Govardhananāthajī himself would accept her. With these thoughts in mind, Kṛṣṇadāsa gave ten rupees to the whore and asked her to come that night to his residence. After Kṛṣṇadāsa had made this appointment with the girl, he went on to the house in which he stayed whenever he came to Āgrā and arranged for the oxcart to be loaded with supplies. Later, in the first period of the night, the

prostitute arrived with her retinue and sang and danced for the pleasure of Kṛṣṇadāsa. When she had danced for a time, Kṛṣṇadāsa gave her a hundred rupees, told her that her figure, her singing, and her dancing were all superb, and said that if she should so desire she might accompany him to the house of his wealthy master (seṭha) at Govardhana. The prostitute thought to herself with delight of the money that she had already received and of the even greater amounts that she might expect to reap from Kṛṣṇadāsa's seṭha and said that she would be glad to go.¹ As soon as the prostitute got home that night, she had her cart prepared and packed with her musical instruments and other items necessary for her singing and dancing so that everything would be ready for her departure for Govardhana in the morning.

In the morning of the next day, the prostitute left with Kṛṣṇadāsa on the road to Mathurā. At noon on the second day after leaving Āgrā they reached Gopālapura at the foot of the sacred hill of Govardhana. There, Kṛṣṇadāsa had the whore bathe and dress herself in new clothing which he supplied. Kṛṣṇadāsa next taught the prostitute to sing a pada which he had carefully selected from among the padas that he himself had composed; he taught the prostitute to sing the pada in such a way that Shrī Govardhanadhara would be sure to hear it. Kṛṣṇadāsa did not take the prostitute up the sacred hill at the time of the utthāpana darshana period, but waited for the bhoga darshana period. When it was time for the bhoga darshana to begin, Kṛṣṇadāsa led the prostitute and her party up the sacred hill to the temple of Shrī Nāthajī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Kṛṣṇadāsa waited until the *bhoga darshana* period to bring the prostitute to the temple because it is at that period, which comes after the *utthāpana darshana* period at which Shrī Nāthajī is awakened from his afternoon nap in the grove (nikunja), that Shrī Nāthajī needs food offerings (bhoga) and so accepts all of the bhaktas who come to him on the roads of Braj. At this period, Shrī Nāthajī would, therefore, also accept the prostitute.]

As soon as the door of the temple had been opened for the *bhoga darshana*, the prostitute began to dance and then to sing the *pada* that Kṛṣṇadāsa had composed and taught to her and which begins: "My

¹For a vivid and entertaining description of the sort of ethical standards expected of prostitutes in Indian culture, see: J. A. B. VAN BUITENEN, *Tales of Ancient India* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969), pp. 65-71.

²See pp. 48-49 of Part I above.

mind is engrossed in the splendour of Giridhara."^{1,2} When the prostitute had finished singing the last line of the pada- "Kṛṣṇadāsa says, 'I have sacrificed my life and laid down my head, my body, and all worldly existence'"—she suddenly collapsed and abandoned her earthly body to take up her divine body in līlā. As soon as the prostitute's mother and her retinue realized that she was dead, they started to wail and to weep, crying that they would not be able to live without the girl who had been their means of support. Kṛṣṇadāsa came down to them and said, "What has happened cannot be undone; the girl reached the end of her allotted span of life. What can anyone do about it? Tell me what you need and I will give it to you."

In the end, Kṛṣṇadāsa gave a thousand rupees to the prostitute's mother and her people and sent them away. That is how Shrī Govardhananāthajī, out of regard for Kṛṣṇadāsa, accepted the daughter of a prostitute. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a wonderful *Bhagavadīya*.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

One may well ask how the daughter of the harlot could attain lilā without having received the *Brahmasambandha*³ administered by Shrī Ācāryajī. This question can be answered in the following way. It is said that Shrī Ācārvajī was enshrined in the heart of Krsnadāsa; therefore, Krsnadasa caused the prostitute to receive the Brahmasambandha from Shri Ācāryaji by means of the pada that he taught to her. The primary human dharma is summed up in the rule that all mental attention should be centred on Shri Krsna; but, in wordly life the individual's mind is fixed on his body, children, wife, and his own existence. Therefore, someone must cause the individual to dedicate himself to Shri Krsna. When an individual has turned everything over to Shrī Krsna, then he has given up his individual existence and realized his existence with Prabhu. Such a person has understood that he is not different in essence from Shrī Krsna. The significance of the first line of Kṛṣṇadāsa's pada, "My mind is engrossed in the splendour of Giridhara," is that the mind of the bhakta has given up everything but Shri Thakuraji. When the prostitute repeated that line taught to her by Krsnadasa, she received the Brahmasambandha from Shrī Ācāryajī.

One may still, nevertheless, doubt that any person could enter into *līlā* without the aid of a guru. It is true that Prabhu granted darshana to

¹The prostitute sang and danced in the courtyard in front of the temple: dancing is never allowed within any temple (haveli) belonging to the Vallabha Sampradāva.

²Giridhara (which means "the Bearer of the Mountain") is one of the titles of Shrī Kṛṣṇa. ¹See pp. 16-21 of Part I above.

Alī Khān¹ and then had Alī Khān and Alī Khān's daughter become his sevakas, but in the case under discussion the prostitute s daughter was not made a sevaka so cause for doubt remains. Shrī Govardhananāthaii, on the subject of the Brahmasambandha, said to Shrī Ācāryajī: "I will accept whomsoever you cause to take the Brahmasambandha." So, how can any person who has not been given the Brahmasambandha by either Shrī Gusānījī or Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu be received into *līlā*? Entrance into *līlā* is, furthermore, even more difficult to achieve than deliverance (uddhāra) from samsāra. The family of Shrī Ācāryajī has increased just so that the gift of Brahmasambandha might be given to worthy iivas. Shrī Ācārvaiī himself ordered his sevakas to promulgate the glory of the divine name among men, but he did not tell them to give the Brahmasambandha. The Brahmasambandha, therefore, may be given only by male members of Shrī Vallabhācāryajī's family. The doubt that thus arises when it is said that the prostitute's girl left her earthly body and passed into līlā is dispelled in the following way. Lalitā and Shrī Svāminījī are always present in līlā. Krsnadāsa, who is Lalitā in līlā, drew the prostitute's daughter out of the world and sent her into līlā. In līlā Shrī Lalitājī caused that girl to be placed by Shrī Svāminījī in Brahmasambandha and kept her in her service. She is Lalitājī's sakhī. That is the way that the whore's daughter received the Brahmasambandha; the same method was used by Shri Gusaniii to cause the daughter of a Nāgara Brāhmana living in Mathurā to receive the Brahmasamhandha in līlā.)

Prasanga 6

One day, a large number of Vaiṣṇavas gathered and went to visit Kumbhanadāsajī. Kumbhanadāsajī had them be seated and then affectionately asked them, since they had shown such great favour in coming to him, to make some request of him. The Vaiṣṇavas then told Kumbhanadāsajī that they would like him to give them some instruction in the Puṣṭimārga. But Kumbhanadāsajī replied that they should consult Kṛṣṇadāsa the Adhikārī who was expert in the doctrines of the Puṣṭimārga. When the Vaiṣṇavas answered that they did not feel competent to ask Kṛṣṇadāsa, Kumbhanadāsajī said that he would go with them to Kṛṣṇadāsa and that he would ask the questions on their behalf. Then all of the Vaiṣnavas went with Kumbhanadāsajī to visit Kṛṣṇadāsa.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Kumbhanadāsajī would not give instruction to the Vaisnavas

¹ NIRAÑJANADEVA SHARMÃ (ed.), *Dosau Bāvana Vaiṣṇavan ki Vārtā* (Mathurā: Shrī Govardhana Granthamālā Kāryālaya, 1966), pp. 64–66.

because his mind was absorbed in secret $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$. How could he explain what he knew in love? In his $k\bar{l}rtanas$ he used esoteric language to describe $l\bar{l}l\bar{a}$; a poet's particular area of proficiency may be known from his $k\bar{l}rtanas$. Since Kṛṣṇadāsa would be able to explain doctrines clearly to the Vaiṣṇavas, Kumbhanadāsajī took them to Kṛṣṇadāsa.]

When Kṛṣṇadāsa saw all of the Vaiṣṇavas, he became very happy. He honoured them and had them sit down and sang them a kirtana. Then he told them that he would like to repay them for the delight which they had given him by doing whatever they might ask him to do for them. Kumbhanadāsajī then said to him, "All of these Vaiṣṇavas want to hear about the doctrines of the Puṣṭimārga; please give them instruction. You have had direct experience of the Puṣṭimārga, so please have mercy on these Vaiṣṇavas and describe your experience of the Puṣṭimārga to them."

Kṛṣṇadāsa answered: "Kumbhanadāsajī! You are skilled in all things and you are a *Bhagavadīya* who has received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī; it is proper for you to teach the Vaiṣṇavas. You are great; what can I say in front of you? Nothing is concealed from you."

To this Kumbhanadāsajī replied, "I want you to tell them, Kṛṣṇadāsa. You are the supervisor over all of the sevakas and the affairs of the sevakas are your responsibility. You are the adhikārī of the Puṣṭimārga, so you must explain the principles of the Puṣṭimārga to these Vaiṣṇavas."

Then Kṛṣṇadāsa sang a kīrtana in which he described the inner significance of the aṣṭākṣara mantra—Shrī Kṛṣṇaḥ sharaṇam mama¹—and emphasized the importance of utterly renouncing wrong-doing and of remembering the aṣṭākṣara mantra every moment, night and day. Next, Kṛṣṇadāsa sang a kīrtana in which he explained the pañcākṣara mantra—Kṛṣṇa tavāsmi²—as the expression of the bhakta's complete dedication of himself and all that belongs to him to Shrī Kṛṣṇa, his divine master. When the Vaiṣṇavas had heard the two padas sung by Kṛṣṇadāsa, they were very pleased and told Kṛṣṇadāsa that he had received divine blessing that enabled him to dispel each doubt and describe the entire philosophy of the Puṣṭimārga in two padas. Then they returned to their homes. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a Bhagavadīya who had received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī.

¹The astāksara (eight-syllabled) mantra is discussed on pp. 19–20 of Part I above.

²The pañcākṣara (five-syllabled) mantra (which is, in English, "Kṛṣṇa, I am yours") is an important part of the rite of self-dedication by which a new member enters the Vallabha Sampradāya. See Harirāyaji's Pañcākṣaramantragarbhastotram in Shrīmādhava Sharmā (ed.), Puṣṭimārgiya Stotraratnamālā (2 vols.; Vārāṇasī: Paramānanda Sharmā, 1963), vol. 1, pp. 58-60.

Prasanga 7

Kṛṣṇadāsa was very fond of Gangābāi Kṣatrānī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

In *līlā* Gaṅgābāī is a *tāmasī bhakta*¹ who belongs to the group led by Shrutirūpā. On earth, she was born in the city of Mathurā in the

The four divisions—which have already been described on pp. 73-75 in Part I above into which Vallabhācārya classified the pusti-daivi-jivas (the pusti-daivi-jivas are those daivi jivas that rely ultimately upon the grace of Bhagavan Shri Krsna and not upon their own efforts for their deliverance from samsara) are: the category of the shuddha (pure) pusti jivas and the three categories of the mishra (mixed) pusti jivas. The shuddha-pustijivas were manifested out of Shrī Krsna before the concealment of Shrī Krsna's six divine virtues (these six virtues—called "dharmas"—are listed on p. 69 of Part I above) and so are not formed of the three material gunas (the three gunas are sattva, rajas, and tamas—see p. 67 in Part I above) which are only partial and limited manifestations of the adhyatmika nature of Shri Krsna. Since these shuddha-pusti-daivi-jivas are free of all association with the three gunas; they are termed "nirguna" (absolutely devoid of gunas) in Vallabhacarya's Sampradāya. The mishra-puşti-daivi-jivas, on the other hand, were manifested out of Shri Kṛṣṇa after his concealment of his six dharmas and are formed of varying proportions of the three gunas. Those mishra-puşti-daivi-jivas in which the guna of sattva (truth, purity, righteousness) predominates are called pusti-pusti or, in Braj Bhāsā, sātvikī, jīvas; those in which the guna of rajas (passion, vigour, self-reliance) is dominant are called marvādāpusti or, in Braj Bhāsā, rājasī jīvas; and those in which the guna of tamas (dullness, darkness, lethargy) outweigh the other two are called pravāha-pusti or, in Braj Bhāsā, tāmasi jivas. The tâmasi jîvas—in which group, according to HARIRĀYAJī's Bhāvaprakāsha, Gangābāī Kşatrānī belongs—are the least of the three kinds of mishra-pusti-daivi-jivas in capability for expression of bhakti; they are called "tāmasi bhaktas".

A complex scheme has been developed within the Vallabha Sampradaya to account for the particular relationship that Vallabhācārya and Vitthalanātha had with their followers and for the relationship that those followers had to the daivi jīvas in general. A simplified version of this scheme is as follows: The daivi jīvas have appeared on earth in 8,400,000 (i.e., 84 lakhs) different categories of living beings. The 84 (caurāsī) Vaisnavas who followed Vallabhācārya were manifested on earth in order to rescue these daivī jīvas from the categories into which they have fallen. All of these daivi fivas are held by the followers of the doctrines of Vallabhācārya to be, if they are pusti-daivī jīvas, in one of the four categories listed above -nirguna, sātviki, rājasi, and tāmasi. The nirguna jivas are supposed to arise from the seven functions (the six dharmas of Shri Krsna plus dharmi - which is the virtue of the possession of the six dharmas) of the 12 parts (anga) of the body of Shri Vallabhācāryajī. The parts and their functions correspond to the parts and functions of the divine body of Shri Kṛṣṇa. The parts are: two feet, two arms, two thighs, a right hand, two pectoral muscles, the heart, the head, and a left hand (according to the Tattvartha-Dipa-Nibandha, Sarvanirnaya Prakarana of Vallabhācārya, each of the parts of the body of Shrī Kṛṣṇa corresponds to one of the twelve skandhas of the Bhagavata Purana). These nirguna jivas, which are 84 (12 parts of the body times the seven functions) in number, were to be delivered from samsāra by Vallabhācārya (Shrī Ācāryajī); the nirguņa jīvas express nirguņa bhakti, which is that bhakti marked by total, selfless devotion to Shri Krsna for no motive but that of serving the divine being. This kind of bhakti is attainable through the grace (anugraha) of Shri Krsna only. The three kinds of mishra puşti daivi jivas are 252 (dosau bāvan) in number (84 times three categories) and were to be delivered from samsāru by Vitthalanātha (Shrī Gusānījī).

house of a Ksatriva: at the age of eleven she was married to the son of another Ksatriva resident of Mathura and had, in the course of her life, ten sons—one of whom died in childhood—and one daughter. At the proper time, Gangābāi had her daughter married. When this daughter, who had been given a great quantity of very valuable jewellery, died, Gangābāī manged, by giving a bribe to the governor of Mathura, to acquire all of her jewellery, which was worth some hundred thousand rupees. As a result of the quarrels stemming from the matter of the jewellery, Gangābāī, at the age of 55, came to the temple of Shri Nathaji on Govardhana Hill. There, she met Krsnadāsa and asked him to help her become a sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī. When Krsnadasa begged Shri Ācaryaji to give spiritual protection to Gangābāi, Shrī Ācāryaji told him that, although Gangābāi was a daivi jiva. Shri Thakuraji was not at that time ready to accept her. Krsnadāsa, however, continued to plead for her by saying that, if Shrī Ācāryajī would favour Gangābāī, then Shrī Thākurajī would also relent and have mercy on her. Finally, Krsnadasa's efforts were successful and Shri Ācāryajī initiated Gangābāi with the divine name and the rite of self-dedication.

Whenever Kṛṣṇadāsa, who was at that time in charge of collecting contributions for Shrī Nāthajī,² had to travel to distant regions, Gaṅgābāī would return to Mathurā, but whenever Kṛṣṇadāsa would come back to Govardhana Hill, she would pack up her belongings and move from Mathurā to Govardhana Hill. Kṛṣṇadāsa fastened Gaṅgābāī Kṣatrānī's attention on the divine dharma. Twice, he even sent the prasāda from Shrī Nāthajī to Gaṅgābāī's house. Since Gaṅgābāī was very fond of eating and drinking, Kṛṣṇadāsa had very attractive and tasty !ood offered to Shrī Nāthajī (which would become the prasāda taken by Gaṅgābāī and the other bhaktas). Kṛṣṇadāsa explained the divine dharma to Gaṅgābāī Kṣatrānī. Kṛṣṇadāsa had Gaṅgābāī attend all of the darshanas of Shrī Nāthajī; because

These jivas practise either sātīvika bhakti in which the bhakta maintains the ritual commands of the Vedas and lives according to social laws while he practises his devotion; or rājasa bhakti in which the bhakta practises his devotion because of desire for fame, power, or physical possessions; or tāmasa bhakti in which the bhakta performs his devotions with feelings of pride, jealousy, and anger. See Harirāyajī, Caurāsi Vaisnavan..., p. 1. Cimmanalāla Harishankarajī, Puṣtimārgopadeshikā, trans. into Hindi by Shrīmādhava Sharmā (Vārānasī: Shrīmādhava Sharmā, 1941), pp. 132-133. Vishvanātha Shukla, Hindī Kṛṣṇa Bhakti Kāvya par Shrīmadbhāgovata kā Prabhāva (Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1966), pp. 69-70, 89-90.

¹Although Vallabhācārya did eventually initiate Gaṅgābāi, because she was a *tāmasi bhakta* and not a *nirguṇa bhakta* she is not one of Vallabhācārya's 84 *sevakas*; see note 1, p. 237.

²See prasanga I of Kṛṣṇadāsa's Vārtā above.

of Gangābāi's association with Kṛṣṇadāsa, her mental attitude became alaukika.]

One day, while Shri Gusaniii was offering the food for the rajabhoga darshana period to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Gangābāi's sight fell on the food-offering; consequently, Shri Govardhananāthaji would not eat the rājabhoga food offering.1 At the end of the rājabhoga darshana period. Shri Gusaniii left the temple. The bhitarivas and sevakas and Shrī Gusānījī himself all took the prasāda from the rājabhoga food-offering and ate it. After a time, Shrī Govardhananāthajī came to the place where Rāmadāsa the chief bhitarivā was napping and awakened him with a kick. Rāmadāsa woke up and fell face-down before Shrī Govardhananāthaii. Rāmadāsaii was amazed when Shrī Govardhananāthaii informed him that he was famished, for Rāmadāsaji knew that Shrī Gusānīji had offered Shrī Govardhananāthajī food at the rājabhoga darshana period only a short time before. Then Shrī Govardhananāthajī explained to Rāmadāsaiī that he had not been able to eat any of the rājabhoga offering because Gangābāi had seen the food before it had reached him. When Rāmadāsajī had explained the situation to Shrī Gusānījī, Shrī Gusānījī told him that both of them and all of the bhitarivās would have to bathe and then return to the temple of Shrī Nāthajī. At the temple, they prepared more rice and pulse to be offered to Shri Govardhananāthajī. By the time that the new rājabhoga food-offering had been made ready, it was already time for the bhoga darshana foodoffering to be prepared. Therefore, Shrī Gusānijī decided that the bhoga offering would be prepared and presented to Shri Nathaji along with the new rājabhoga offering. On that day, the rājabhoga offering and the bhoga offering were given at the same time. After the offering of the food, Shrī Gusānījī put some of the rice and pulse in a jar and went to the bottom of the hill where he distributed this prasada among the sevakas. When each of the sevakas had had some of the prasada, Shrī Gusanījī himself ate a little of it and went to sleep. Later, the sevakas commented on the tastiness of the prasada to Shri Gusaniii: Shri Gusaniii told them that the prasāda had been so wonderfully delicious because Shrī Nāthaiī had been so hungry that he had eaten his food with unusual relish. Then Krsnadasa, who was standing nearby, said: "Mahārāja! You watched over the preparation of the food offering and then you ate part of it as prasada, so there is no wonder that it is so delicious."

The food offered to the divine svarūpa in a haveli of the Vallabha Sampradāya must be absolutely untainted by any contact—including visual contact—with any individual except a purified bhitariyā or a male member of Vallabhācārya's family. Furthermore, every individual, without exception, is forbidden to see the svarūpa take the food offering.

Shrī Gusānījī replied from his holy mouth, "The food offering is tasty because of what you have done."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Govardhananāthajī did not eat the food offering. Shrī Gusānījī himself had offered the food and he had had water and a towel brought to Shrī Govardhananāthajī so that he might rinse his mouth after eating and then dry his hands and face. Then Shrī Gusānījī had offered pān¹ to Shrī Govardhananāthajī. But why didn't Shrī Gusānījī know that Shrī Govardhananāthajī had not eaten the rājabhoga food offering and why didn't Shrī Govardhanadhara² say that he had not eaten the rājabhoga food offering when Shrī Gusānījī offered him pān? And why did Shrī Govardhananāthajī tell Rāmadāsa the Bhītariyā rather than Shrī Gusānījī, who actually made the offering and was, besides, the guru of the Sampradāya, that he was hungry? These difficulties are dispelled in the following paragraphs:

On that day, Shrī Govardhananāthajī knew that Giridhara³ had allowed Sobhābeṭī⁴ to provide a great feast for Shrī Navanītapriyajī at his temple in Gokula. Shrī Govardhananāthajī decided to leave his temple on Girirāja and go to Gokula to enjoy the feast. After a time, Giridhara and Sobhābeṭī realized that another divine svarūpa—which was Shrī Govardhananāthajī—had arrived and was partaking of the food offering. Thus, Giridhara, on account of the desire of Sobhābetī to give a lavish feast for Shrī Navanītapriyajī, caused the bhaktas who were present to experience wonderful devotional delight. As soon as Shrī Govardhananāthajī had finished eating, he returned to his temple on Govardhana Hill. There, Shrī Svāminījī asked him, where he had been, for the food offering had been completed, all the sevakas had already eaten the prasāda, and Shrī Gusānījī had lain down for his nap.5 Shrī Govardhananāthajī answered that he had been to a great feast offered by Giridhara at the request of Sobhābetī.

¹ $P\bar{a}n$ is a preparation made of a nut of the betel palm mixed with lime and any number of other ingredients and wrapped in a leaf of the $p\bar{a}n$ vine and then chewed. Indians are especially fond of taking $p\bar{a}n$ after meals.

²The names Shrī Govardhananāthaji and Shrī Govardhanadhara are, as has been noted above, used interchangeably for the primary svarūpa of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya.

³See note 1 on p. 124 above.

⁴Sobhābeţī was one of the four daughters of Shrī Gusānī Viţţalanātha; she was a bhakta of the svarūpa called Shrī Navanītapriyajī. See: Shrīκκṣṇapriyā Βετινῖ Μαμάπαλα (ed.), Pāṭḥya-Pustakā, Prathama Varṣa ke Hetu (Vārāṇasī: Shrī Rādhāmohana Vidyā-mandira, 1957), p. 6.

⁵A svarūpa of Shrī Svāminījī (i.e., Rādhā) is always seated beside the svarūpa of Shrī Govardhananāthajī.

When Shrī Svāminījī had heard the description of the feast, she felt a deep yearning to enjoy a similarly bountiful food offering – even though the *rājabhoga* food offering had just been finished. So, in order to have a second *rājabhoga* offering made, she said to Shrī Nāthajī: "Please go to Rāmadāsa and tell him that Gaṅgābāī Ksatrānī looked at the food offering before it had been offered."

The purpose behind all of this was the fulfillment of a particular curse, which had been made in the eternal divine world of līlā, by which Shrī Gusānījī was to be forced to suffer separation (vivoga)¹ from Shrī Thākurajī² for a period of six months. This curse, which was the source of a countercurse and an important vow, came about in the following way: Once in līlā, Shrī Candrāvalījī³ overheard Shrī Thākuraji promising Lalitājī+ that he would go to the grove (nikunja) with her. In order to keep Shrī Thākurajī from leaving her to carry out his promise to Lalitaji, Shri Candravaliji served Shrī Thākurajī with all of her wiles and charms so that he entirely forgot about going to Lalitaii's house for a whole six months. During those six months, Lalitājī endured the misery of separation (viraha) 5 from Shrī Thākurajī. When Shrī Svāminījī found out about Lalitājī's suffering, she summoned Lalitājī and the two of them went to see Shrī Thākurajī. Shrī Svāminījī said to Shrī Thākurajī: "Since you have made my sakhi Lalita suffer viraha for six months, you are now obligated to attend solely to her for an equal space of time; furthermore, Candravaliji, who caused such sorrow for my sakhi, is going to have to bear the grief of six months of being deprived of your darshana." When Shri Thakuraji had heard the words of Shrī Svāminījī, he remained silent.

Candrāvalījī soon learned of the curse given by Shrī Svāminījī. She outlined the situation to one of her sakhīs in the following words: "Shrī Svāminījī and Shrī Thākurajī are exalted beings and no one can dispute with them. But, Lalitā, who is only a sakhī, has misled them. Lalitā is, as a matter of fact, my sakhī as much as she is Shrī Svāminījī's sakhī. Moreover, in causing Shrī Svāminījī to curse me to six months without darshana of Prabhu, Lalitā has actually harmed Shrī Svāminījī herself."

Shrī Candrāvalīji could say that a curse placed on her would harm Shrī Svāminīji because Shrī Candrāvalīji was manifested from the

¹See p. 91 of Part I above.

²Shrī Ṭhākurajī is Shrī Kṛṣṇa; see note 5 on p. 106 above.

³Shrī Candrāvalijī is the first and chief sakhi of Shrī Svāminījī; on earth, Shrī Candrāvalijī appeared as Vallabhācārya's second son Viţţalanātha.

⁴Lalitā appeared on earth as Kṛṣṇadāsa.

moon-like mouth of Shrī Svāminījī—who, in turn, was made manifest by Shrī Ṭhākurajī. It is from Shrī Candrāvalījī herself that all of Shrī Svāminījī's sakhīs are manifested. Shrī Candrāvalījī sits on the right side of Shrī Ṭhākurajī and is the foremost of all of the sakhīs of Shrī Svāminījī.

Shrī Candrāvalījī went on to sav to her sakhī that, since Lalitā had caused her to be cursed, Lalita herself would have to take birth on earth and then suffer an untimely death which would be followed by existence as a ghost (preta)1 on earth. Furthermore, Shrī Candrāvalījī said that neither Shrī Thākurajī nor Shrī Svāminījī would be able to release Lalita from her foul preta existence. When one of the sakhis had told her about Shrī Candrāvalīji's curse. Lalitājī began to tremble with dread. As soon as she had recovered a bit, she ran to Shri Svāminiji and threw herself at her feet. She told Shri Svāminījī everything. Shrī Svāminījī then called Shrī Thākurajī and informed him that Shrī Candrāvalījī had put an awful curse on Lalitājī and that, since Lalitaii had cast herself on his mercy, he must help her. Shrī Thākurajī decided that the three of them should go to talk with Shrī Candrāvalījī about the matter. When they reached Shrī Candrāvalījī's house, Shrī Candrāvalījī stood up and very respectfully welcomed Shrī Thākurajī and Shrī Svāminīji. Shrī Candrāvalī had Shrī Thākurajī and Shrī Svāminījī seated on high and honoured seats, then she worshipped them with deep affection, then she offered them fine and delicious food followed by pān and, finally, after all the food and pān had been served, she stood humbly before them with her hands folded. Both Shrī Thākurajī and Shrī Svāminījī were very favourably impressed with Shri Candravaliji's display of reverent affection; they each took her by the hand and had her sit down with them. Then, Shri Svāminījī said: "Candrāvalījī, your love for us is very alaukika. There is no difference between you and us, so Lalitā is your sakhī as much as she is our sakhi. Now, please release Lalita from the curse that you have placed upon her."

Then Shrī Candrāvalījī replied, "Since Lalitā is my sakhī, the curse that I have placed upon her cannot be removed; Lalitā will take birth upon earth so that *līlā* may be performed there. When she has become a preta; then I will myself come and save her.² This is my sincere vow."

¹A preta is the spirit of a dead person that has neither been liberated from samsāra nor been able to pass on to a new birth. A preta, which usually animates a corpse, is both cruel and miserable. See: Dowson, p. 242. Alain Danielou, Hindu Polytheism (New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964), p. 311.

²Candrāvalījī's exact words in the Braj Bhāṣā text are: "So yaha Lalitā preta hoyagi tāko main hi uddhāra kurūngī." In English this would be: "When Lalitā has become a preta. then I myself will deliver her (from that vile form of existence and restore her to the divine līdā)."

As soon as Shrī Candrāvalījī had said this, Lalitā fell at her feet and said, "I have sinned against you and I have got what I deserved."

Then, Shrī Svāminījī said, "Everyone of us here will become manifest on Girirāja in the Kali Yuga for the performance of līlā."

When Shrī Ṭhākurajī, Shrī Candrāvalījī, Lalitā, and all of the sakhis and retainers who were present had heard the words of Shrī Svāminījī, they all became very pleased. Thus, in the divine world of līlā there is alaukika love, there are alaukika curses, and there is alaukika jealousy,¹ but none of these are created by Māyā. The curses and the jealousy that are alaukika are done only so that the divine glory may appear on earth and so that the jīvas on earth may sing of the divine līlā and so find Prabhu. One must be very careful to distinguish the alaukika curses and jealousy from the laukika curses and jealousy; laukika curses and laukika jealousy are evil and sinful and are never found in the divine world of līlā. This is how Shrī Ṭhākurajī, by the will (icchā) of Shrī Svāminījī,² came to be manifested on Govardhana Hill in the earthly Braj; Shrī Svāminījī herself appeared as Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and revealed the presence of Shrī Govardhanadhara on Govardhana Hill³ Just as, in the divine līlā,

¹The alaukika jealousy in this account was the jealousy felt by Shrī Candrāvalījī when Shrī Thākurajī promised to spend six months with Lalitāji.

²Shri Ţhākuraji and Shrī Svāminiji are the same, Shrī Svāminiji being the power (shakti) of Shri Ṭhākurajī (see Part I, pp. 90-91).

³Vallabhācārya's revelation of Shrī Govardhanadhara is described in Part I above on pp. 28–29. Since Vallabhācārya in his own writings never refers to himself as being a manifestation of Shrī Svāminijī, the tradition of Vallabhācārya's identity as Shrī Svāminijī must have been either a doctrine taught by Vallabhācārya as esoteric knowledge or, as seems more likely, a doctrine introduced by Vallabhācārya's son Vitthalanātha or by Vallabhācārya's grandson Gokulanātha. It is very important to notice the similar identification made by members of the Caitanya Sampradāya of Kṛṣṇa Caitanya as a manifestation of Rādhā or of Rādhā and Shrī Kṛṣṇa in the ecstasy of union. There was certainly close, though not always friendly, contact between Vallabhācārya and his followers and the headquarters of the Caitanya Sampradāya at Brndābana, not far from Govardhana Hill.

S. K. De describes the association of Rādhā with Caitanya in the following words:

If Caitanya is to be regarded as Kṛṣṇa himself, it was found necessary to explain how Kṛṣṇa's dark colour became transformed in Caitanya into a golden hue. Again, Caitanya's ecstatic feeling of divine love for Kṛṣṇa in an almost feminine role of mystically erotic passion also required explanation. It was, therefore, imagined, in accordance with the Mādhurya theory of the school, that Kṛṣṇa, in order to relish the supreme taste of his own Mādhurya as it was relished by his most beloved Rādhā, assumed the feelings as well as the beauty of Rādhā [who was light in complexion], so that the two became one in Caitanya... If it was a fact that Caitanya, for his emotional devotional purposes, imagined himself as Rādhā, it was only a step that he came to be regarded by his disciples as Rādhā herself incarnated. See: DE, p. 427.

Although they must have been well aware of the association of Rādhā with Caitanya, the six Gosvāmīs of Bṛndābana, who both led the Caitanya Sampradāya and provided it with its philosophical and theological foundation, say nothing about it in their works. The idea of the association of Rādhā and Caitanya was formally set forth in the Caitanya-caritāmṛta of Kṛṣṇadāsa Kavirāja, written in Bengālī about A. D. 1615. See: De, pp. 428, 438.

Candrāvalījī was manifested by Shrī Svāminījī, so, on earth, Shrī Gusānījī was manifested (i.e., begotten) by Shrī Ācāryajī. Lalitā became Kṛṣṇadāsa the Adhikārī. There are several svarūpas of Shrī Govardhanadhara; of these, two exist continually. One of the two that exist continually is the svarūpa that was revealed by Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu atop Govardhana Hill and is still there today¹ and the other is the svarūpa that is called "the Savior (uddhāraka) of the Bhaktas." This second svarūpa gives joy to all the bhaktas and has even played with such great bhaktas as Kumbhanadāsa and Govindasvāmī. Wherever there are Bhagavadīyas, there one may have experience of this second svarūpa.

Now, let us return to the discussion of that time when Shri Gusānījī was offering the rājabhoga food offering to Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Gangābāi Ksatrāni saw the food offering. Why was it that Shri Govardhananāthajī did not eat that food offering when he was well aware that by his refusal to eat the food he would cause sin to fall on the sevakas who would unknowingly eat food that had not first been accepted by him? In the temples belonging to Shrī Ācārvaii, the svarūpa is assumed to eat whatever is offered to him. Shrī Govardhananāthajī did not eat the food for the following reason: At that time Shri Svāminiji said to Shri Govardhananāthajī, "Shrī Gusānījī has to suffer separation (vivoga) from you for six months, so point out Gangābāī as being the one who kept you from accepting the food offering. When Shri Gusaniji penalizes Gangabai, for whom Krsnadasa has deep affection, then Krsnadasa will be filled with anger and he will desire to do something wicked to Shri Gusāniji. As a result of the wickedness which Krsnadasa will do, Shri Gusaniji will be separated from you for six months. So, please go and say that you are hungry."

Then Shrī Nāthajī went to Rāmadāsa, who knew nothing about the curses and vows made in *lilā*, and told him that he was hungry. When Rāmadāsa relayed this information to Shrī Gusānijī, Shrī Gusānijī thought to himself, "Gangābāī has seen the food to be offered to Shrī Nāthajī; that matter which was begun in *līlā* by Kṛṣṇadāsa and me is now going to be settled by the will (*icchā*) of Shrī Nāthajī. Now, the *sevā* that is to be done must be done with love, for it has become very difficult to do *sevā*."

¹The svarūpa referred to is the svarūpa called Shrī Govardhananāthajī which is physically apparent to anyone. The svarūpa was later moved from Govardhana Hill to its present location in Nāthadvāra, Udaipur district, Rājasthān.

²The svarūpa referred to here is perceptible only to those bhaktas who have received special divine favour.

³Members of the Vallabha Sampradāya are to receive nothing, not even food, that has not first been taken by Shrī Kṛṣṇa. See verse 5 of Vallabhācārya's "Siddhantarahasyam" quoted on p. 185 of Part I above.

Shrī Gusāniji bathed and had a new rājabhoga food offering prepared and offered along with the bhoga food offering. When the offering had been made and the arati ceremony had been completed and the sanctuary of the temple had been closed, Shrī Gusānījī again thought to himself about how difficult it had been that day to obtain the darshana and the prāsāda of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. With these thoughts in his mind, Shrī Gusānījī put some of the rice from the large container that had been used for the food-offering ceremony into an earthen jar and then carried the jar down the sacred hill where the sevakas were waiting to receive the prasada. After all of the sevakas had taken some of the prasada. Shrī Gusanijī himself had some of it. When the sevakas praised the taste of the prasada, Krsnadasa, by the will (icchā) of Bhagavān, said sarcastically, "Mahārāja! You watched over the preparation of the food offering and then you ate a part of it as prasāda, so there is no wonder that it is so delicious. You had the food offering prepared not for the delight of Shri Nathaji but for your own pleasure in eating it later as prasāda."

In reply to Kṛṣṇadāsa's gibe, Shrī Gusāṇijī said: "The food offering is tasty because of what you have done. As a matter of fact, you have done two things that have led up to the performance of two rājabhoga food offerings today and to the appearance of especially delicious prasāda. First of all, you allowed Gaṅgābāī Kṣatrānī, whom you love, to sit in a place where she could see the rājabhoga food offering; without your perraission she could never have sat in such a place. And, secondly, in līlā it was you who caused Shrī Svāminījī to curse me. Now, you are going to have to suffer the fate that was set for you in līlā."]

When Kṛṣṇadāsa had heard the words of Shrī Gusāṇijī, evil thoughts began to enter his mind. He considered, for example, how he might bar Shrī Gusāṇijī from darshana of Shrī Nāthajī. Kṛṣṇadāsa arranged to meet with Puruṣottamajī, the only son of Shrī Gusāṇijī's deceased elder brother Shrī Gopīnāthajī, as the opening move of the plan against Shrī Gusāṇijī that he finally decided to adopt. "Why," Kṛṣṇadāsa asked Puruṣottamajī when they met, "have you, the son of Shrī Ācāryajī's elder son, been sitting here silently when it is your rightful duty to take care of the shṛṇgāra and all of the other sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī? And why," he continued, "have you allowed Shrī Gusāṇijī to put everything concerning the worship of Shrī Nāthajī under his own authority?" Kṛṣṇadāsa finished by assuring Puruṣottamajī that he and not Shrī Gusāṇijī was entitled to the leadership of the Sampradāya.

Although Puruşottamajī objected that he did not feel able to carry on a dispute with Shrī Gusānījī, Kṛṣṇadāsa insisted that Puruşottamajī bathe, accompany him up the sacred hill to the temple, and begin performing the shṛṇgāra and all the other sevā of Shrī Nāthajī inside the temple. Finally, Kṛṣṇadāsa's arguments won over Puruṣottamajī's hesitation and, after bathing, Puruṣottamajī went with Kṛṣṇadāsa up the hill to the temple about an hour before the utthāpana darshana period. Puruṣottamajī seated himself within the temple, but Kṛṣṇadāsa stationed himself at Daṇḍotī Crag on the side of the hill. When Shrī Gusānjī, who had also purified himself by bathing, came past Daṇḍotī Crag on his way up the hill to the temple, Kṛṣṇadāsa stopped him and said to him: "Puruṣottamajī has bathed and entered the temple. He is now the leader of the Sampradāya; therefore, you may ascend the hill only when he summons you. Now you may not come up the hill and you may not have darshana of Shrī Govardhanadhara."

Shrī Gusānījī said nothing to Kṛṣṇadāsa, but he prostrated himself towards the banner atop Shrī Nāthajī's temple and, remembering the curses and vows that had been made in *līlā*, got up and moved off in the direction of Parāsolī¹ where he intended to dwell while undergoing separation (viyoga) from Shrī Nāthajī.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Gusāṇijî did not go to Gokula where the temple of Shrī Navanitapriyajī was located because Shrī Svāminījī had decreed that he must be separated from her and from Shrī Thākurajī for a period of six months. If he had gone to Gokula, he would have violated Shrī Svāminījī's decree since Shrī Navanītapriyajī is a svarūpa of Shrī Thākurajī. Consequently, Shrī Gusāṇijī passed the entire six month period of separation at Parāsolī.]

There was a window in Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple that faced towards Parāsolī; Shrī Govardhananāthajī made a practice of sitting in that window whenever Kṛṣṇadāsa left the temple so that he could give darshana to Shrī Gusāṇijī. All day long, Shrī Gusāṇijī used to sit in Parāsolī staring at that window in Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple. One day when Kṛṣṇadāsa was in the village of Ānyora² he happened to notice Shrī Govardhananāthajī seated in the window. Early on the following morning, Kṛṣṇadāsa came into the temple and examined the window. After he had carefully looked over the window, Kṛṣṇadāsa said to Shrī Nāthajī, "I have forbidden Shrī Gusāṇijī to have darshana of you, so why have you been sitting at that window? You must never even look towards Shrī Gusāṇijī." Kṛṣṇadāsa would not, furthermore, allow Shrī Nāthajī to play in the direction of Parāsolī.

^{&#}x27;See note 1 on p. 134 above.

²Growse, pp. 83, 301–302. Mītala, Bruj kā Sāyskṛtika Itihāsa, p. 110.

Shrī Gusaniii, however, while remaining at Parasoli, still managed to communicate with Shrī Nāthajī. Every day after the rājabhoga darshana period during the six months of Shrī Gusānījī's separation from Shrī Govardhanadhara, Rāmadāsa the chief bhītariyā used to bring the holy water in which Shrī Nāthajī's feet had been bathed to Shrī Gusānjijī at Parasoli. In return, Shri Gusaniji would give Ramadasa one of the flower garlands which he himself made each day and would ask him to place it around Shrī Nāthair's neck. These flower garlands were the means by which Shrī Gusānījī was able to communicate with Shrī Govardhananāthajī; for in each flower garland Shrī Gusānījī concealed a piece of paper on which he had written a Sanskrit shloka for Shrī Nāthaiī. As soon as possible after Rāmadāsa had put the garland around Shrī Nāthajī's neck, Shrī Nāthajī would take out the hidden piece of paper and read Shrī Gusānījī's shloka. In order to answer Shrī Gusānījī, Shrī Nāthajī would write with the juice from his own chewed pan on his pan leaf and send it with Rāmadāsa to Shrī Gusānījī.² As soon as Rāmadāsa had given him the pan, Shrī Gusaniji would read what was written on it, then dip the leaf in water to dissolve the writing on it, and then chew the pan. That is why the shlokas composed by Shrī Nāthajī do not exist in the world. As for the shlokas written by Shrī Gusānījī for Shrī Nāthajī. Shrī Nāthajī gave them to Rāmadāsa after he had read them. Rāmadāsajī carefully preserved these shlokas; later they were gathered together to form a collection called *Vijnapti* which is today considered by members of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya to be one of the foremost pieces of literature produced within their Sampradaya.³

One day, when Shrī Gusānījī was feeling especially deep sorrow (viraha) because of his separation from Shrī Nāthajī, he wrote the following shloka:

For one who belongs to you, O Lord, but is deprived of your darshana,

Life is as useless as renewed youthful vigour would be for

'One of the common forms of Hindu worship is the drinking of the water—which is called "caranāmrtu"—in which a deity's feet have been bathed.

²It would not seem at all strange to a Vaisnava that Shrī Nāthajī's "chewed" pān was taken to Shrī Gusānījī, for the pān that has been offered to a deity is taken as prasāda by the deity's bhaktas. Claims that members of the Vallabha Sampradāya also took as prasāda pān that had been chewed by one of the gurus or mahārājas (direct descendants of Vallabhācārya) of the sect were used against the sect in the famous "Mahārāj Libel Case" that was heard in the Bombay Supreme Court in 1862. See: [Karsandas Muli], History of the Sect of Mahārājas or Vallabhāchāryas in Western India (London: Trubner and Co., 1865), pp. 107 (in the main text) and 64 (in the appendix).

³BHAI MANILAL C. PAREKH, Sri Vallabhacharya: Life, Teachings and Movement (Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943), pp. 304-306.

Durbhagā.1

Rāmadāsa took the shloka to Shrī Govardhananāthajī and Shrī Govarnanāthajī, after he had read it, sent this reply to Shrī Gusānījī: "Everyone knows that a raincloud will always give rain in the proper season; similarly, you and I both know that we can meet again as soon as the time allotted to Kṛṣṇadāsa is finished. So, forget your grief and be patient for our time of union is approaching."

Rāmadāsajī took Shrī Nāthajī's message and gave it to Shrī Gusānijī. As soon as Shrī Gusānijī had read what Shrī Nāthajī had written, he composed another Sanskrit shloka to be delivered by Rāmadāsajī to Shrī Govardhanadhara. This shloka went as follows:

It is indeed the nature of the raincloud to release its rain in season, But the *cātaka* bird still continues to lament, for it is exhausted and suffers pain and cannot rest patiently.

By saying that the season would come when the rain would fall from the raincloud² Shrī Gusānījī meant that he knew that the time would eventually come when he would be reunited with Shrī Nāthajī; and, by referring to the cātaka bird, which drinks only raindrops and so suffers terrible thirst during the dry season when there is no rain, Shrī Gusānījī meant to remind Shrī Govardhananāthajī that he loved him and needed him just as the cātaka bird loves and needs the raincloud and that he could not suffer patiently any more than the cātaka bird can suffer its thirst without crying aloud.³ Such suffering in separation from the divine being, is, after all, the dharma (i.e., the duty, obligation, correct mode of conduct) of a bhakta.

Rāmadāsajī was not the only one who regularly went to visit Shrī Gusānījī, for all of the sevakas continued to go to Parāsolī for darshana of Shrī Gusānījī. Kṛṣṇadāsa knew about all of this visiting but he could not prevent it. Once, however, Kṛṣṇadāsa did try to keep Rāmadāsajī from carrying letters back and forth between Shrī Nāthajī and Shrī

¹Durbhagā (who is also called Jarā—Old Age) is the daughter of Kāla (Time). It is Durbhagā in Indian mythology who enfeebles men and makes them old and decrepit. The tory of Durbhagā is told in *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* IV, 27–28. See: Krishna-Dwaipayana Vyasa, *The Srimad-Bhagavatam*, trans. J. M. Sanyal (5 vols.; Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., 1952), Vol. II, pp. 135–143.

The randoud is a symbol of Shrī Kṛṣṇa who is as dark and soothing as a rain-filled thunderbead at the end of the hot season. Since the rainy season is in Indian tradition the season of union for lovers, it has come to symbolize the time of the spiritual union of the bhaktas and Bhagavān in Vaiṣṇava literature.

³The image of the mythical craving of the *cātāka* bird (pied-crested cuckoo) for the raincloud is a very common one in Vaisnava poetry. See: Sālim Ali, *The Book of Indian Birds* (Bombay: Bombay Natural History Society, 1964), p. 50.

Gusānījī. But, when Kṛṣṇadāsa told Rāmadāsajī that he objected to his role in the transmission of messages from Shrī Nāthajī to Shrī Gusānījī and from Shrī Gusānījī back to Shrī Nāthajī, Rāmadāsa answered that, even if he were removed from his position as chief of the bhītariyās, he would never stop going to have darshana of Shrī Gusānījī and Kṛṣṇadāsa had to let the matter drop. Kṛṣṇadāsa could not take the chance of losing a superb sevaka like Rāmadāsajī.

Shrī Gusānījī was separated from Shrī Nāthajī from the sixth day of the light half of the month of Pausa until the fifth day of the light half of the month of Asadha. On the fifth day of the light half of the month of Āsādha, Rājā Bīrabala arrived in Gokula and asked Shrī Gusānījī's eldest son Giridharaji, who was in charge of Shri Gusaniji's household while Shrī Gusānijī was staving at Parāsolī, where Shrī Gusānijī was. Rājā Bīrabala had not had darshana of Shrī Gusānījī for some time and so was very anxious to see him. When Giridharaii explained that Shri. Gusānījī had been barred by Krsnadāsa the Adhikārī from attending the darshana of Shri Govardhananāthajī and had been suffering his separation from Shri Nāthaji for the past six months at Parāsoli, Rājā Bīrabala swore that he would go and have Krsnadasa evicted from Shri Nathaji's temple without delay. Rājā Bīrabala went at once to Mathurā, where he had official jurisdiction in criminal cases, and ordered some of his men to go immediately to Govardhana and arrest Kṛṣṇadāsa. Rājā Bīrabala's men reached Govardhana in the evening, placed Krsnadasa under arrest, and returned with him to Mathura. At midnight, Birabala sent a messenger to Gokula to tell Giridharaji that Krsnadāsa had been jailed in Mathurā and that Shri Gusaniji should be quickly reinstated in the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. That very night, Giridharajī mounted his horse and set off for Parasoli. At dawn on the sixth day of the light half of the month of Āsādha, Giridharaii reached Parāsoli, respectfully greeted his father, and asked him to come back to Shri Govardhanadhara's temple to resume authority over the divine sevā and shrigāra. When Shrī Gusānijī had heard the words of his son, he asked if it were Krsnadasa's order that he return to the temple. Giridharajī then told Shrī Gusānījī that Krsnadāsa had been imprisoned in Mathurā. Upon receiving this news. Shrī Gusānījī was stricken with sorrow that such misfortune and hardship should befall Krsnadāsa who was a Bhagavadīva and a sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and who had received the favour of Shri Ācāryaji. Shri Gusāniji then asked Giridharajī what he had told Rājā Bīrabala and Giridharajī answered that he had told him no more than that Krsnadasa had barred Shrī Gusānījī from the darshana of Shrī Nāthajī. Shrī Gusānījī then vowed that he would eat nothing until Krsnadasa had been released and allowed

to come to him. At this, Giridharajī again mounted his horse and rode to Mathurā to tell Bīrabala that his father would not eat until Kṛṣṇadāsa was allowed to go to him in freedom.

When Rājā Bīrabala had received Shrī Gusānījī's request that Kṛṣṇadāsa be released, he went to the jail and had Kṛṣṇadāsa summoned. When Kṛṣṇadāsa appeared before him, he said: "Kṛṣṇadāsa, listen! Since Shrī Gusānījī has had mercy on you and said that he will not eat unless you are allowed to go to him, I am going to let you go; but, if you ever again quarrel with Shrī Gusānījī, I will capture you and never release you." After Bīrabala had warned Kṛṣṇadāsa in this way, he released him into the custody of Giridharajī. Giridharajī then took Kṛṣṇadāsa with him to Parāsolī.

When Shrī Gusānījī recognized Krsnadāsa coming, he stood up to give the polite greeting due to Krsnadasa as Shri Govardhananathaji's adhikāri. Upon seeing Shrī Gusānījī's noble gesture, Krsnadāsa felt pangs of remorse and threw himself humbly at Shrī Gusānījī's feet. Then Krsnadāsa sang a pada in praise of Shrī Gusānījī and begged Shrī Gusānījī to forgive him for the evil that he had done and to return to the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī. Shrī Gusānījī answered that he would return since Krsnadasa had commanded him to do so and the two of them went together back to the temple of Shrī Govardhananāthaiī. When they reached the temple. Shrī Gusānījī prostrated himself before Shrī Govardhanadhara. At the shringāra darshana period, since it was the hot season, Shrī Nāthajī was dressed in a light dhotī a small cap, and a garland of kusuma flowers. Then the rājabhoga, the utthāpana, and all the other darshana periods were conducted by Shrī Gusānījī. After the final darshana period in the evening, Shrī Gusānījī reconfirmed Krsnadāsa as Shrī Nāthair's adhikārī by placing the mantle of that office upon him in the presence of Shrī Nāthajī. Shrī Gusānījī then said that Krsnadāsa was blest and Krsnadasa sang a pada in praise of the mercy of Shrī Gusānjī. But, when Krsnadāsa again asked Shrī Gusānījī to forgive him for his wicked behaviour, Shrī Gusānījī would say only that Shrī Nāthajī would forgive him for his crimes. Later, when the temple sanctuary had been closed, Shrī Gusānījī gladdened all of the Vaisnava sevakas by declaring a general reconciliation. He ordered, furthermore, that the sevā and shringāra of Shrī Govardhanadhara be conducted as usual and that Krsnadāsa continue to perform his accustomed sevā as the adhikārī of the temple. Thus was Krsnadasa a Bhagavadiya who had received special favour.

Prasanga 8

One day, when Shri Gusaniji was in Gokula, Kṛṣṇadasa came from

¹A dhoti is a wide unsewn piece of cloth that many Indian men wear wrapped and tied around the waist.

Govardhana Hill to Gokula to visit him. When Shrī Gusānījī saw Shrī Govardhananāthajī's adhikārī approaching, he got up, greeted him very warmly and cordially, and had him sit down beside him. After Shrī Gusānījī had asked Kṛṣṇadāsa for news about the health and general condition of Shrī Govardhanadhara, he fed him, from his own holy hand, the prasāda of Shrī Navanītapriyajī. Later, Shrī Gusānījī had Kṛṣṇadāsa take the prasāda from the food offering at the shayana darshana period and then showed him to a comfortable couch on which he was to pass the night.

At daybreak, Krsnadāsa prepared to leave Shrī Gusānījī's house. But, when Krsnadasa told Shri Gusaniji that he intended to go to Brndabana, Shrī Gusānījī became very disturbed and told him that nothing but misfortune would result if he really were to go to Brndabana. 1 Nevertheless, Krsnadāsa's desire to go to Brndābana made him disregard Shrī Gusānījī's warning and he went ahead and crossed the Yamunā. 2 Kṛṣṇadasa got to Brndabana at noontime, the hottest period of the day, and arranged to meet with some of the saints and religious leaders of that town. 3 Suddenly, however, a fever seized Krsnadasa, parching his throat and causing him to suffer from a fierce and violent thirst. He cried out at once to the assembled saints and religious leaders that he was suffering from a terrible thirst and that his throat had completely dried up. The saints and religious leaders offered to get water, but Krsnadasa, who had sat down by himself on a cart, said that he could accept drinking water only from a Vallabhī Vaisnava from Gokula. Upon being informed by the saints and religious leaders of Brndabana that, while there was not a single Vallabhī Vaisnava in the town, there was a sweeper from Gokula who was visiting his wife's family in Brndabana, Krsnadasa said that a Gokula sweeper would be the best of all the possible alternatives.⁵

IShrī Gusānījī did not want Kṛṣṇadāsa to go to Bṛndābana because Bṛndābana was a centre for many different sects but not for the Vallabha Sampradāya. As has already been discussed on pp. 40-41 of Part I above, the members of the Vallabha Sampradāya were supposed to avoid association with the members of other sects. In the first prasanga of this vārtā Kṛṣṇadāsa himself was shown as a staunch supporter of this doctrine of sectarian exclusiveness.

² Bṛṇdābana is about six miles north of Gokula and on the opposite bank of the Yamunā River.

³The majority of these saints and religious leaders were probably connected with the Cattanya Sampradāya, which was the leading Vaisnava sect in Brndābana.

4A member of Vallabhācārya's Sampradāya is called a Vallabhi Vaisnava.

⁵Normally, a shūdra like Kṛṣṇadāsa would not accept water from so lowly and polluted a person as a sweeper (whose caste is considered by Hindus to be one of the untouchable castes); but, because of the restrictions on association with outsiders observed by members of the Vallabha Sampradāya, Kṛṣṇadāsa preferred to take water from a sweeper—if the sweeper were from the Vallabhi settlement of Gokula—rather than from high-caste people who did not belong to the Vallabha Sampradāya.

Kṛṣṇadāsa asked that the Gokula sweeper be told first to get a new, unused earthen vessel from a potter, then to bathe in the sacred Yamunā,¹ and, finally, to fill the vessel with water and bring it to him. The Gokula sweeper was summoned and sent with Kṛṣṇadāsa's instructions to get the water.

Meanwhile, Shrī Gusānījī had finished the sevā of the rājabhoga darshana of Shrī Navanītapriyajī and had decided to visit Shrī Nāthajī's temple on Govardhana Hill. He had just gotten across the Yamunā and stepped up onto the ghāṭa when the Gokula sweeper, keeping his mouth covered with a piece of cloth,² called out to him and told him that he had just been sent to fetch water for Kṛṣṇadāsa who was in an agony of thirst in Bṛndābana. When Shrī Gusānījī had heard the sweeper's words, he quickly had his servant fill a jug with water and mounted his horse and set off for Bṛndābana with the water. Shrī Gusānījī found Kṛṣṇadāsa seated in misery on the cart and gave him the water from the jug that he had brought. As soon as Kṛṣṇadāsa had drunk the water, his fever disappeared and he began to revive. When he felt better, he fell down before Shrī Gusānījī and sang a pada praising Shrī Gusānījī. Then Kṛṣṇadāsa said to Shrī Gusānījī: "Mahārāja! I ignored your warning and, as a result, I suffered misfortune just as you said I would."

Shrī Gusānījī and Kṛṣṇadāsa departed for Shrī Govardhananāthajī's temple together and arrived there just before time for the shayama darshana. Shrī Gusānījī bathed and conducted the sevā of the shayana darshana and Kṛṣṇadāsa sang a pada. Then Shrī Gusānījī had the temple sanctuary closed and went down from the sacred hill. Kṛṣṇadāsa served as adhikārī of the temple for a very long time.³

Prasanga 9

One day, a Vaiṣṇava came to Kṛṣṇadāsa and said: "As an act of piety, I would like to have a well constructed here; but, since I must return to my own country, I will not be able to stay here to have the well built. Therefore, I would be very pleased if you would let me give you some money to be spent on constructing a well." Kṛṣṇadāsa accepted 300 rupees from the Vaiṣṇava for the building of the well and the Vaiṣṇava departed for his own country. When the Vaiṣṇava had left, Kṛṣṇadāsa took a hundred rupees out of the 300 rupees that he had been given and

¹The vessel had to be new and unused so that there would be no chance of its having been used previously by someone not associated with the Vallabha Sampradāya. The sweeper had to purify himself by bathing in the sacred Yamunā before he could take the water to Krsnadāsa.

²The sweeper covered his mouth when addressing Shrī Gusānījī, who was a *Brāhmaṇa*, so that his breath would not pollute him.

³This prasanga, in which Kṛṣṇadāsa's thirst (i.e., his desire for the true path to liberation) can be quenched only by water (i.e., the Puṣṭimārga) brought by Shrī Gusāṇiji, is a good example of the allegorical prasangas found in the Caurāsi Vaiṣṇavan ki Vārtā.

put them into a clay pot and buried the pot under a mango tree in a garden. Later, on a day deemed auspicious for new undertakings, Krsnadāsa had the construction of the new well begun in a garden near the village of Pūcharī. After several days of labour, when the well had almost been completed and lacked only the protective brick wall around its lip. Krsnadasa found that he had already paid out 200 rupees of the Vaisnava's money to the workmen. Krsnadasa realized that a fine, solid wall could be built with the remaining hundred rupees of the Vaisnava's money that he had buried under the mango tree. Just after he had attended Shrī Govardhanadhara's utthāpana darshana period. Krsnadāsa took up his staff and went over to Pūcharī to inspect the new well. While examining the well, Krsnadasa leaned on his staff on the edge of the well where the protective wall should have been. Suddenly, the staff slipped off the edge and into the well and Krsnadasa plunged in after it. When the workmen saw Krsnadasa fall into the well they began to shout for rope and soon two men were being lowered on a stout rope down into the well. Although the two men searched diligently, they were unable to find Krsnadāsa's body.2

Meanwhile, Shrī Gusānījī had finished conducting Shrī Govardhanadhara's shayana darshana sevā and was relaxing outside the temple with Rāmadāsa the Bhītariyā. All at once, a man came running up from the direction of Pūcharī and told Shrī Gusānījī that Kṛṣṇadāsa had fallen into the new well and that his body could not be recovered. When Rāmadāsajī heard this news, he stood up saying in Sanskrit: "Tāmasānāmadhogatiḥ" (Thus do those who are full of tamas³ always go down.). When Shrī Gusānījī heard what Rāmadāsajī had said, he rebuked him, "Rāmadāsajī, don't say such a thing. Kṛṣṇadāsa was a Vaiṣṇava who had received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu and who has a place in the divine tīlā. What makes you think that you know why Kṛṣṇadāsa fell into the well?"

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Gusāṇijī knew, of course, about the curse because of which Kṛṣṇadāsa would have to become a *preta* and so he was not surprised to hear that Kṛṣṇadāsa's body could not be found in the well. Kṛṣṇadāsa had, at that very moment, become a *preta* in his own body and was sitting in the branches of a large *pīpala* tree growing near Pūcharī.]⁴

¹Mîtala, Braj kā Sāŋskṛtika Itihāsa, p. 111.

²According to Indian beliefs, any violent death jeopardizes the safe passage of the soul into a new birth; but, if the body of a person who had died violently cannot be recovered and given the proper rites, then it is almost certain that the soul of that person will become a preta.

³See p. 67 of Part I above and note 1, p. 235, in this vārtā.

⁴In India, pretas and other malignant spirits are said to live in haunted trees.

Prasanga 10

When Shrī Gusāṇijī heard about Kṛṣṇadāsa's fatal accident he was deeply saddened and said from his holy mouth, "Kṛṣṇadāsa was an excellent adhikārī of Shrī Govardhanadhara's temple; how shall I ever be able to find a sevaka as suitable as he was? Furthermore, the temple routine cannot continue without an adhikārī."

When Rāmadāsajī had listened to Shrī Gusānījī talk in this way, he suggested that Shrī Gusānījī simply appoint someone to be the adhikārī and that person would then perform the duties of the office—after all, the person entrusted with the care of the sevā of Shrī Govardhananāthajī would consider himself blessed. To this, Shrī Gusānījī said, "But, I want to avoid any possibility of a dispute over the person selected to be adhikārī. It is easy to cause disagreement, but it is very difficult to bring about concord."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Shrī Ācāryajī approached just this problem of finding the person with just the right talent and ability (adhikāra) for a position in his Subodhini commentary on the Bhāgavata Purāna where he describes the following series of gods and sages through which the Bhagavata Purāna passed before it was received by an individual who had the ability (adhikāra) to realize its sublime teachings. "Nārāyana transmitted the Bhagavata Purana to Brahma, but Brahma's talent lay in the creation of the universe. Next, Brahmā transmitted it to Nārada but Nārada's area of skill is in moving about in all countries of the world and not in understanding the doctrines of the Bhāgavata Purāna. Then, Nārada transmitted it to Vedavyāsa, but Vedavvāsa could not profit from it because his talent was in the compiling of the holy scriptures. Vedavyāsa transmitted it to Shukadeva, who had completely renounced the worldly life and who persisted steadfastly in his renunciation. Later, Pariksita also gave up the world. Night and day for seven days Shukadeva taught the Bhagavata Purana to Pariksita and Parkiksitā became the adhikāri of the Bhāgavata Purāņa. The Pustimārga is just like the Bhāgavata Purāna. The Pustimārga is inscribed on the forehead of the person who has given up all worldly desires to make himself the adhikārī of it. But, if anyone should become proud because of his comprehension of the *Pustimārga*, then his comprehension shall do him no good."]

Over and over Shrī Gusānijī repeated to himself, "To whom should I give the position of Shrī Govardhanadhara's adhikāri and yet stay away from discord?" After the completion of the shayana darshana period, 252

Shrī Gusānījī turned to Shrī Govardhanadhara himself and asked: "Mahārāja! Kṛṣṇadāsa has died and sevā cannot go on without an adhikārī. Whom should I designate to be adhikārī? Tell me whom to choose and I will follow your command."

Shrī Govardhananāthajī answered Shrī Gusānījī in the following words: "How can I disapprove of the person whom you select? But you want to avoid quarrels that others may make over your choice. You can accomplish this by selecting the new adhikārī in this way: Have all of the bhaktas assemble and then tell them that you will give the position of adhikārī to anyone who will volunteer to put on the adhikārī's mantle."

This suggestion pleased Shrī Gusānījī and he decided to carry it out on the following day. Then he put Shrī Govardhananāthajī to bed for the night. On the following day, at the time of the rājabhoga darshana period, when all of the Brajvāsī Vaiṣṇavas were assembled, Shrī Gusānījī stood up with the adhikārī's mantle in his hands and said to everyone present: "Let anyone who wishes to be the adhikārī of the house of Shrī Nāthajī wrap himself in this mantle." Upon hearing these words, several people stood up and called out that they would undertake the duties of adhikārī; but the first man to accept the position was a Kṣatriya and he was given the mantle of the adhikārī of the temple. As soon as the new adhikārī had been selected. Shrī Gusānījī performed the sevā of the rājabhoga darshana and then closed the sanctuary and returned to Gokula.

One day, several days after the selection of the new adhikārī, one of the female water buffaloes belonging to Shrī Govardhananāthajī got loose and wandered away. A cowherd named Gopīnāthadāsa and six or seven other cowherds all went off in the direction of Pūcharī in search of the missing buffalo; all of these cowherds were Bhagavadīyas who had received supreme favour. As they were walking along looking for the buffalo, they suddenly noticed that Shrī Govardhanananāthajī was playing with some of his sakhās under a pīpala tree near Pūcharī; furthermore, they saw Kṛṣṇadāsa the Adhikārī, now a preta, sitting on that very tree. Then Kṛṣṇadāsa called out "Victory to Shrī Kṛṣṇa!" in greeting to Gopīnāthadāsa and then asked him, "Brother! Please relay the following message of mine to Shrī Gusānījī:

Because of the crime that I committed against you, I have become a preta; nevertheless, by your mercy, Shrī Govardhanadhara has given me darshana. Please go to the garden that is over by Bilachū²

^{1&}quot;Victory to Shrī Kṛṣṇa!" (jai Shri Kṛṣṇa!) is a common Vaiṣṇava greeting and general exclamation. Kṛṣṇadāsa, since he was a *preta*, probably also wanted to reassure Gopīnāthadāsa and the other cowherds by calling out the divine name.

²See pp. 134-135 above.

and dig underneath a large mango tree growing there. After you have dug for a time, you will find a pot and in the pot will be a hundred rupees. Please take the hundred rupees and have a protective brick wall built around the lip of the well into which I fell.

Please tell all of this to Shrī Gusānījī. You will find the female buffalo that you are looking for grazing in that brush over there."

[Bhāvaprakāsha

When Shrī Gusānījī reconfirmed Krsnadāsa as Shrī Govardhananāthajī's adhikāri and, in the presence of Shrī Nāthajī, placed the adhikāri's mantle for the second time on Krsnadāsa, Krsnadāsa sang a pada with the following first line: "Most merciful Shrī Vitthalanāthajī, the son of Shrī Vallabha, has shown favour and put his own hand on my forehead. ... " After Kṛṣṇadāsa had sung that pada, he begged Shri Gusaniii to forgive him for the crime of having caused him to be separated from Shrī Govardhananāthajī for six months. Shrī Gusānījī answered Krsnadāsa by saying that Shrī Nāthajī would forgive him for his crime. And, just as Shrī Gusānījī had promised, Shrī Govardhanadhara came to Krsnadāsa after he had become a preta and gave him darshana and talked with him. But, since Shri Gusānījī himself had never forgiven Krsnadāsa, Krsnadāsa could not be released from his existence as a preta. Krsnadāsa, indeed, had asked Shrī Govardhanadhara: "Mahārāja! You have given me darshana, so why can't you release me from this awful preta existence?" In reply to Krsnadasa, Shri Govardhananathaji said, "The matter is not in my hands; your salvation (uddhāra) is the responsibility of Shrī Gusānījī." Even though Krsnadāsa was Lalitā, a sakhī of Shrī Svāminījī, Shrī Nāthajī could not free him from his existence as a preta. Since it was Shrī Gusānījī who, as Shrī Candrāvalījī, had, in lilā, put the curse of existence as a preta on Krsnadāsa, only Shrī Gurāniji could give him freedom from that curse. Krsnadāsa, since he could be released only by the grace of Shri Gusaniji, sought Shri Gusaniji's pardon through the message quoted above which he entrusted to Gopināthadāsa the cowherd.]

After Gopīnāthadāsa had received Kṛṣṇadāsa's message to Shrī Gusānījī, he went to get the buffalo from the brush where she was grazing. Gopīnāthadāsa and his companions then drove the buffalo back to Gopālapura and locked her in the corral. Later, when Shrī Gusānījī had finished conducting Shrī Nāthajī's shayana darshana sevā and had come down from the holy mountain, Gopīnāthadāsa came before him, prostrated himself, and gave him Kṛṣṇadāsa's message. When Shrī Gusānījī 254

had heard the message, he decided that Kṛṣṇadāsa had suffered enough sorrow and that it was time for him to be freed from his existence as a preta. First, Shrī Gusāṇījī went to the garden named by Kṛṣṇadāsa and dug up the hundred rupees that Kṛṣṇadāsa had burried there and, secondly, he gave the hundred rupees to the new adhikārī and told him to use the money to have a protective wall built around the well into which Kṛṣṇadāsa had fallen. Finally, that very night Shrī Gusāṇījī set out for Mathurā and, at dawn on the next day, he performed the shrāddha rites for Kṛṣṇadāsa with his own hands on Dhruva Ghāṭa in Mathurā. Upon the performance of the shrāddha rites, Kṛṣṇadāsa was freed from his preta existence and was able totake up a divine body and resume his place in the eternal līlā. There is a gateway into Girirāja at Bilachū and Kṛṣṇadāsa is the master of that gateway. As soon as he had been restored to līlā, Kṛṣṇadāsa stationed himself at that gateway. In this way, Shrī Gusāṇijī enabled Kṛṣṇadāsa to enter līlā.

[Bhāvaprakāsha

Some may ask why Kṛṣṇadāsa could not have been freed by the grace of Shrī Gusāṇiji alone. Such people will wonder why Shrī Gusāṇiji needed to perform the shrāddha ceremony for Kṛṣṇadāsa if he had already had mercy on Kṛṣṇadāsa. Why, these people will ask, wasn't Shrī Gusāṇiji's grace alone sufficient to save Kṛṣṇadāsa? There are two reasons why Shrī Gusāṇiji wanted to perform the shrāddha ceremony for Kṛṣṇadāsa. The first of these reasons is explained in the following paragraph.

In front of all the sevakas and Brajvāsīs. Gopīnāthadāsa told Shrī Gusānījī that Kṛṣṇadāsa had become a preta and that Kṛṣṇadāsa was entreating him to release him from that foul existence. Shrī Gusānījī realized that, if he were to release Kṛṣṇadāsa by his grace alone, then the sevakas would ask him to similarly release any Brajvāsī who might become a preta; if, however, he were not to release such a Brajvāsī preta, then bad feeling and trouble would be sure to result. Therefore, Shrī Gusānījī made it seem that he had to perform the shrāddha rites on Dhruva Ghāṭa in Mathurā in order to free Kṛṣṇadāsa. When all the people saw that Shrī Gusānījī went to Dhruva Ghāṭa to perform the shrāddha rites for Kṛṣṇadāsa, then they began to say that ghāṭa was the very best place for the shrāddha

¹The shrāddha rites are commemorative offerings given by Hindus for the nourishment of dead ancestors. The first shrāddha offerings must be made together with the funeral ceremonies for a deceased person, for, until the first shrāddha offering is made, the deceased will have to exist as a preta. For a discussion of the shrāddha rites, see: Monier-Williams, p. 1097. For a general survey of Hindu funeral ceremonies, see: Rājabalī Pāndeya, Hindū Sanskāra (Vārānasī: Chowkhamba Vidyabhawan, 1966), pp. 296-34.

rite to be done. For the above reason Shrī Gusānījī went to great difficulty to conceal his own ability to grant Krsnadāsa release.

The second reason is quite different from the first. Kṛṣṇadāsa was such an outstanding Bhagavadīva that millions of his ancestors received deliverance (uddhāra) from samsāra by virtue of his wonderful bhakti. It will be recalled that, in the story of Prahlāda in the Bhāgavata Purāṇa, Prahlāda asked Nṛṣṇḥajī, "Mahārāja! Can my father also be delivered?" and Nṛṣiṇhajī answered, "Since you are a bhakta of Bhagavān, the previous 21 members of your family --which would include, of course, your father—will be delivered from samsāra." Now, Prahlāda was only a marvādā bhakta; 2 a Puṣṭimārgī Bhagavadīva like Kṛṣṇadāsa would bring deliverance to millions of his forebears. Nevertheless, no one may enter into līlā without first taking the Brahmasambandha rite taught by Shrī Ācāryajī Mahāprabhu; therefore, Kṛṣṇadāsa's ancestors could not enter into līlā and had to receive the shrāddha ceremony in order to be liberated (mukta).

Kṛṣṇadāsa, Shrī Gusānījī, and all of the retinue of Shrī Govardhanadhara are alaukika. They feel no laukika jealousy. The divine līlā has been described as it was played out by them on earth.]

Thus, Kṛṣṇadāsajī's vārtā is alaukika. Shrī Gusāṇjī himself said: "Kṛṣṇadāsa composed wonderful kirtanas describing rāsa and the other lilās; there will never be another bhakta to equal Kṛṣṇadāsa; no one will ever be such a perfect sevaka of Shrī Ācāryajī as he was; no one will ever be able to perform sevā in the way that he performed sevā; and no one will ever be able to match him as Shrī Nāthajī's adhikāri." Kṛṣṇadāsa the Adhikārī was a Bhagavadīya who had received the favour of Shrī Ācāryajī. Shrī Govardhananāthajī was always delighted with him. A full account of the bhāva of Kṛṣṇadāsa's indescribable vārtā can never be given.

Prahlāda, though the son of a demon king, was a staunch bhakta of Viṣṇu; just as Prahlāda was about to be killed by his father for his devotion, Viṣṇu incarnated himself as a being half man and half lion (called "Nṛṣiṇha") and slew the demon father. For the full story, see the following: Vyasa, (trans. Sanyal), vol. III, pp. 11-53.

²A maryādā bhakta is a bhakta who continues to rely upon the performance of Vedic rituals and the observance of scriptural laws along with his devotion to his god; in other words, the maryādā bhakta—unlike the puṣṭi bhakta—still believes that his actions have some influence over the granting of uddhāra. The maryādā bhakta is thus at a lower level of the practice of bhakti when compared with a puṣṭi bhakta.

Bibliography

- AGRAVĀLA, SARAYŪPRASĀDA. Akabari Darabāra ke Hindi-Kavi. Lucknow: Lucknow University, 1951.
- ALI SALIM. The Book of Indian Birds. Bombay: Bombay Natural History Society, 1964.
- Ansari, Zainuddin Dawood and Mate, Madhukar Shripad. Excavations at Dwarka.

 Poona: Deccan College Postgraduate and Research Institute, 1966.
- "Approach to the Fifth Plan". Economic & Political Weekly, (7: 1107-1110) 1972.
- Banfriea, Jitendranath. The Development of Hindu Iconography. Calcutta: University of Calcutta, 1956
- The Bhagavad Gitā. Translated by Franklin Edgerton. New York: Harper Torch-books, 1965.
- --- Translated by S. RADHAKRISHNAN, London: Allen and Unwin, 1960.
- BHANDARKAR, R. G. Vaisnavism, Shaivism, and Minor Religious Systems. Vārāṇasī: Indological Book House, 1965.
- Bhatt, G. H. "A Further Note on Vishnusvāmi and Vallabhāchārya," *Proceedings and Transactions of the Eighth All-India Oriental Conference*. (Mysore, December, 1935), pp. 322-328.
- BHAŢŢA, R. KALĀDHARA. Shrimaa-Vallabhācārya ke Dārshanika-Ācāra ki Paramparā. Ahmadābād: Shri Nāgaradāsa K. Bānbhaniyā, 1965.
- Brown, Percy. Indian Architecture. Two vols. Bombay: Taraporevala, 1964.
- Caturvedī, Sītārāma. Mahāprabhu Srimadvallabhācārya aur Puṣṭi-Mārga. Vārāṇasi: Hindī-Sāhitya-Kuṭīra, 1967.
- DANIELOU, ALAIN. Hindu Polytheism. New York: Bollingen Foundation, 1964.
- Dasgupta, Surendranath. A History of Indian Philosophy. Five vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1961.
- DE, SUSHIL KUMAR. Early History of the Vaisnava Faith and Movement in Bengal. Calcutta: Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, 1961.
- DĪĶŞITA, RĀJESHA (ed.). Kumbhanadāsa ke Pada. Mathurā: Shrī Jī Prakāshana Mandira, 1966.
- DIMOCK, EDWARD C. The Place of the Hidden Moon. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1966.
- DODWELL, H. H. (ed.). The Cambridge Shorter History of India. Delhi: S. Chand, 1969.
- DOWSON, JOHN. A Classical Dictionary of Hindu Mythology. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul. 1968.
- DUBE, LÄLATÄPRASÄDA. Hindi Bhakta Vārtā Sāhitya. Dehrā Dūn: Sāhitya Sadana, 1967.
- DVIVEDĪ, HAJĀRĪPRASĀDA. Hindi Sāhitya ki Bhūmikā. Bombay: Hindi-Grantha-Ratnākara, 1954.
- -- -. Sūra-Sāhitva. Bombay. Hindî-Grantha-Ratnākara, 1961.
- DWIVEDI, R. A. A Critical Survey of Hindi Literature. Vārāņasī: Motilal Banarsidass, 1966.
- ELIADE, MIRCEA. Patterns in Comparative Religion. Cleveland: World Publishing Co., 1968.

- FARQUHAR, J. N. An Outline of the Religious Literature of India. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1966.
- GANDHI, MOHANDAS K. An Autobiography: The Story of My Experiments with Truth. Boston: Beacon Press, 1966.
- GHATE, V. S. Le Vedanta, Etude sur les Brahma-vutras et leurs Cinq Commentaires. Paris: Editions Ernest Leroux, 1918.
- GLASENAPP, HELMUTH VON. "Die Lehre Vallabhäcäryas," Zeitschrift für Indologie und Iranistik, IX (Leipzig, 1933-1934), pp. 322-330.
- ---- Doctrines of Vallabhācārya. Translated by Ishverbhai S. Amin. Kapadwanj: Shri Shuddhadwaita Samsada, 1959.
- --- Von Buddha zu Gandhi. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1962.
- Goswāmī, Sharaṇabihārī. Kṛṣṇabhakti-Kāvya meṇ Sakhibhāva. Vārāṇasī: Cowkhamba Vidyabhawan, 1966.
- GROWSE, F. S. Mathurā: A District Memoir. Allāhābād: Northwest Provinces and Oudh Government Press, 1883.
- GUPTA, DĪNADAYĀLA. Aṣṭachāpa aur Vallabha-Sampradāya. Two vols. Allāhābād: Hindī Sāhitya Sammelana, 1948.
- GUPTA, JAGADĪSHA. Riti Kālya Sangraha. Allāhābād: Sāhitya Bhavana, 1961.
- HAIG, WOLSELEY and BURN, RICHARD (editors). The Cambridge History of India. Six vols. Delhi: S. Chand, 1963.
- HARIRĀYAJĪ. Bade Shikṣāpaira. Bombay: Sheṭha Nārāyaṇadāsa and Jeṭhānanda Asanamala Trust Fund. 1962.
 - Caurāsi Vaisņavan ki Vārtā [Tin Janma ki Lilā Bhāvanāvāli]. Edited by Dvārakā-Dāsa Parīkitiv. Mathurā: Dvārakādāsa Parīkha, 1961.
- HARISHANKARAJĪ, CIMMANALĀLA, *Puņimārgopadeshikā*. Translated into Hindī by Shrāmādhana Sharmā. Vārāṇasi:Shrīmādhana Sharmā. 1941.
- HAWTHORN, GEOFFREY. The Sociology of Fertility, London. Collier Macmillan: 1970.
- IKRAM, S. M. Muslim Civilization in India. New York: Columbia University Press, 1964.
- ILANGŌ ADIGAL. Shilappadikaram. Translated by Alain Danielou. New York: New Directions, 1965.
- INGALLS, DANIEL H. (trans.). Sanskrit Poctry from Vidyākara's "Treasury." Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1968.
- The Imperial Gazetteer of India. Vol. XXVI: Atlas. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1931.
- KAMAT. A. R. "The Educational Situation", Economic & Political Weekly, (7: 1229-1237) 1972.
- Keith, Arthur B. The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads. Two vols. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1925.
- Манакājā, Shrīkrsnapriyā Ветілі (ed.). *Pāṭḥya-Pustaka*. Two vols. Vārāṇasī: Shrī Rādhāmohana Vidyā-mandira, 1957.
- MAJUMDAR, R. C. (ed.). The History and Culture of the Indian People. Eleven vols. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1967.
- MARFATIA, MRUDULA I. The Philosophy of Vallabhācārya. Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1967
- MATE, M. S. Temples and Legends of Maharashtra. Bombay: Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, 1962.
- McGregor, R. S. The Language of Indrajii of Orchā. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1968.
- Mītala, Prabhu Dayāla. Asjachāpa-Paricaya. Mathurā: Agravāla Press, 1950.
- - Braj kā Sānskṛtiku Itihāsa. Delhi: Rājakamala Prakāshana, 1966.
- --- Braj ke Dharma-Sampradāyon kā Itihāsa. Delhi: National Publishing House, 1968.
- ---. Caitanya Mata aur Braj Sāhitya. Mathurā: Sāhitya Sānsthāna, 1962.
- MOHAMMAD, MALIK. Ālavār Bhakton kā Tamil-Prahandham aur Hindi Kṛṣṇa-Kāvya. Agrā: Vinoda Pustaka Mandira, 1964.

- MONIER-WILLIAMS, MONIER. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1960.
- [Mulli, Karsandas]. History of the Sect of Mahārājas or Vallabhāchāryas in Western India, London: Trubner and Co., 1865.
- Nelson, A. E. (ed.). Central Provinces District Gazetteer, Raipur District, Vol. "A." Bombay: Government of India Press, 1909.
- NIJHĀVANA, RĀJENDRA KUMĀRA. Dvārakā Paricava. Dvārakā: Lions Club of Dwarka. 1966.
- Pander, S. M. and Zide, N. H. The Poems of Surdas Chicago: University of Chicago, unpublished, 1963.
- PĀNDEYA, RĀJABALĪ, Hindū Sanskāra, Vārānasī: Chowkhamba Vidyabhawan, 1966.
- PARAMĀNANDADĀSA. Paramānanda Sāgara. Edited by Govardhana Nātha Shukla. Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1958.
- PAREKH, BHAI MANILAI, C. Sri Vallabhacarva: Life, Teachings, and Movement, Rajkot: Sri Bhagavata Dharma Mission, 1943.
- Parīkha, Dvārakādasa and Mītala, Prabhu Dayāta. Sūra Nirņaya. Mathurā: Sāhitya Sansthāna, 1962.
- Parīkha, Dvārakādāsa Puruşottama (ed.). *Prācina Vārtā-Sāhitya*. Vol. I. Kāņkarolī: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1940.
- POFFENBERGER, THOMAS, "Population Learning and Out-of-school Youth in India", Studies in Family Planning, vol. 2, pp. 171-174.
- POTTER, KARL H. Presuppositions of India's Philosophies. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1963.
- RADHAKRISHNAN, S. (ed. and trans.). *The Principal Upanisads*. London: Allen and Unwin, 1953.
- RAY, RAI BAHADUR AMARNATH. "The Visnusvämin Riddle," Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, XIV. (Poona: April-July, 1932-1933), Parts III-IV, pp. 161-181.
- RÜPA GOSVĀMĪ. Bhakti-Rasāmṛta-Sindhuḥ. Vol. 1. Translated by TRIDANDĪ SVAMI BHAKTI HRDAYA BON MAHĀRĀJ. Brindāban: Institute of Oriental Philosophy, 1965.
- SHARMĀ, BHAŢŢA SHRĪRAMĀNĀTHA (trans). Sevākaumudī, Bombay: Ramānātha Sharmā, 1919.
- SHARMĀ, CHANDRADHAR. A Critical Survey of Indian Philosophy. London: Rider and Co., 1960.
- SHARMĀ, HARABANSHALĀLA (ed.). Sūradāsa. Delhi: Rādhākṛṣṇa Prakāshana, 1966.
- SHARMĀ, MUNSHĪRĀMA, Bhāratīya Sādhana aur Sūra-Sāhītya, Kānpur: Ācārya Shukla Sādhana-Sadana, 1961.
 - Sūradāsa aur Bhagavadbhakti. Allāhābād: Sāhitva Bhavana, 1958.
- SHARMĀ, NIRAŅJANADEVA (ed.). Dosau Bāvana Vaisņavan ki Vārtā. Mathurā: Shrī Govar-dhana Granthamāla Kāryālaya, 1966.
- SHARMĀ, SHRĪMĀDHAVA (ed.). Puṣṭimārgiya Stotraratnamālā. Two vols. Vārāṇasi: Paramānanda Sharmā, 1963.
- SHARMĀ, VRAJABHŪŞAŅA (ed.). Kṛṣṇadāsa (Pada-Saṅgraha). Kāṇkaroli: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1963.
- Sharmā, Vrajabhūşaņa and Shāstri, K. (editors). Kumbhanadāsa. Kāṇkarolī: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1954.
- SHĀSTRĪ, ĀNANDĪLALA. Vaisņavacinhanirūpaņa. Nāthadvāra: Shrī Vidyā Vibhāga, 1952.
- SHĀSTRĪ, KAŅTHAMAŅI. "Vishārada," Kānkarolī kā Itihāsa. Vol. II. Kānkaroli Srividyā Vibhāga, 1940.
- SHUKLA, GOVARDHANA NĀTHA. Kavivara Paramānandadāsa aur Vallabha Sampradāva. Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira, 1964
- Shuki A, Vishvanatha. Hindi Kṛṣṇa Bhakti Kāvva par Shrimadbhagavata ka Parbhava. Alīgarh: Bhārata Parkāshana Mandira, 1966.

- SINGER, MILTON (ed.). Krishna: Myths, Rites, and Attitudes. Honolulu: East-West Center Press, 1966.
- SPEAR, PERCIVAL. A History of India. Baltimore: Penguin Books, 1968.
- SŪRADĀSA, Sūrasāgara, Edited by Javāharalāla Caturvedī, Calcutta: Bināni Trust, 1965. Sūrasāgara. Two vols. Edited by Nandadulāri Vājapevī, Vārāņasi: Nāgaripracārini Sabhā, 1965.
- Ţandana, Hariharanātija. Vāriā-Sāhiiya. Alīgarh: Bhārata Prakāshana Mandira. 1961.
- ŢANDANA, MĀYĀRĀNĪ. Ayjachāpa-Kāvya kā Sānskṛtika Mūlyānkana. Lucknow: Hindi Sāhitya Bhandāra, 1960.
- ŢAŊDANA, PREMANĀRĀYAŊA (ed.). Braj Bhāṣā Sūra-Kosha. Two vols. Lucknow: Lucknow University, 1962.
 - .. (ed.). Sūradāsa ki Vārtā. Lucknow: Nandana Prakāshana, 1968.
- THOOTHI, N. A. Vaishnavas of Gujarat. Calcutta: Longmans, 1935.
- TOD, JAMES. Rājasthāna kā Itihāsa (Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan). Translated into Hindi by Keshava Kumāra Ţhākura. Allāhābād: Ādarsha Hindi Pustakālaya, 1965.
- Твіра́тні, Ramapratāpa, Hinduoŋ ke Vrata, Parva, aur Tyauhāra, Allāhābād; Lokabhāratī Prakāshana, 1966.
- TRIVEDI, R. K. Census of India, 1961. Vol. V. Gujarat, Part VII-B: Fairs and Festivals. Delhi: Central Government Publications, 1965.
- TyāGīSHāNANDA, SvāMi (trans.). Aphorisms on the Gospel of Divine Love or Nārada Bhakti Sūtras. Madras - Srt Ramakrishna Math. 1955.
- VAIDYA, CHIMANI AI. M. Shri Vallabhacharya and His Teachings. Kapadwanj: Shri Shud-dhadwaita Samsada, 1959.
- VÄJAPEYĪ, KŖṢṢĀDATIA (ed.). Braj kā Itihāsa. Two vols. Mathurā: Akhila Bhāratīya Braj Sāhitya Maṇḍala, 1959.
- VALLABHĀCARYA. Shrimadbrahmasūtrāņubhāsyam. Edited by Harishankara Shāstrī. Bombay: Trustees of Sheth Nārāyaṇadāsa and Sheth Jethānanda Āsanamala Trust, 1942.
- -- - . . Shrisubodhini. Edited by Nanda Kishora Sharmā. Nāthadvāra: Vidyā Vibhāga, 1928.
- VALLABHĀCĀRYA, Şodashagranthāh, Sakārikā Rāsapañcādhyavi Venugopikāyugala-bhramaragitasubodhini ca. Edited by M. G. SHASTRĪ, Bombay: Mohanlālbhāī Govardhanadās, c. 1933.
 - ----. The Tativārtha-Dipa-Nibandha with Prakāsha. Two. vols. Edited by Harishankar Onkarjī Shāstrī. Bombay: Trustees of Sheth Narayandas, 1943.
 - - . The Tattvadīpanibandha with Prakūsha. Two vols. Edited by Sītārāma Shāstrī. Bombay: Shrīdhara Shivalālajī, 1905-1908.
- Vallabhacarva A Sketch of His Life and Teachings, Madras: Natesan and Co., 1918.
- VAN BUITFNEN, J. A. B. Tales of Ancient India. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969.
- VARMA, DHĪRENDRA. Grāmina Hindi. Allāhābād: Sāhitya Bhavana, 1957.
- --- La Langue Braj. Paris: Adrien-Maisonneuve, 1935.
- VARMMĀ, Rāmacandra. Mānaka Hindi Kosha. Five vols. Allāhābād: Hindī Sāhitya Sammelana. 1963.
- VYĀSA. Shrimadbhāgavata-Mahāpurāņa. Gorakhapura: Gītā Press, 1965.
- ---. The Mahābhārata. Twelve vols. Translated by PRATAP C. Roy. Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., undated.
- ————. The Srimad-Bhagavatam. Five vols. Translated by J. M. Sanyal.. Calcutta: Oriental Publishing Co., 1952.
- WACH, JOACHIM. Sociology of Religion. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1948.
- WARREN, HENRY CLARKE. Buddhism in Translations. New York: Atheneum, 1969.
- WILLIAMS, L. F. R. (ed.). A Handbook for Travellers in India, Pakistan, Burma, and Ceylon. London: John Murray, 1965.

Index

Adaila (Adela, Arail) 52, 112, 142, 216 bhaktibhāva 8, 11, 13, 62, 87-93, 105, 196 bhaktimārga 3, 7, 10, 26, 31, 33, 34, 46, 56, ādhibhautika 14 15, 40, 56, 62, 77, 102 ādhidaivika 14-15, 62, 77, 102 58, 59, 72, 78, 138 Bhakti Rasāmrta Sindhu 83 ādhvātmika 14-15, 57, 62, 77, 102 advaita 26, 59, 64 Bhaktiyardhini 33, 92 Agrā 121, 166, 215, 218, 229 Bhāratendu Harishcandra 100 Ahmadābād 209 Bhartrhari 101 Akbar 53, 54, 99, 120ff, 174ff, 218ff bhāvaprakāsha, 5, 11, 101 Aksara Brahman 66ff Bhavasindhu 103 alaukika 9-15, 25, 35, 40, 47, 48, 51, 71, 75, bhītariyā 49, 218, 222 Birabala, Rājā 217ff., 247-248 87, 134, 137, 173, 196, 216, 241, 256 Ālavār 7 Bombay Supreme Court 42, 245 Allāhābād (Prayāga) 23, 52, 142 Brahman 59, 60, 65, 65ff, 66 ānanda 65, 73, 76, 173, 226 Brahmasambandha (initiation) 12, 18-20, Andāl 7 28, 36, 37, 54, 61, 113, 131, 149, 232, annakūta 49 233, 256 antarvāmin 76, 147 Brahma Sūtras 8, 52, 59 Braj (region) 3, 21, 28, 47, 97, 111, 152, Anubhāsva 52 anugraha 16, 61, 62, 70, 86-87, 191, 199 177, 211 Astuchāpa 31, 50, 88, 90 Braj Bhāsā 3, 97-100 Astašakhā 12, 31, 34-35, 50, 88 Brndābana 12, 31, 49, 153, 166, 177, 183, ātmanivedana 30, 56, 84-85 215, 249 Aurangzeb 29 Buddha 24, 31, 87 avatāra (see also Mukhāvatāra) 79 Buhlül Lodi 23, 25 ävirbhäva 66ff baithaka 27-28, 154 Caitanya 31, 106 Caitanya Sampradāva 32, 49, 58, 83, 90, 106, Baithaka Caritra 103 "Balabodhah" 80, 83 213, 219, 241 Bāla Krsna (form of Krsna) 55 Cämpäner (Chämpäner) 209 Bālakṛṣṇa (son of Vitthalanātha) 55, 124, Campāranya 24 163, 192ff Candrasarovara 134, 166 Balarāma 38 caste, in the Vallabhācārva Sampradāya 47, Baldeva 4, 222 249-250 Barasānā 167, 172 Caturbhujadāsa 34, 35, 136, 187, 206 Bengālī Brāhmanas 49, 53, 212ff. Caurāsi Vaisnavan ki Vārtā 4, 5, 9, 11, 28, Bhagavad Gītā 7, 8, 27, 59, 72, 81-82, 186 31, 35, 42, 71, 100-103 Bhāgavata Purāna 3, 6, 7-9, 10, 26, 27, 47, celibacy 31 52, 77, 86, 91, 106, 115, 119, 134, 137, Chāndogva Upanishad 37 150, 166, 178, 252, 256 Chitasvāmi 34, 35, 134

Cilotară 104 Indradamana 22 cit 65, 68 Indraiit of Orcha 101 initiation (see also Brahmasambandha) daivi jiya 12, 71, 168, 170, 198, 212, 230 18 20 Dāmodaradāsa Harsānī 18, 36, 152, 167, 207 darshana 47 ff. Jagannātha Puri 26 27 dāsva bhāva 84, 88 jagat 14, 51, 65, 661. Delhi, 12, 102, 121 Jahängir 99 Devadamana 22, 28, 174, 211 Jai Shankara 100 dharma 15, 70, 201, 214, 232, 246 "Jalabhedah" 30, 229 Dosau-Bāvan Vaisnavan ki Vārtā 35, 103 Jamunavatau 104, 134, 163, 166 Dvārakā (Dvārikā, Dwārkā) 91, 184, 213, Janmāstmi 49, 154, 160 Jatipură (Gopālapurā) 55, 127, 166 Dvárakánátha 55, 154 jīva 57, 58ff, 71-79, 235 jñānamārga 46, 58, 59, 71, 78 Fatehpur Sikri 174ff. Jünägadha 27 fire 22, 24 25, 30 Kali Yuga 6-7, 16, 34, 61 Gändhī, M.K. 31 Kālindī 108 Gangā 14, 32, 52, 130 Kāliva 4 Ghanashyāma 55, 163 Kāmabana 55 Kānkaravāda 23, 44 Gharūvārtā 103 Giridhara 42, 55, 116, 124ff, 161, 163, Känkaroli 55 238, 247 Kannauj (Kanauja) 104, 140, 152, 224 Kansa 7 Gokula 17, 27, 54, 73, 78, 93, 123, 154, 192, 238 karma 58, 78 Gokulacandramă 55 karmamārga 58, 59, 78 Kavishvara, Pandita 122 123 Gokulanātha (form of Kṛṣṇa) 55 Gokulanātha (son of Vitthalanātha) 4, 5, Keith, A.B. 30 kirjana 49, 83-84, 172, 234 42, 55, 102, 124, 163, 192(F Krsna (Krishna) 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14-15, Goloka 8, 12, 92-93, 189 47, 57, 62, 79, 108, 137, 157, 166, 193 gopi 73ff, 89ff, 107, 132, 137, 157, 159, 166 Krsnadāsa 34, 35, 41, 47, 49, 50, 53-54, Gopinatha (form of Krsna) 55 Gopinātha (son of Vallabhācārya) 38, 46, 104, 207 256 52ff, 217, 222, 243 Krsnadevarāva 43 44 Kumbhanadasa 34, 35, 50, 104, 165-206, Govardhana (Girirāja) 10, 21, 28, 48, 49, 233-234 52, 103, 134, 153, 165, 167, 177, 208, 241 Govardhananātha (Shrī Nāthajī) 6, 9, 12, Laksmana Bhatta 23ff, 163 22, 28, 34, 42, 49, 54-55, 103, 116, 160, Laksmi 107, 129 170ff, 197, 201, 227, 238, 253ff. Lālaji (Tulasīdāsa) 55 Govindarāva 55, 124, 163 laukika 9-15, 25, 40, 48, 51, 71, 87, 107, Govindasvāmi 34, 35 118, 123, 134, 172, 230 guna 67 lilā 8, 11-13, 21, 47, 66, 69, 73, 75, 106ff. guru 37, 38, 39, 42, 45, 232 113, 115, 131, 143, 170, 182, 189, 193, 206, 207, 222ff, 229ff, 239 Haridasa 183 Haridvāra (Hardwār) 2, Madanamohana 55 Harirāva 4, 5, 42, 101-102 madura bhāva 89 90, 173 Harivansha 183 haveli 47 Madhvācārva and his school 44-45, 58, 60 Mahabana (Old Gokula) 54, 115, 225 Hindi 100 Mahābhārata 3 Holi 49 Mahālaksmī 38, 186 Husain Shah of Jaunpur 23, 25

Mahāvīra 31 Mahmūd Begarha 209

mantra 20, 234

Māṇasingha, Rājā 176ff.

262

icchhā 61-62, 66, 78, 172, 241

Illammägärü 23ff.

Indra 21, 49

Marriage 31 32 and the Astachāp 34	"Puştipravāhamaryādābhedah" 71, 72 Pūtanā 4, 117
maryādāmārga 51, 52, 71, 73, 117, 172, 222, 256	Rādhā (see also Svāminījī) 12, 89, 91, 103, 104, 138, 157, 166
Mathurā 3, 7, 54, 97, 111, 121, 167, 176, 196,	
211, 215, 219, 236, 247	Raghunātha 55, 163 Raipur District 24
Mathuresha 55, 224	Rāma 57, 138
Māyā 60, 64ff, 78, 90, 241	
Māyāvāda 43–46	Rāmānujācārya and his school 44, 58
McGregor, R.S., 101	Rukminī 89, 91, 107
Mīrābāī 41, 213214	Rūpa Gosvāmī 83, 219
mokṣa 58 59, 60	sādhana 63
Mukhāvatāra 22, 25, 30, 36, 56	saguna 69
Mukundarāya 55	
Mulji, Karsandas 43	sakhā 12-13, 106-107, 132, 134 sakhī 12-13, 106-107, 131, 193, 239-240
music 226	sakhya bhāva 84, 88–89, 170
Nam = 5 ami 22 40	The second secon
Nāga paūcami 22, 49	sampradāya 39
Nāgadamana 22	samsāra 70ff. Sanātana 219
Nanda 34, 54, 89, 108, 114, 132, 198	Sāṇkhva 67
Nandadāsa 34, 35	sannyāsa 36 of Vallabhācārya 32
Nandagānva (Nandgāon) 167	"Sannyāsanirnayah" 33
Nārada Bhakti Sūtras 64, 91-92	Sanskrit 160
Narasa Nāyaka 44	
Nāthadvāra (Nathdwāra) 29, 42, 55, 103, 116	Sarvottama Stotram 152, 153 sat 65–68
	satsang 34, 40, 47, 51
Navanîtapriyajî 55, 116, 123, 144ff, 154, 159ff, 184, 186, 238	Satya Nārāyana 100
	serpent sacrifice 108
Nijavārtā 103 Nimbārka and his school 44, 58	serpent worship 32, 198
nirguna 69	sevā 15, 33, 46-52, 70, 75, 87, 169, 177,
Nitishataka 101	199, 224, 228
Willishalaka 101	shakti 66
Pandharapura 29	shami 24
Parabrahman 51	Shankarācārya 26, 64-65
Paradise (see also Goloka) 79	Shankarācārya's school (see also Māvāvād)
Paramānandadāsa 34, 35, 50, 104, 140–164	43, 59, 64
Parāsolī 134, 166, 191, 225, 244	shānta bhāva 92
Parekh, Bhai Manilal C. 43	shāstrārtha at Jagannātha Purī 26-27, 43
Persian 122	shastrārtha at Vijayanagara 43-46
Potter, Karl 60-61	Shikṣāpatra 214
Prahlāda 256	Shri Ācāryaji ke Prākatya ki Vārtā 103
prakrti 67	"Shrikrsnāshrayah" 16
prasāda 47, 125, 156–157, 169, 197, 199, 236,	Shri Nathaji ki Prakatya-Varta 103
245	Shrivallabhastakam 148, 152
pravāhamārga 71, 73	Shriyamunastakam 155
pūjā 50–52	Shuddhādvaita 15, 45, 56-79
Purūravas 24	Shvetāshvatara Upanishad 37
Purușottama (son of Gopinātha) 53-54,	"Siddhāntamuktāvalī" 14, 45, 56-79
243	"Siddhāntarahasyam" 17, 54-55, 81, 116,
Purușottama (scholar) 42	158 159, 223, 228
Purusottama Sahasranāma 115	Silappadikāram 7
pusti 86	Sinhin 103
Puştimārga 10, 11, 13, 15, 32, 33, 40, 51, 71,	Sodashagrantha 14, 16, 17, 30, 33, 63, 71,
73, 80-93, 102, 117, 137-138, 153, 202,	80, 155, 229
233-234, 252	soma sacrifice 23

Subodhini 52, 114, 252	and Shri Svāminiji 241
Sūradāsa 3, 5-6, 8-13, 31, 34, 35, 50, 73-74,	Vārānasī 23, 25, 32, 43, 52, 54, 112
103, 105-139, 223, 228	vārtā 5, 103, 105
Sūrasāgara 3, 4, 6, 8, 11	vätsalva bhakti 13, 88, 89, 123
Sūrata 55	Veda 6, 59, 107, 138
	Vedānta 57
Tānasena 120	Velanāta 23
tativa 67	Venkateshvara 27, 43
Tattvārtha Dīpa Nibandha 8, 65, 68, 69, 70,	Vijayanagara 23, 43
76	Vijnapti 245
tilaka 127, 160, 180, 193	Vilvamangala, Ācārya 45
tirobhāva 66ff.	viraha 34, 54, 73, 91, 106, 111, 176, 185
Tirupati 27	Visnu (Vishnu) 57
Todaramalla, Rājā 217ff.	Visnu Purāna 7
tree symbolism 24	Visnusvāmi and his school 44ff.
•	Vitthalanātha of Nāthadvāra (form of
uddhāra 61-62, 70	Krsna) 55
Uddhava 73	Vitthalanātha of Pandharapura (form of
Upanishads 59	Kṛṣṇa) 29, 38
•	Vitthalanātha (Shrī Gusānījī) (second son
vairāgya 36	of Vallabhācārya) 34, 36, 38, 39, 41, 42,
Vaishvanara 30	46, 47, 52ff, 89-90, 106, 123ff, 133.
Vaişnava 44-46, 57	159ff, 163, 184ff, 217ff, 235, 241ff, 252ff,
Vallabhācārya (Shrī Ācāryajī) 41, 106, 112ff,	"Viveka-Dhairyāshrayanirūpanam" 62-63
133, 148, 152, 163, 167, 196, 223, 233, 235	vyasana 34
attitude toward caste 47	Vyāsatīrtha, Ācārya 44
birth 20-25	
childhood 25-26	worship, in the Vallabha Sampradaya 47-52
grandsons 53-54, 55	
marriage 29, 32, 36, 38, 39	Yadunātha 55, 163
philosophical debates 26-27, 43-46	Yamunā 54, 108, 112, 113, 130, 153, 155,
sannvāsa 32, 52	165, 176, 193
sons 52	Yashodā 4, 13, 74, 89, 117, 132.

Other related titles

Bhattacharyya, N.N.

Medieval Bhakti Movement in India
Sri Caitanya Quincentenary Commemoration Volume

Crooke, William
The Popular Religion and Folklore of Northern India

Jaiswal, Suvira

The Origin and Development of Vaisnavism
Vaisnavism from 200 BC to AD 500

Marfatia, Mridula I.
The Philosophy of Vallabhacharya

Neog, Maheshwar
Religions of the North-East
Studies in the Formal Religions of North Eastern India

Reyna, Ruth
Dictionary of Oriental Philosophy

Munshiram Manoharlal Publishers Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi